

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	i
Foreword	v
Tables of Legislation	vii
Table of Cases, Opinions and Decisions	xi
Table of European Commission's Soft Law	xxiii
Abbreviations	xxv
Table of Figures	xxvii

PART I: INTRODUCTION & METHODOLOGY. 1

1. Introduction and Analysis Framework	3
I. Object of the study	3
A. <i>Research questions and structure of this study</i>	6
B. <i>Analysis structure</i>	9
II. Methodology	11
A. <i>An economically informed legal analysis for buyer power</i>	11
B. <i>The use of economic theory</i>	14
C. <i>Comparing buyer power regulation with ordoliberal competition policy</i>	15
D. <i>The legal analysis, a doctrinal work</i>	16
E. <i>Citation and nomenclature</i>	17
III. Project justification.	17
IV. Delimitation	19

PART II: BUYER POWER ECONOMICS AND ORDOLIBERAL BUYER POWER POLICY. . 23

2.	Buyer power: Monopsony and Bargaining Power, an economic approach	25
I.	Introduction	25
II.	Buyer power as an umbrella term for competition risks	27
III.	Monopsony, the model	32
	A. <i>Isolation's supermarket</i>	34
	B. <i>The conditions for monopsony power.</i>	37
	a. A sole buyer – or a group of a few buyers – with substantial market power.	38
	b. An upward sloping supply curve	38
	c. The supply curve must be inelastic	39
	d. The existence of economic rents that can be extracted by the monopsonist	40
	e. Entry barriers to the buyer's side of the market	40
	C. <i>Is monopsony power a problem likely to arise in practice?</i>	41
IV.	Bargaining power	42
	A. <i>Distinguishing bargaining power from monopsony.</i>	47
	B. <i>Sources of bargaining power</i>	48
	a. Buyer's size	49
	b. Alternative sources of supply	50
	c. Alternative sources of demand	51
	d. Bargaining effectiveness.	51
V.	Direct buyer power effects	51
	A. <i>Monopsony effects.</i>	52
	a. Monopsonist with upstream and downstream market power .	54
	b. Monopsony and no substantial market power downstream . .	58
	B. <i>Bargaining power effects</i>	59
	a. Buyer with bargaining power and facing a downstream competitive market	60
	b. Buyer with bargaining power and downstream market power	61
VI.	Indirect buyer power effects	63
	A. <i>The waterbed effect</i>	63
	B. <i>The anti-waterbed effect</i>	67

C.	<i>How should the waterbed effect be approached?</i>	68
D.	<i>Buyer power effects on investment, innovation and dynamic efficiency.</i>	69
E.	<i>Buyer power effects on quality</i>	71
F.	<i>Buyer power effects on market concentration: variety and exclusion</i>	72
VII.	Conclusions	73
3.	Buyer Power through an Ordoliberal Lens	77
I.	Introduction	77
II.	Ordoliberalism in a nutshell	80
III.	Competition in an ordoliberal perspective	83
A.	<i>Understanding ordoliberal competition.</i>	85
a.	<i>Competition and economic freedom as goals themselves.</i>	87
b.	<i>Protecting competition as a process as economically efficient</i>	89
B.	<i>Types of ordoliberal competition</i>	92
C.	<i>Competition as if?</i>	92
D.	<i>Ordoliberalism, use of economics and the 'more economic approach'</i>	94
E.	<i>The role of the state: limited role for administrative discretion</i> . . .	97
IV.	Buyer power policy from an ordoliberal perspective	99
A.	<i>Need for buyer power specific regulation?</i>	99
B.	<i>A differentiated general treatment for buyer power.</i>	100
C.	<i>Which welfare standard and which kind of harm triggers competition intervention in buyer power cases?</i>	102
a.	<i>Which type of harm is needed to trigger the application of competition law?</i>	107
D.	<i>Freedom to compete</i>	110
E.	<i>Redistributive concerns?</i>	111
V.	Conclusion	113
	PART III: RELEVANT BUYING MARKETS	117
4.	Market Definition in Buyer Power Cases:	
	Revisiting the Traditional Methodologies	119
I.	Introduction	119
II.	Market definition	121

	A. Market definition: scope of application and time focus.	123
III.	Buyer power market definition: a dualistic approach	126
	A. The dualistic approach and its content	128
	B. Justification of the dualistic approach	130
IV.	The relevant purchasing market	135
	A. Conceptualizing the relevant market	135
	B. The Commission's view on Buying Market Definition	136
	a. Demand substitution: Buyer's SSNIP test.	138
	b. Supply substitution – supply buyer substitution.	144
	C. Shortcomings of the current buyer-oriented methodology	147
	D. The hypothetical monopsony test: the OECD alternative.	152
	E. Buyer's market definition in some Member States	154
	a. Ability of suppliers to switch to alternative sale opportunities	154
	b. A dualistic approach to buyer markets	154
	F. Buyer's market definition in US Antitrust law	156
	a. Market definition in US Antitrust law: some generalities.	156
	b. Buyer's market definition in US Antitrust law	157
V.	Conclusions concerning the relevant market.	158
5.	Buyer Market Power Assessment	161
I.	Introduction	161
II.	Market power assessment	162
III.	Buyer market power: dual market power assessment.	164
IV.	Measuring the buying's undertaking market power	167
	A. Market shares	167
	a. Standard thresholds	169
	b. Thresholds under buyer power cases	171
	c. Market share valuation	179
	B. Market concentration	180
	C. Unavoidable trading partner and dependence	182
	a. Unavoidable trading partner.	182
	b. Dependence	184
	c. Relation between the unavoidable trading partner doctrine and dependence	189

	D. Gate-keeping	190
	E. Alternative supply sources	193
V.	Alternatives to buyer market definition	197
	A. The Lerner Index	197
	B. Buyer Power Index	198
	C. Criticism against the BPI	200
VI.	Conclusion	201

PART IV: EXERTING BUYER POWER. EXCLUSION AND EXPLOITATION 205

6.	Exclusionary Buyer Power	207
I.	Introduction to Part IV and buyer power exclusion	207
II.	How does buyer-induced exclusion work?.	210
III.	Exclusive supply obligations.	213
	A. Assessment of exclusive supply agreements under Article 101 TFEU	216
	B. Exclusive supply agreements imposed by a dominant buyer . . .	220
	C. Conclusions regarding exclusive supply	226
IV.	Overbuying.	228
	A. How overbuying operates? Predatory overbuying and raising rival costs overbuying	230
	a. Naked overbuying and hoarding	232
	B. Objective reasons to overbuy	233
	C. Is overbuying common in practice?.	233
	D. <i>Weyerhaeuser Co. v Ross-Simmons Hardwood Lumber Co., Inc.</i> . . .	235
	E. <i>Weyerhaeuser à la Européenne: revisiting the case from an Ordoliberal perspective and EU competition law</i>	240
V.	Concentrations leading to input (vertical) foreclosure.	246
	A. Horizontal mergers	246
	B. Vertical Mergers.	248
VI.	Purchasing price discrimination	252
	A. How does buyer purchasing price discrimination operate and what are its effects?	254
	B. What is the legal standard used for purchasing price discrimination?	257

C.	<i>The Robinson-Patman Act: exclusionary purchasing price discrimination in US Antitrust law</i>	261
a.	Exclusionary buyer induced discrimination.	264
VII.	Leveraging market power from input to output markets with a foreclosing effect.	266
A.	<i>Input leveraging through supra-competitive bonuses and British Airways v Commission</i>	269
a.	British Airways v Commission: long story short	271
b.	How did British Airways leverage its market power?.	272
c.	A buyer power analysis through the dualistic approach.	276
d.	Virgin vs British Airways: the US Antitrust law version	280
B.	<i>Where do we stand in buyer market power leverage?</i>	281
VIII.	Squeeze to buy	283
IX.	'As efficient buying competitor test' for price related abuses?	286
X.	Which type of harm triggers competition law application in exclusionary cases?	289
A.	<i>Precluding buyer exclusion absent consumer harm – the broader view.</i>	291
B.	<i>The US Antitrust law narrow approach: protection only present consumer harm</i>	295
a.	The broader approach to buyer power exclusion in the Robinson-Patman Act: protecting small buyers.	296
C.	<i>What are the differences between the approaches?</i>	297
XI.	Conclusions	298
7.	Exploitative Buyer Power.	301
I.	Introduction	301
II.	How does low purchasing price exploitation work, if it does?	303
A.	<i>Self-correction in buyer power cases?</i>	307
III.	Unilaterally imposing unfairly low purchasing prices	309
A.	<i>The case law on unfairly low purchasing prices</i>	312
B.	<i>United Brands test for excessive purchasing prices: a profit curve test</i>	316
a.	The test's first limb	317
b.	The test's second limb.	320
c.	Possible shortcomings of the proposed methodology.	320
IV.	Exploitative purchasing price discrimination	321

V.	Centralized purchasing and cooperatives, between cartels and dominance	327
A.	<i>Rationale for purchasing centralization – economies of scale.</i> . .	328
a.	How do the economies of scale in centralized purchasing work? What are the effects?.	329
B.	<i>Centralized purchasing and economies of scale under an efficiency analysis.</i>	332
C.	<i>Cooperatives as agglutinates of buyer and countervailing power</i>	336
VI.	Agreements imposing purchasing conditions	339
A.	<i>Buyers' cartel fixing purchasing conditions.</i>	342
a.	What are and how buyers' cartels operate and affect competition?	342
b.	Cartels fixing purchasing prices as object restrictions of competition	344
c.	Is there a difference between monopsony power cartels and bargaining power cartels?.	353
B.	<i>Imposing maximum purchasing quotas as object restrictions</i> . .	356
C.	<i>Buying alliances.</i>	357
a.	Buying alliances as efficient purchasing agreements and only likely to constitute effect based restrictions of competition.	360
b.	Competitive risks generated by buying alliances	362
D.	<i>Distinguishing a buying alliance from a buyers' cartel and its consequences</i>	364
a.	Conclusions regarding the distinction.	366
E.	<i>Buying agreements and restrictions to competition among its own members.</i>	367
VII.	Defenses and efficiency justifications regarding buyer power exploitation	372
A.	<i>Single conduct efficiency analysis: objective justification under Article 102 TFEU and concentration cases</i>	373
B.	<i>Assessing purchasing agreements under Article 101(3) TFEU.</i> .	375
VIII.	Which types of harm and standard are used for exploitative cases?. .	377
IX.	Conclusion	384

8. Exploitative Buyer Power: Unfair Purchasing Practices	387
I. Introduction	387
II. Unfair purchasing practices: definition and modalities	391
A. <i>UPPs as exploitative and vertical related practices</i>	394
a. UPPs and dependence	396
B. <i>Modalities of unfair purchasing practices</i>	397
III. Market Impact of UPPs	398
A. <i>Suppliers' viability</i>	399
B. <i>Variety and Innovation</i>	401
C. <i>End-consumer conditions</i>	401
IV. Are unfair purchasing practices an EU competition problem?	403
A. <i>Why are UPPs often outside EU competition law?</i>	407
a. Limited market impact	407
b. Goals protected	408
c. Fairness and intent.	409
d. Not an area of enforcement priority	410
e. Lack of cross-border impact.	410
B. <i>When are UPPs under the scope of EU competition law?</i>	411
C. <i>Are there any UPP cases in EU competition law?</i>	415
a. FENIN v Commission: a case of UPPs?	416
b. Risk of UPPs pursuant to a merger leading suppliers in a dependent position	418
D. <i>A glance at US Antitrust law</i>	424
V. Need for regulation.	426
VI. Alternative solutions to UPPs under national law	429
A. <i>Lower thresholds or special dominance provisions as part of competition law – the food retailing sector.</i>	430
B. <i>Economic Dependence and UPPs under national competition law</i>	433
C. <i>UPPs on specific legislative instruments – unfair competition rules.</i>	440
D. <i>Soft Law alternatives? The case of the 'codes of conduct'</i>	447
VII. The EU and the Supply Chain Initiative	450
VIII. Conclusions	451

PART V: BUYER POWER FROM A SELLER'S PERSPECTIVE: COUNTERVAILING BUYING POWER AND BUYER POWER LIMITATION 455

9.	Countervailing Buyer Power	457
I.	Introduction	457
II.	Defining countervailing buyer power: a narrow approach.	458
III.	Countervailing buyer power characteristics	462
IV.	Countervailing buyer power in the Commission Guidelines	466
	A. <i>Countervailing buyer power assessment in concentration cases</i>	466
	a. EU Horizontal Merger Guidelines.	466
	b. Non-horizontal Mergers Guidelines.	469
	B. <i>Countervailing buyer power in the Guidance Notice on Article 102 TFEU</i>	470
	C. <i>Countervailing buyer power and countervailing benefits in the assessment of cases under the scope of Article 101 TFEU</i>	471
	a. Guidelines on Horizontal Agreements.	472
	b. Guidelines on Vertical Restraints of 2010.	472
	c. Guidelines on the application of Article 101(3) TFEU . . .	473
	D. <i>Critical remarks to the Commission's soft law</i>	473
V.	Countervailing buyer power in the case law and Commission's practice: sources and hindrances.	475
	A. <i>Sources of countervailing buyer power</i>	475
	a. Alternative supply sources and demand shifting	476
	b. Buyer size	480
	c. Commercial significance of the buyer to the seller: ratio of business	482
	d. Price differentials	484
	B. <i>Hindrances to countervailing buyer power</i>	484
VI.	Countervailing buyer power as a defense and its success	489
VII.	Assessment of countervailing buyer power: 'the comparison test' . .	492
	A. <i>Introduction and thresholds required for its sufficiency</i>	492
	B. <i>The 'comparison test' and its evolution</i>	494
VIII.	Protecting weaker buyers: the spillover effect	500
	A. <i>The spillover effect in the Commission's practice</i>	502

IX.	Are the Commission and the Courts obliged to assess countervailing buyer power?	507
X.	Conclusions	510
10.	Limiting Buyer Power	513
I.	Introduction	513
II.	Imposing minimum purchasing prices	514
	<i>A. Legal treatment to the imposition of minimum purchasing prices</i>	<i>515</i>
	<i>a. Object restrictions of competition</i>	<i>516</i>
	<i>B. Price fixing justifications and the legal consequences</i>	<i>521</i>
	<i>C. Formation of 'legal' sellers' cartels pursuant EU regulation. . .</i>	<i>525</i>
	<i>D. Conclusions regarding imposition of minimum purchasing prices</i>	<i>527</i>
III.	Supply limitation	527
	<i>A. Efficiency assessment for supply limitation agreements</i>	<i>530</i>
	<i>B. Conclusions regarding supply limitation</i>	<i>531</i>
IV.	Rebates removing or restricting the buyer's freedom to choose supply sources	532
	<i>A. Do rebates restrict buyer power exercise?</i>	<i>533</i>
V.	Countervailing benefits in exclusive branding and exclusive purchasing obligations	536
	<i>A. What are countervailing benefits?</i>	<i>537</i>
	<i>B. How are countervailing benefits assessed in vertical agreements?</i>	<i>539</i>
	<i>C. Conclusions regarding countervailing benefits</i>	<i>542</i>
VI.	Conclusions	543
PART VI:	CONCLUSIONS OF THIS STUDY	547
11.	Final Conclusions	549
I.	Introduction	549
II.	General findings	550
III.	Chapter-specific findings.	558
IV.	An EU buyer power doctrine?	565
V.	On the future of buyer power	566
	Bibliography	569