
Table of Contents

Foreword.....	V
Table of Contents	VII
Table of Contents	IX
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 The judiciary in the context of management models.....	1
1.1.1 How court management has developed	1
1.1.2 From judicial administration to court management.	4
1.1.3 Court management in a constitutional context	7
1.2 Fundamental aims of the research project.....	8
1.2.1 Aims	8
1.2.2 Research questions and methodology.....	9
1.2.3 Initial basic research assumptions	9
1.3 On the structure and organisation of the research project ..	10
2 Basic constitutional and political issues (cross-sectional project).....	13
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Research work.....	16
2.2.1 Best practices for internal court organisation from a constitutional and international law viewpoint	16
2.2.1.1 Research objective: best practices for legislating on internal court organisation ...	16
2.2.1.2 Methodology.....	16
2.2.1.3 Result: list of criteria	17
2.2.2 Selection and qualifications of judges	19
2.2.2.1 Introduction	19
2.2.2.2 Question.....	20
2.2.2.3 Research objective.....	20
2.2.2.4 Methodology.....	21
2.2.2.5 Results	21
2.2.3 Processing personal data about judges	24
2.2.3.1 Question and objective	24
2.2.3.2 Methodology: legal dogmatics and empirical legal research	24
2.2.3.3 Results	24
2.2.4 Supervision of the judiciary.....	28
2.2.4.1 Question and objective	28
2.2.4.2 Methodology: legal dogmatics	28

2.2.4.3	Results	28
2.3	Appraisal	31
2.3.1	Consequences for court management	31
2.3.2	Need for further research	32
3	Environment (Sub-Project 1).....	35
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	Research work	36
3.2.1	The power of figures. Knowledge production in the cantonal judiciary (19 th – 21 st centuries).....	36
3.2.1.1	Questions, method and sources	36
3.2.1.2	Case study: the canton of Lucerne.....	39
3.2.2	The influence of cantonal judicial systems on public trust in the courts	44
3.2.2.1	Introduction	44
3.2.2.2	Research objectives	45
3.2.2.3	Results of the survey of the public	45
3.2.2.4	The results against a background of institution-related and culture-related concepts	46
3.3	Appraisal	50
3.3.1	Consequences for court management	50
3.3.2	Additional need for research	52
4	Resources (Sub-Project 2).....	55
4.1	Introduction	55
4.2	Research work	56
4.2.1	Legal framework for caseload management in the Swiss judiciary	56
4.2.1.1	Objective and research question	56
4.2.1.2	Methodology and structure	57
4.2.1.3	Results	57
4.2.2	Methodology of weighted caseload studies for Swiss courts	63
4.2.2.1	Introduction	63
4.2.2.2	Research question and objective.....	64
4.2.2.3	Methodological approach	64
4.2.2.4	Results	65
4.3	Appraisal	70
4.3.1	Consequences for court management	70
4.3.2	Need for further research	71

5	Processes (Sub-Project 3).....	75
5.1	Introduction.....	75
5.1.1	Quality management and organisational development in the judiciary	75
5.1.2	The research objective.....	75
5.1.2.1	Overview	75
5.1.2.2	Quality management and institutional interactions	76
5.1.2.3	Knowledge sharing as a feature of quality work.....	76
5.2	Research work.....	77
5.2.1	Quality management and institutional interaction processes in court administration	77
5.2.1.1	Germany	78
5.2.1.2	The Netherlands.....	80
5.2.1.3	Norway	82
5.2.1.4	Switzerland	84
5.2.2	Managing knowledge sharing in the court context..	86
5.2.2.1	Introduction	86
5.2.2.2	Defining knowledge sharing.....	86
5.2.2.3	The organisational challenge	88
5.2.2.4	The research model.....	88
5.2.2.5	Data collection.....	92
5.3	Appraisal	93
5.3.1	Results and consequences for court management ...	93
5.3.1.1	Quality management and institutional interaction	93
5.3.1.2	Knowledge sharing as a feature of quality work.....	94
5.3.2	Need for further research.....	96
6	Organisation (Sub-Project 4).....	97
6.1	Introduction.....	97
6.2	Research work.....	98
6.2.1	Courts in the conflict between multiple rationales ..	98
6.2.1.1	Introduction and objective	98
6.2.1.2	Divergent logics in the field of court management.....	102
6.2.1.3	Forms of institutional complexity and strategies for action.....	103
6.2.2	Specialist lay judges and related constitutional conflicts	106

6.2.2.1	Objective and question	106
6.2.2.2	What is a specialist lay judge?	108
6.2.2.3	Goals pursued by using specialist lay judges.....	110
6.2.2.4	The duties of specialist lay judges	111
6.2.2.5	Constitutional conflicts of objectives	112
6.2.2.6	Legislation dealing with conflicts of objectives	114
6.2.2.7	Requirements for the participation of specialist lay judges	115
6.3	Appraisal	116
6.3.1	Consequences for court management	116
6.3.2	Need for further research.....	118
7	Culture (Sub-Project 5).....	121
7.1	Introduction	121
7.2	Research work.....	125
7.2.1	Cultural receptiveness of Swiss judicial organisations to the values of public management ..	125
7.2.1.1	Objective.....	125
7.2.1.2	Qualitative survey.....	125
7.2.1.3	Quantitative survey.....	132
7.2.1.4	Critical appraisal.....	139
7.2.2	Management of the judiciary exemplified by the Federal Supreme Court.....	141
7.2.2.1	Methodology of the research work	141
7.2.2.2	Results of the qualitative analysis.....	142
7.3	Appraisal	144
7.3.1	Consequences for court management	144
7.3.2	Need for further research.....	147
8	Overall Assessment and Outlook.....	149
8.1	Introduction	149
8.2	General research findings.....	150
8.2.1	Court management in the context of the judiciary's pluralistic organisation	150
8.2.2	Court management in the context of a state concept involving the separation of powers	150
8.2.3	Court management as an aid in judges' work.....	152
8.2.4	Court management in the context of (media) society.....	154
8.3	Need for further research	155
8.3.1	Special issues in the organisation of the judiciary...	155

8.3.2	Use of special categories of court staff.....	155
8.3.3	Dealing with different rationales	156
8.3.4	Quality and the question of its measurability	156
8.3.5	Justice and its interdependencies with other systems	157
8.4	Reflection.....	157
8.4.1	The results in the light of the basic assumptions	157
8.4.2	Relevance in an international context.....	161
Bibliography		165
List of Documents.....		199
Annex.....		201
Annex 1: List of Dissertations and Studies.....		201
Annex 2: List of Publications arising from the Project.....		203
Annex 3: List of participants		207
Annex 4 to SP5: Expectations of various actors of a good judiciary in Switzerland		210
Annex 5 to SP5: Descriptive statistics, in order of attributed importance (B)		212
Annex 6 to SP5: Explained total variance		216