

# Contents

---

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| The Authors .....  | xxi       |
| <b>Part I Foundations .....</b>  | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>1 The Roots of Computer Supported Argument Visualization</b>  |           |
| <i>Simon Buckingham Shum</i> .....   | 3         |
| 1.1 Excavating the Roots to CSAV .....   | 3         |
| 1.2 Mapping the History of Argument Visualization .....  | 4         |
| 1.3 From Prototypes to Sustained Work Practices? .....   | 18        |
| 1.4 Conclusion .....   | 19        |
| 1.5 References .....   | 20        |
| <b>2 A Cognitive Framework for Cooperative Problem Solving with<br/>Argument Visualization</b>                     |           |
| <i>Jan M. van Bruggen, Henry P.A Boshuizen and Paul A. Kirschner</i> .....   | 25        |
| 2.1 Introduction .....   | 25        |
| 2.2 Problem Solving, Reasoning and Argumentation .....   | 27        |
| 2.3 Cognitive and Communicative Demands of Collaborative Problem<br>Solving .....                                  | 32        |
| 2.4 Representational and Communicative Features of CSAV Environments .....   | 36        |
| 2.5 Acknowledgement .....  | 44        |
| 2.6 References .....   | 44        |
| <b>Part II Applications .....</b>  | <b>49</b> |
| <b>3 Designing Argumentation Tools for Collaborative Learning</b>  |           |
| <i>Gellof Kanselaar, Gijsbert Erkens, Jerry Andriessen, Maaike Prangma, Arja<br/>Veerman and Jos Jaspers</i> ..... | 51        |
| 3.1 Introduction .....   | 51        |
| 3.2 Argumentation and Collaboration in CMC Systems .....   | 51        |
| 3.3 The TC3 Environment .....  | 57        |
| 3.4 Results .....  | 63        |
| 3.5 Discussion and Conclusion .....  | 68        |
| 3.6 Acknowledgements .....   | 71        |
| 3.7 References .....   | 71        |

|          |   |     |
|----------|---|-----|
| <b>4</b> | <b>Using Computer Supported Argument Visualization to Teach Legal Argumentation</b>   |     |
|          | <i>Chad S. Carr</i> .....   | 75  |
| 4.1      | Legal Reasoning and the Process of Argumentation.....                                 | 75  |
| 4.2      | How do we Support the Development of Argumentation Skills? .....                      | 76  |
| 4.3      | A Study of CSAV in Legal Education.....   | 81  |
| 4.4      | Conclusion and Future Research .....  | 92  |
| 4.5      | References .....  | 94  |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Enhancing Deliberation Through Computer Supported Visualization</b>                |     |
|          | <i>Tim van Gelder</i> .....   | 97  |
| 5.1      | Introduction .....  | 97  |
| 5.2      | What is Argument Visualization?.....  | 98  |
| 5.3      | Argument Visualization Versus Prose.....  | 100 |
| 5.4      | New Tools for Argument Visualization.....   | 103 |
| 5.5      | Enhancing Deliberation via Argument Visualization.....                                | 104 |
| 5.6      | Argument Visualization in Critical Thinking Training .....                            | 104 |
| 5.7      | Conclusion.....   | 114 |
| 5.8      | References .....  | 115 |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Dialog Mapping: Reflections on an Industrial Strength Case Study</b>               |     |
|          | <i>Jeff Conklin</i> .....   | 117 |
| 6.1      | Introduction .....  | 117 |
| 6.2      | IBIS: Issue-Based Information System .....  | 117 |
| 6.3      | Dialog Mapping .....  | 122 |
| 6.4      | Case Study: A Decade's Deployment in a Public Utility.....                            | 124 |
| 6.5      | Introducing Dialog Mapping into a New Organization.....                               | 133 |
| 6.6      | Conclusion and Future Directions.....   | 134 |
| 6.7      | References .....  | 135 |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Fostering Collective Intelligence: Helping Groups Use Visualized Argumentation</b> |     |
|          | <i>Albert M. Selvin</i> .....   | 137 |
| 7.1      | Introduction .....  | 137 |
| 7.2      | Background.....   | 137 |
| 7.3      | Principles of Facilitation .....  | 139 |
| 7.4      | Tools and Representations .....   | 144 |
| 7.5      | Examples in Practice .....  | 150 |
| 7.6      | Discussion and Conclusions.....   | 161 |
| 7.7      | Acknowledgements .....  | 162 |
| 7.8      | References .....  | 162 |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>8 Infrastructure for Navigating Interdisciplinary Debates: Critical Decisions for Representing Argumentation</b> |            |
| <i>Robert E. Horn</i> .....   | 165        |
| 8.1 Differences Among Types of Debates .....  | 165        |
| 8.2 What Level of Detail? .....   | 166        |
| 8.3 Case Number One: Mapping Great Debates: Can Computers Think? .....  | 167        |
| 8.4 Case Number Two: Genetically Modified Food .....  | 179        |
| 8.5 Case Number Three: Consciousness Research .....   | 181        |
| 8.6 Conclusion: Our Vision .....  | 183        |
| 8.7 Acknowledgements .....  | 183        |
| 8.8 References .....  | 183        |
| <b>9 Visualizing Internetnetworked Argumentation</b>  |            |
| <i>Simon Buckingham Shum, Victoria Uren, Gangmin Li, John Domingue, Enrico Motta</i> .....                          | 185        |
| 9.1 Scholarly Publishing and Argumentation: Beyond Prose .....  | 185        |
| 9.2 What's the Problem? .....   | 186        |
| 9.3 The Discourse Ontology .....  | 187        |
| 9.4 Making Claims Requires Mental Mapping .....   | 191        |
| 9.5 Visual Construction of Argumentative Claims .....   | 192        |
| 9.6 Analysis and Visualization of Claims Networks .....   | 196        |
| 9.7 Conclusion .....  | 202        |
| 9.8 Acknowledgements .....  | 203        |
| 9.9 References .....  | 203        |
| <b>Afterword</b>  |            |
| <i>Douglas C. Engelbart</i> .....   | 205        |
| <b>Index</b> .....  | <b>209</b> |