

Table of Contents

Opinion

FIONA MCALLISTER

Will the Floodgates Open Now that there are No More Blurred Lines? 1

In the wake of the controversial ruling of the US Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in *Pharrell Williams v Frankie Christian Gaye*, this opinion piece speculates on how an English court would have approached the case.

Articles

LORNA CADDY

The “Right To Be Forgotten” Four Years on from Google Spain—A Practitioner’s View 3

This article is a practitioner’s look at the right to be forgotten (or the right to erasure) and how that right has been interpreted by Google, the Information Commissioner’s Office (the ICO) and the courts since the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) decision in *Google Spain*. It considers the 2018 decision in *NT1* and *NT2 v Google LLC* and the Information Commissioner and looks at how de-listing requests are dealt with in practice. It examines the trends that are developing since *Google v Spain* and provides some guidance on when an individual should expect to achieve a de-listing and when he or she may not be so lucky, along with some practical tips.

OLIVER BRAY AND VICTORIA NOTO

#Ad-vice for Influencers and Brands: How to Comply with CAP’s New Influencer’s Guide 11

This article considers and comments on new guidance for influencers from the Committee of Advertising Practice, developed in collaboration with the Competition and Markets Authority. The Influencer’s Guide aims to help influencers and brands comply the CAP Code and to avoid unfair commercial practices.

Comments

JOSHUA CHARALAMBOUS

Midsummer Blockers—High Court Extends FA Premier League and UEFA Blocking Orders 14

In two judgments received within a week in July 2018, the High Court has extended existing blocking in relation to illicit streams of FAPL and UEFA fixtures.

NICHOLAS TALL

Mei Fields Designs Ltd v Saffron Cards and Gifts Ltd—IPEC Delivers a Classic Copyright Case 15

This case comment considers the copyright ownership and infringement claim in *Mei Fields Designs Ltd v Saffron Cards and Gifts Ltd*, in which the court considered ss.11 and 104 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

DR JANET STRATH AND KATIE CAMERON

A Swansong for the Unofficial Souvenir Trade? 19

In *P Bundesverband Souvenir-Geschenke-Ehrenpreise eV v EUIPO C-488/16 EU:C:2018:673* (6 September 2018), the Court of Justice of the European Union held that the word mark *NEUSCHWANSTEIN* was not devoid of distinctive character or indicative of the geographical origin of the goods and services it covered. This decision is good news for museums and companies that operate cultural and tourist sites and market goods under their respective names, but will third party manufacturers and retailers of “unofficial souvenirs” live happily ever after?

CLAIRE LIVINGSTONE

The House Doesn’t Always Win—Supreme Court Rejects Playboy Casino’s Negligence Claim Against Italian Bank 22

This article considers the recent Supreme Court ruling in *Banca Nazionale del Lavoro SPA v Playboy Club London Ltd* that a bank, which gave a favourable (but baseless) credit reference in respect of a gambler, did not owe a duty of care to the casino in circumstances where the reference was given to the casino’s agent which did not disclose the fact that it was acting on behalf of another party.

ALEXANDER ROSS

Land Nordrhein-Westfalen v Dirk Renckhoff—Court of Justice Loses Control of the Concept of Communication to the Public 24

This article provides a critical analysis of *Land Nordrhein-Westfalen v Dirk Renckhoff C-161/17* in which the Court of Justice of the European Union held that the concept of “communication to the public”, within the meaning of art.3(1) of the Copyright Directive, covers the posting online of a photograph that was previously freely available on another website.

PETER SMITH

BBC Drops Appeal Application in Sir Cliff Richard Case 27

This article considers the BBC's announcement that it no longer intends to appeal its loss in *Cliff Richard OBE v The British Broadcasting Corporation*, in which Mr Justice Mann found that the BBC's reporting of a search of the popular entertainer's home infringed his privacy rights.

JENNIFER AGATE

Cumulative libel? *Sube v NGN Ltd and Express Newspapers* 28

On 27 July 2018, Mr Justice Warby handed down his decision in *Sube v News Group Newspapers Ltd*, determining that the test of "serious harm" under s.1 of the Defamation Act 2013 cannot be assessed according to the cumulative effect of multiple articles. This was the first time the court had been required to rule on the issue and while not a surprising decision, the case adds to the growing body of case law on serious harm.

EILEEN WEINERT

Leaving the Past Behind—"Right to be Left Alone" in Privacy 29

This article considers the implications of the decision of the High Court in *XKF v The British Broadcasting Corporation* to grant an interim injunction restraining the BBC from broadcasting footage which would reveal the new identity of a former policeman convicted of fraud offences.

JENNIFER AGATE

The High Cost of Dating—*Tereza Burki v Seventy Thirty Ltd* 32

In this case comment on the recent decision in *Burki v Seventy Thirty Ltd*, the court considered deceit, misrepresentation and defamation, including the assessment of serious financial loss under s.1(2) of the Defamation Act 2013.