

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiii
-------------------------	------

1 Introduction 1

1.1 Perspectives on Party Autonomy	3
1.1.1 Party Autonomy from a State-Sovereigntist Perspective	5
1.1.2 Party Autonomy from a Party-Sovereigntist Perspective	8
1.1.3 Congruence or Competition	11
1.2 Comparative Scope	12
1.3 Distinctions and Exclusions	14
1.3.1 Party Autonomy and Indirect Choice of Forum or Law through Control over 'Objective' Factors	14
1.3.2 Party Autonomy and Submission to a Forum	17
1.3.3 Party Autonomy and a Unilateral Undertaking to Submit to a Forum	19
1.3.4 Party Expectations as a Justification for an Objective Choice of Law Rule	19
1.3.5 Party Autonomy and Contractual Autonomy	21
1.3.6 Party Autonomy and the Incorporation by Reference of Rules of Law	23
1.4 Five Questions of Consistency	25
1.4.1 Consistency between Party Autonomy in Choice of Forum and Choice of Law	26
1.4.2 Consistency between Party Autonomy in Contract and in Other Areas of Law	26
1.4.3 Consistency between the Choice of Non-State Forums or Law and the Choice of State Forums or Law	26
1.4.4 Consistency between Party Autonomy in Practice and Party Autonomy in Theory	27
1.4.5 Consistency between Legal Systems	27

2 Historical and Theoretical Foundations of Party Autonomy 29

2.1 Introduction	29
2.2 Historical Foundations of Party Autonomy	30

2.2.1	Historical Origins of Party Autonomy in Choice of Forum	31
2.2.2	Historical Origins of Party Autonomy in Choice of Law	44
2.2.3	Conclusions	65
2.3	Normative Foundations of Party Autonomy	66
2.3.1	Party Expectations and Private-Unilateral Justifications	67
2.3.2	Public-Systemic Justifications – Public Consequentialist Libertarianism	79
2.4	Theoretical Concerns and Limits	84
2.4.1	Authenticity	85
2.4.2	Foreseeability	86
2.4.3	Public Interests and Values	87
2.4.4	Justifiability	89
2.4.5	Cross-Border Element	90
3	Choice of Court Agreements: Effects and Effectiveness	91
3.1	Introduction	91
3.2	Exclusive and Non-Exclusive Jurisdiction Agreements	93
3.3	Interpretation and Validity	96
3.3.1	Presumptions Regarding Exclusivity	96
3.3.2	Severability and the Applicable Law	101
3.4	Positive and Negative Effects of Jurisdiction Agreements	113
3.4.1	United States	113
3.4.2	EU Law (Brussels I Regulation)	128
3.4.3	Common Law	140
3.4.4	Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements 2005	153
3.5	Asymmetrical Jurisdiction Agreements	157
3.6	Effects of Jurisdiction Agreements on Third Parties	164
3.6.1	Common Law and Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements 2005	166
3.6.2	United States	168
3.6.3	Civil Law	169
3.6.4	EU Law (Brussels I Regulation)	170
3.7	Conclusions	173
4	Choice of Court Agreements and Non-Contractual Claims	175
4.1	Introduction	175
4.2	The Subject-Matter Scope of Choice of Court Clauses	176
4.3	The Application of Choice of Forum Rules to Non-Contractual Claims	183
4.3.1	EU Law (Brussels I Regulation)	184
4.3.2	Common Law	191
4.3.3	United States	200

4.3.4	Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements 2005	206
4.4	Conclusions	208
5	Limits on Party Autonomy in Choice of Court	209
5.1	Introduction	209
5.2	Formality Requirements	209
5.2.1	EU Law (Brussels I Regulation)	212
5.2.2	Common Law	217
5.2.3	United States	220
5.2.4	Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements 2005	221
5.3	Requirement for an International Dispute?	222
5.4	Exclusive Subject-Matter Jurisdiction	225
5.4.1	EU Law (Brussels I Regulation, Article 24)	225
5.4.2	Common Law	234
5.4.3	United States	237
5.4.4	Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements 2005	240
5.5	Special Jurisdictional Regimes	242
5.5.1	EU Law (Brussels I Regulation)	243
5.5.2	Common Law	254
5.5.3	United States	257
5.5.4	Hague Convention on Choice of Court Agreements 2005	260
5.6	Conclusion	261
6	Arbitration Agreements	263
6.1	Introduction	263
6.2	Is Arbitration International, National or Transnational?	268
6.3	What Law Should Govern the Validity of an Arbitration Agreement?	273
6.3.1	Substantive Validity	275
6.3.2	Formal Validity	280
6.4	Should Courts or Tribunals Resolve Disputes Concerning the Validity of an Arbitration Agreement?	281
6.4.1	Distinguishing Jurisdiction and Admissibility	282
6.4.2	Arbitral Tribunals	283
6.4.3	Courts	285
6.5	Issues Concerning the Scope and Effects of an Arbitration Agreement	289
6.5.1	Subject-Matter Scope	289
6.5.2	Effect on Third Parties	294
6.5.3	Exclusive or Non-Exclusive	296
6.5.4	Class Arbitration	299
6.6	What Types of Disputes are Capable of Settlement through Arbitration?	303

6.6.1	Approaches to Subject-Matter Arbitrability	304
6.6.2	The Applicable Law	308
6.7	Conclusions	312
7	Choice of Law in Contract	313
7.1	Introduction	313
7.2	The Effectiveness and Limits of Choice of Law Agreements: Subjective and Objective Choice of Law Rules in Contract	314
7.2.1	Common Law	315
7.2.2	EU Law (1) – The Rome Convention 1980	326
7.2.3	EU Law (2) – The Rome I Regulation 2008	335
7.2.4	United States	342
7.2.5	China	352
7.2.6	Brazil	354
7.2.7	International Codifications	356
7.3	Does a Choice of Law Require an Objective Connection?	360
7.3.1	Systems in which an Objective Connection Is Required	360
7.3.2	Systems in which no Objective Connection Is Required	367
7.4	The Timing of a Choice of Law	370
7.4.1	Permissibility of a Subsequent Choice of Law	370
7.4.2	Effect of a Subsequent Choice of Law	377
7.5	The Law Governing a Choice of Law Agreement	379
7.5.1	Validity of Choice of Law Clause Governed by the Chosen Law	381
7.5.2	Validity of Choice of Law Clause Governed by Residual or Forum Law	385
7.6	The Validity of a Choice of Law That Invalidates the Contract	387
7.7	Conclusions	388
8	Choice of Law in Non-Contractual Relations	390
8.1	Introduction	390
8.2	The Scope of Choice of Law Agreements	391
8.3	Tort and Delict	395
8.3.1	Traditional Territorial Rules: No Scope for Party Autonomy	395
8.3.2	Private International Law (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1995 (UK)	400
8.3.3	Rome II Regulation: General Rule for Torts and Delicts	403
8.3.4	Rome II Regulation: Environmental Protection	409
8.3.5	Modern US Approaches: Interest Analysis, Proper Law of the Tort, and the Second Restatement of Conflict of Laws	410
8.3.6	China	414
8.3.7	International Codifications	415

8.4	Unjust Enrichment and Related Issues	415
8.4.1	Common Law	416
8.4.2	Rome II Regulation	419
8.4.3	US Second Restatement of Conflict of Laws	422
8.4.4	China	424
8.5	Property	424
8.5.1	Characterisation Issues	424
8.5.2	Traditional Territorial Rule: No Scope for Party Autonomy	425
8.5.3	Party Autonomy in Choice of Law in Property?	427
8.5.4	Party Autonomy and Contractual Rights?	430
8.5.5	Party Autonomy and Intellectual Property?	432
8.6	Equitable Claims	436
8.7	Trusts	438
8.7.1	Express/Voluntary Trusts	439
8.7.2	Implied/Involuntary Trusts	442
8.8	Succession and Family Law	444
8.8.1	Succession	446
8.8.2	Divorce	448
8.8.3	Matrimonial Property	450
8.8.4	Maintenance	452
8.9	Conclusions	453
9	Limits on Party Autonomy in Choice of Law	455
9.1	Introduction	455
9.2	Subject-Matter or Party Restrictions	456
9.2.1	International Codifications	457
9.2.2	EU Law – Rome I Regulation	458
9.2.3	EU Law – Rome II Regulation	462
9.2.4	United States	462
9.2.5	Common Law	466
9.2.6	China	467
9.3	Choice of Foreign Law in ‘Domestic’ Cases	470
9.4	Mandatory Rules and Public Policy	476
9.4.1	Mandatory Rules	477
9.4.2	Public Policy	486
9.5	Conclusions	489
10	Choice of Non-State Law	491
10.1	Introduction	491
10.2	Traditional Approaches: Applicable Law Means State Law	496
10.3	The Emerging Possibility of a Choice of Non-State Law?	499

10.3.1	UN Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods 1980	501
10.3.2	Mexico City Convention 1994	502
10.3.3	Hague Principles on Choice of Law in International Commercial Contracts 2015	504
10.3.4	Choice of European Contract Law?	507
10.4	Non-state Law in Arbitration	511
10.4.1	The Applicable Law in Arbitration	511
10.4.2	National Courts and Arbitration under Non-State Law	517
10.5	Conclusion	519
11	Conclusions	521
11.1	Introduction	521
11.2	Five Questions of Consistency	521
11.2.1	Consistency between Party Autonomy in Choice of Forum and Choice of Law	521
11.2.2	Consistency between Party Autonomy in Contract and in Other Areas of Law	523
11.2.3	Consistency between the Choice of Non-State Forums or Law and the Choice of State Forums or Law	524
11.2.4	Consistency between Party Autonomy in Practice and Party Autonomy in Theory	525
11.2.5	Consistency between Legal Systems	526
11.3	Appraising Party Autonomy	527
	<i>Bibliography</i>	531
	<i>Index</i>	569