

Table of Contents

Abbreviations	13
1. Introduction to the topic and the approach	15
1.1 The architecture of morphosyntax.....	16
1.2 Levels of insertion: (Radically) Distributed Morphology.....	18
1.3 Categories and labels	21
1.4 Morphosyntactic lexicalization and locality.....	23
2. A structural overview of Czech nominal phrases	27
2.1 Pre-modification of nominals	27
2.1.1 Determination and quantification.....	28
2.1.2 (Pre-) Modification by adjectives	28
2.1.3 Agreement inside the nominal complex.....	29
2.1.4 The Surface Recursion Restriction on complements.....	30
2.2 Post-modification.....	32
2.2.1 Postnominal Adjectives	32
2.2.2 Postnominal Genitives in Czech.....	33
2.2.3 Genitive pronouns in postnominal position.....	41
2.2.4 The general structure of the postnominal field.....	43
3. The DP hypothesis for Czech	47
3.1 The historical layout	47
3.1.1 Jackendoff (1977) and multi-layered NPs	47
3.1.2 Abney (1987) and the general DP hypothesis.....	49
3.1.3 Cinque (1994) and the cartographic approach.....	54
3.1.4 Veselovská (1994) and the Slavic window onto UG.....	57

3.1.5 A universal or parametrised DP projection?	60
3.2 Semantic features of the Determiner	62
3.3 Constituents in the functional domain of N	63
3.3.1 Functional vs. lexical morphology	64
3.3.2 Quantifiers as heads of a QP	65
3.3.3 N-to-D movement (head-to-head movement).....	67
3.4 A note about NP island violations	69
3.4.1 The left branch condition and the lack of articles	71
3.4.2 Veselovská (1994): remnant movement.....	74
3.5 The DP hypothesis revisited	78
4. The hierarchy in the prenominal field	81
4.1 Traditional descriptions of the prenominal field in English	81
4.1.1 Present-day grammar manuals for English.....	81
4.1.2 Comparison with adjectival ordering in the Czech tradition.....	84
4.2 Universal constraints on left to right ordering of Adjectives	85
4.3 The order of Noun modifiers in Czech	87
4.3.1 Proposals of Czech linguistics.....	87
4.3.2 Examining the order in Czech using corpora study	88
4.3.3 A pilot study: prenominal or postnominal?.....	88
4.3.4 Corpus based study of lexical vs. functional domains: Syn2010.....	91
4.4 Distribution of entries within the functional domain.....	92
4.4.1 Multiple entries in the Czech D field	93
4.4.1.1 Multiple Quantifiers and demonstratives.....	93
4.4.1.2 Partitive versus non-partitive readings.....	95
4.4.2 The repertory of D modifiers in Czech.....	96
4.5 Distribution of items in the lexical domain:	
Adjective pre-modifiers.....	97
4.5.1 Comparing English and Russian: Scott (2002) and Pereltsvaig (2007).....	98
4.5.2 Comparing Czech pre-modifiers with Russian and English.....	101

4.5.2.1	Data and their analyses	101
4.5.3	Drawing conclusions from the statistics.....	107
4.5.3.1	Distance Criterion	108
4.5.3.2	A Frequency Criterion	110
4.5.4	Interpreting the Czech and English data for the lexical domain of Nouns.....	112
5.	A universal structure	113
5.1	Three theoretical approaches to adjectival modification of Nouns	113
5.2	Diagnostic characteristics of the prenominal domain	117
5.2.1	Distinct types of constraints in the D- and N-fields	117
5.2.2	Recursive stacking in the N-field.....	119
5.2.3	Subcategorization: insensitivity to the N-field.....	120
5.2.4	N(P) pro-forms in the D-field.....	122
5.2.4.1	The English substitute <i>one</i>	122
5.2.4.2	N(P) pro-forms in Czech.....	123
5.2.5	A Left Branch Recursion Restriction on complementation.....	125
5.2.6	Comparing the three analyses of prenominal Adjectives.....	128
5.3	The Derived Nominal Analysis (DNA) of adjectival agreement	129
5.3.1	Adjectival agreement: the Gender infix [_N é].....	131
5.3.2	Generalizations based on soft adjectival agreement	133
5.3.3	The (pro)nominal nature of adjectival agreement	135
5.3.4	The DNA hypothesis and the structure of the nominal complex	137
6.	Czech Possessive structures.....	143
6.1	Morphology of the Czech Possessive	144
6.1.1	Introducing the Possessive morphemes	144
6.1.2	Agreement endings on the Possessive.....	146
6.2	The feature content and structure of Czech Possessives	148
6.2.1	The combinatorial potential of the Possessive suffix.....	148

6.2.2	The constituent type of the Czech Possessive	152
6.3	Semantics of Possessives	156
6.3.1	Possessive as an A(rgument)-position	157
6.3.2	The argument hierarchy in a Czech DP	159
6.4	Derivation of the Possessive: a movement analysis	165
6.5	Possessive morphology: the Case stacking analysis	168
6.5.1	Morphology of the Possessive: a new perspective on Case theory	169
6.5.2	The GEN nature of the Possessive morpheme	172
6.5.3	Possessive suffixes are allomorphs of the phrasal-level N-Case	175
6.5.4	Confirming non-canonical variations among Possessives	176
6.6	Theoretical implications of Czech Possessives	178
7.	Quantified nominals in Czech	181
7.1	Czech variety of quantified phrases	182
7.1.1	The principal Czech patterns	182
7.1.2	Lexical vs. functional Qs: double DP vs. a single QP	184
7.1.2.1	Semantics and morphosyntax of the double DP	185
7.2	Referential index of the D projection	186
7.2.1	Relativisation	187
7.2.2	AP postmodification and secondary predicates	190
7.2.3	Pragmatic antecedent of a pronoun	192
7.2.4	Categorial distinctions among the Quantifiers	194
7.3	Premodification of a double DP versus a single DP	194
7.4	The nominal feature set and morphology	199
7.4.1	Morphology (feature content) of container and group Nouns	200
7.4.2	Morphology of $Q_{[\pm]}$ Quantifiers (including 5&up cardinals)	201
7.4.3	Morphology of agreeing $Q_{[\varphi]}$ Quantifiers (including low cardinals)	205
7.4.4	Ellipsis of quantified Nouns	208
7.4.5	Interim summary	211

7.5 Case and agreement patterns.....	212
7.5.1 Theoretical basis for formulating agreement.....	213
7.5.2 Case features and Case stacking.....	214
7.6 The variety of agreements patterns with Czech Quantifiers	214
7.6.1 Homogenous double agreement pattern: adnominal Genitive	214
7.6.2 The specificity of Czech group Nouns: Q_N	217
7.6.3 Heterogeneous split agreement pattern for $Q_{[\pm]}$	221
7.6.3.1 The agreement patterns of the Czech Partitive $Q_{[\pm]}$	221
7.6.3.2 Two sources of GEN.....	225
7.6.3.3 An analysis of the Czech $Q_{[\pm]}$	225
7.6.3.4 The frozen paradigm of the Czech $Q_{[\pm]}$	229
7.6.4 Homogeneous single agreement pattern of the $Q_{[\varphi]}$	232
7.6.5 Right vs. left adjunction.....	235
7.6.6 A note about the morphosyntactic terminology for Czech Quantifiers	238
8. Nominal features outside DP: (Dis)agreements	241
8.1 A theory of (morphological) agreement.....	241
8.1.1 Agreement hierarchies	243
8.1.2 The many faces of agreement (in Serbo-Croatian)	244
8.1.3 Minimalist unification of agreement types	247
8.2 Explicit agreements in Czech: introduction.....	250
8.2.1 Synthetic and analytic subject-predicate agreements	250
8.2.2 Two kinds of subject-predicate agreement.....	253
8.3 Subject-predicate disagreements in Czech	255
8.3.1 Three disagreements of personal pronouns	256
8.3.2 Agreement with Quantifiers and Numerals.....	260
8.3.3 Postverbal coordinate structures	261
8.3.4 Special lexical items (<i>děvče</i> 'das Mädchen').....	262
8.4 The building blocks of analytic agreement	265
8.5 Decomposing the Czech predicate	265

8.5.1	Positions of Czech synthetic Verb forms	267
8.5.2	Analytic verbal forms in Czech	269
8.5.3	Distinctions between the functional and lexical domains	271
8.5.3.1	Possibility of Tense and Aspect	272
8.5.3.2	Word orders of small v and I	272
8.5.3.3	The position of clausal Negation	273
8.5.3.4	VP ellipsis and short answers: I is not enough	273
8.5.3.5	Dialectal variation in morphology	274
8.5.3.6	Phonetic reduction in I, not V	274
8.5.3.7	Reduction to bound morphemes	275
8.5.4	Structural representation of lexical and functional domains	276
8.6	Summary of extended nominal projections in Czech	279
9.	The phi features of predicate agreement	281
9.1	The position of Czech pronouns	281
9.1.1	The Gender feature of pronouns	282
9.1.2	Gender impoverishment with pronouns	283
9.2	Analytic subject-predicate agreement	286
9.2.1	Features of the N(P) domain	286
9.2.2	Features of the D(P) domain	287
9.2.3	Pronominal (dis)agreement patterns	289
9.2.4	The VP internal subject hypothesis	295
9.3	Agreement with imperative Verbs	297
9.4	Coordinate structure agreement	299
9.4.1	Symmetry and asymmetry of Czech coordinations	300
9.4.2	Coordinate structure projection and the <i>variety</i> of Czech coordinators	303
9.4.3	Predicative vs. argumental coordinations	304
9.4.4	Partial (first conjunct) agreement with post-verbal subjects	306
9.5	A note about combining analyses	311
9.6	Summary of subject-predicate agreement analyses	315

10. Appendix: a case study of Czech Quantifiers	319
10.1 A group Noun <i>spoust(a)</i> ‘plenty’	319
10.1.1 Verbal agreement with <i>spousta</i> ‘plenty + N _{GEN} ’	323
10.1.2 Premodification of the group Noun <i>spousta</i>	325
10.1.3 Relativization of the quantifying element <i>spousta</i>	326
10.1.4 Case of the complement of the Q _N	328
10.2 Elements with dual lexical specifications	330
10.2.1 The Noun and Quantifier <i>pár</i> ‘a/the couple’	330
10.2.1.1 A note about Czech diminutives	334
10.2.2 The semi-Numerals <i>sto</i> ‘hundred’, <i>tisíc</i> ‘thousand’, <i>milión</i> ‘million’	336
10.3 Pronominal Quantifiers	339
10.3.1 Animate compound pronouns [+WH, +HUMAN]	341
10.3.2 Inanimate compound pronouns [+WH, -HUMAN]	347
11. Summary of this work	353
12. Bibliography	355
Corpora (and other data sources)	355
Literature	356
13. Thematic Index	381