Contents

List of Figures	xli
List of Tables	xliii
Introductory Note	
Associate Justice (retired) John Paul Stevens, U.S. Supreme Court	xlvii
2012 Foreword	101
Guadalupe Valdés	xlvii
1991 Foreword	
Joshua A. Fishman	liii
Preface	lv
Acknowledgments	
Acknowledgments	lxv
Introduction	
Chapter 1 • Social Justice through Language Access	3
1. Social Justice and Diversity	3
1.1 Lack of Equal Access to Justice	4
1.2 Marginalization of Language Minorities	5
1.3 Impetus for Reform: The Court Interpreters Act of 1978	6
1.4 Continued Pressing Need for Competent Court Interpreters	7
1.5 Reinforcement of Civil Rights Act: Executive Order 13166 (2000)	9
1.6 Title VI: Focus on the Courts	11
2. Providing Language Access: The Profession of Court Interpretation	12
2.1 The Goal of Court Interpreting: Legal Equivalence Refined to	
Meaningful Legal Equivalence	14
2.1.1 The Court Interpreter: Provider of Meaningful Access	16
2.1.2 Adaptation versus Conservation	17
2.1.3 Thoughtful Conservation of Meaning and Register	18
2.2 Prerequisite Skills for Court Interpreting	19
2.2.1 Formal Education: The Pathway to Competence	25
2.3 Competent Court Interpreting Is Pivotal to Social Justice	26
3. Shortage of Court Interpreters	26
4. The Demand for Language Services	27
4.1 Changing Demographics	27
4.1.1 Hispanics: The Largest Minority Group	28
4.1.2 Growth of the Hispanic Diaspora across the U.S.	28
4.1.3 Growth of the Asian Population	29
4.2 Growing Languages Other Then English Spoken at Home	30
4.2.1 Languages Other Than English Spoken at Home 4.2.2 Asian Language Use	31
T.L. Asian Language Osc	32

4.2.3 Language Use Patterns Pertinent to Language Services	32
4.3 Increasing Number of Persons with LEP	33
4.4 Interpreter Usage in the Courts	34
4.5 Increasing Need for Language Services	37
Chapter 2 • Dilemmas in Due Process	39
1. Substandard Language Accommodation Denies Justice	39
1.1 Poor Quality Interpretation Leads to Detrimental Legal Outcomes	39
1.2 The Hanigan Trials in Arizona (1977–1982)	40
1.3 Testimony of T. Kamiyama to the Grand Jury in the Matter of	
the Rev. Sun Myoung Moon (U.S. v. Moon, 1983)	43
1.4 California v. Nguyen (1989)	46
2. Continued Injustices as a Result of Poor Interpreting Services	48
2.1 Pagoada v. Kentucky (2001)	49
2.2 Alfonzo v. Florida (2007)	53
2.3 Diaz v. Indiana (2010)	58
2.4 Tennessee v. Barrera (2007)	60
2.5 California v. Morales-Garcia (2010)	61
2.6 New Jersey v. DeSouza (2010)	62
2.7 The Case of Mariella Batista and Other Civil Proceedings	62 64
3. Obstacles to Ensuring Equal Access	04
3.1 Lack of Awareness by Judges and Attorneys of the Criticality of	65
Language Services in Achieving Justice	03
3.2 The Default Solution for Interpreter Shortage: Reliance on "Otherwise	67
Qualified" Interpreters of Unknown Competence	68
3.3 Shortage of Certified, Qualified Interpreters in State Courts3.4 Lack of Uniform Standards of Interpreter Proficiency in State Courts	68
3.4 Lack of Official Standards of Interpreter Proficiency in State Sources 3.5 Misplaced Focus on Testing over Training to Develop Interpreter Pool	70
3.6 Failure to Provide a Continuum of Language Services for LEP Populations	72
3.7 Failure to Consistently Provide Free Interpreting Services for All Types	
of Cases (Criminal and Civil) in All Jurisdictions and Specialty Courts	73
3.8 Shortage of Federally Certified Court Interpreters	75
3.9 Lack of Federal Certification for Languages of Limited Diffusion	76
3.10 Failure to Provide Interpreting Services in All Legal and Quasi-Legal	
Forums, Including Encounters with Administrative Agencies	77
3.11 Failure to Record Foreign Language Testimony	78
3.12 Denying LEP Defendants Trial by a Jury of Their Peers	79
3.13 Use of Child Interpreters	80
4. Signs of Improvement	81
2.2.1 Formal Educines, The Fathway to Competence	
Chapter 3 • Interpreting as a Profession	83
1. History of Interpreting	83
2. Categories of Interpreting	84
2.1 Community Interpreting	85
2.1.1 Social Services	87
2.2 Medical Interpreting	87
2.2.1 Mental Health	88
2.3 Educational Interpreting	89
2.4 Business Interpreting	91

1X

	2.5 Conference Interpreting	91
	2.5.1 Seminar Interpreting	92
	2.5.2 Escort Interpreting	92
	2.6 Remote Interpreting	92
	2.7 Relay Interpreting	93
3.	Legal Implications of Interpreting	93
	oter 4 • The Profession of Court Interpretation	95
	Introduction	95
2.	Types of Legal Interpreting	95
	2.1 Quasi-Judicial Interpreting	95
	2.2 Court Interpreting	96
3.	Conference Interpreting versus Court Interpreting	96
4.	Growth of Court Interpreting as a Profession	98
5.	The Professionalization of Court Interpreting	99
6.	Current Status of the Court Interpreting Profession	101
7.	Improving the Professional Status of the Interpreter	103
	7.1 Clarifying Ethical Principles and Advancing Professional Status	103
	7.2 Assertion of Professional Standing	105
	Unit 1 Common and an entering the Unit 1	
	Historical Antecedents	
-	oter 5 • Overview of Language Policy in the U.S.	109
1.	Fundamental Sociolinguistic Concepts	110
	1.1 Language Policy as a Socially Constructed Phenomenon, Reflecting	
	Societal Concerns, Biases, and Values	111
	1.1.1 A Model for Deciphering and Evaluating Language Policy	119
	1.1.2 Applying the Model: A Policy Analysis of Arizona Proposition	
	203 (2000), English Language Education for Children in Public	
	Schools ("English for the Children")	119
	1.2 Language and Identity	123
	1.3 Acculturation versus Assimilation	124
	1.4 Language Ideology	125
	1.5 Language Attitudes	127
	1.6 Language Rights	127
2.	The History of Attitudes Toward Foreign Languages and Language Policy	
	in the U.S.	129
	2.1 Prevailing Multilingualism in the Colonial Period (1492 to 1776)	129
	2.2 Mounting Nationalism and Growing Inequality for Language Minorities	
	through the Implementation of Restrictive Language Policies from the	
	Establishment of the U.S. through the 1880s (1764 to 1880s)	130
	2.3 Increasing English-Only Initiatives during the Great Wave of Migration	
	to the U.S. (1880s to 1950s)	133
	2.3.1 Educational Language Policies	134
	2.4 Emerging Social Consciousness and Activism Leading to the Equal	
	Access Legislation Enacted during the Civil Rights Movement	
	(1960s to 1970s)	135
	2.4.1 Political Access	136

X

	2.4.2 Educational Access	136
	2.4.3 Employment Access	137
	2.4.4 Legal Access	138
	2.5 Rising Backlash against Civil Rights Linguistic Access Laws Expressed	
	through English-Only Initiatives during a Period of Increased	
	Immigration from Latin America and Asia (1980s to 1990s)	139
	2.5.1 Advancing English-Only Policies in the 1980s and 1990s	140
	2.5.2 Continuing Struggle for Civil Rights	141
	2.5.3 Advancing Non-English Languages	145
	2.6 Escalating Nationalistic and Anti-Immigrant Sentiments Expressed	
	through English-Only Legislation in Response to Terrorist Aggression,	
	Dramatic Demographic Shifts, and Changing Economic Conditions	
	(2000 to 2010)	145
	2.6.1 The Unprecedented Number and Scope of	
	English-Only Initiatives	147
	2.6.2 Continued Struggle for Equal Rights	147
3.	Conclusion	152
	7.1 Claritone Shikisi Penerjalas and Wilcomeias Deskins and Statute Control of the Control of th	KI
Chap	eter 6 • Bridging the Language Gap: Access to Due Process	155
_	Federal Rules of Procedure	155
	Precursors to the Federal Court Interpreters Act	156
	Protection of Constitutional Rights by the Interpreter	157
	Early Cases Concerning Interpreters	158
	Court Interpretation Before 1978	159
nii.	5.1 Discretion of the Court to Appoint an Interpreter	159
	5.2 A Constitutional Approach: The Concept of "Linguistic Presence"	
	and Right of Confrontation	160
	5.3 The Waiver of the Right to an Interpreter	163
	5.4 Ad Hoc Interpreters	164
	5.4.1 Using Bilingual Attorneys as Interpreters	164
	5.4.2 Appointment of Friends, Relatives, or Adversaries	
	of the Defendant	165
6	Deficient Interpreting Skills	165
	Recognizing the Pervasiveness of Inadequate Interpretation	166
	recognizing the rervasiveness of madequate interpretation	100
Chan	oter 7 • The Court Interpreters Act	169
_	The Court Interpreters Act of 1978	169
001	1.1 Provisions of the Court Interpreters Act	169
	1.2 Recognition of Defendant's Needs	170
	1.3 Recognition of the Need for Quality	170
	1.4 Mandating Interpreters	171
2	Benefits of the Court Interpreters Act	171
2.	2.1 Improved and Standardized Pay Scales	172
	2.2 The Ripple Effect	173
	2.3 International Impact	173
3	Shortcomings of the Court Interpreters Act	174
5.	3.1 Determining Linguistic Competency	174
	3.2 Training, Testing, and the Lack of Competent Interpreters	175
4	Monitoring the Court Interpreters Act	176
Lo	Transferring the Court three protectories	-,0

CONTENTS xi

	4.1 Federal Court Interpreters Advisory Board	177
	4.1.1 Establish Criteria to Trigger Certification	177
	4.1.2 Establish Guidelines for "Professionally or Otherwise	
	Qualified Interpreters"	178
	4.1.3 Proposed Pay Schedules for Freelance Interpreters	180
	4.1.4 Orientation Program for "Professionally Qualified/Language	
	Skilled" Interpreters	180
	4.1.5 Develop a Code of Professional Conduct	181
	4.1.6 Continuing Education	181
5.	The Court Interpreters Amendments Act of 1988	181
	The Court Interpreters Act as Amended—Interim Regulations	183
	Obstacles to the Equal Provisjonal fall of the extension and tale of the Education of the E	
Chap	oter 8 • Continuing Access Problems in Federal Courts after the Court	
	Interpreters Act of 1978	185
1.	Introduction International Introduction Internation Introduction Introduction Introduction Introduction Inte	185
2.	Should the Defendant Have Been Appointed an Interpreter?	187
	2.1 Court's Mistaken Assumption That All Biographical Information	
	Is Predictive of Language Proficiency	188
	2.2 Court Errs by Relying on Defendant to Self-Assess English Proficiency	190
	2.2.1 Underestimating the Difficulty of Self-Assessment of English	
	Proficiency for an Unknown Setting	191
	2.2.2 Ignoring the Effect of an LEP Person's Cultural Beliefs and	
	Practices in Self-Assessing English Proficiency	192
	2.3 Courts Wrongfully Rely on Counsel Regarding Defendant's English	
	Proficiency	193
	2.4 Court's Improper Assessment of English Proficiency Using	
	Close-Ended Questions	195
3.	Whom Should the Court Appoint as Interpreter?	197
	3.1 Courts' Continued Use of Ad Hoc Interpreters	197
	3.1.1 Use of Family Members as Interpreters	197
	3.1.2 Use of Noncertified Interpreters	198
	3.1.3 Use of Attorneys as Interpreters	199
	3.2 Court Unduly Relies on Counsel to Object to Unqualified Interpreters	199
4.	Is Interpreter Error Sufficient to Require Reversal on Appeal?	200
	4.1 Courts' Failure to Understand the Effect of Interpreter Errors on	
	Linguistic Presence	201
	4.2 Court's Misinterpretation of Defendant's Silence as Acceptance of	
	Interpreter Performance	202
	4.2.1 Judges' Unfounded Fear of Defendant's "Abuse" of the	
	Judicial System	203
5.	Identifying Problems and Suggestions for Moving toward Language Access	
	in the Courts	205
	5.1 High Standard of Review (Procedural Problems)	205
	5.2 Trial Court's Broad Discretion (Substantive Problem)	207
	5.3 Towards a Unified Approach to Deciding Interpreter Cases	207
	5.4 Evaluating the LEP Individual's Language Accommodation Needs	209
	5.4.1 Assessment of Interpreter Competence	210
	5.4.2 Circumscribing Judge's Discretion through the Use of a	210
	Linguistically Sound Voir Dire	211
	0	

xii CONTENTS

	5.5 Lack of Knowledge Regarding Language Accommodation Issues	211
	5.5.1 Lawyers Should Assume the Role of Linguistic Access Advocate	212
	5.5.2 Judges Should Assume a More Proactive Role as Guarantors	
	of Linguistic Access	213
	5.6 The Court Interpreting Profession Should Take a More Assertive Role	213
	in Promoting Equal Access to Justice through Competent Interpretation	
	and Adherence to Ethical Standards	213
-		
6.	Conclusion	214
Chan	eter 9 • Court Interpretation at the State and Local Court Level	215
_		
	Brief Overview of Interpreting in State and Local Courts	215
2.	Obstacles to the Equal Provision of Interpreter Services in State Courts	217
	2.1 Lack of Effective and Ethical Utilization of Interpreter Services	217
	2.2 Inadequate Determination of the Need for Interpreter Services	218
	2.3 Inadequate Articulation and Assessment of Standards for Interpreter	
	Competency and Qualifications	219
	2.4 Inconsistent Provision of Interpreters in All Settings and Stages	
	of the Legal System	219
	2.5 Inconsistent Provision of Interpreters across Criminal or	
	Civil Proceedings	220
	2.6 Inconsistent Language Accommodation in Local and Specialized	
	Court Systems	220
	2.7 Circumvention of Financial Responsibility for the Cost of	
	Interpreter Services	221
3.	States' Recognition of the Need for Court Interpreter Services:	
	1970s to the Present	222
	3.1 Provision of Interpreter Services by the State Courts	224
	3.2 Continuing Disparity in the Provision of Interpreter Services	100
	in State Courts	225
	3.3 The Emergence of Court Interpreter Programs in Individual States	226
	3.3.1 California	226
	3.3.2 New York	228
	3.3.3 New Mexico	230
	3.3.4 New Jersey	231
1	3.3.5 Washington State	233
4.	Establishment of the Consortium for Language Access in the Courts	234
	4.1 Structure and Functions of the Consortium	236
	4.2 Ramifications of the Consortium's Lack of Mandatory Standards	225
	and Central Authority	237
	4.2.1 The Inadequacy of Tiered Certification Systems	237
	4.2.2 Legal Loopholes to the Provision of Interpreter Services	239
	4.2.3 Delayed Implementation of Court Interpreter Programs	239
	4.2.4 Violation of LEP Persons' Fundamental Right to Equal Access	240
	4.2.5 Inconsistent Testing and Reciprocity Standards	240
5.	Current Status of Court Interpreting at the State and Local Level in	
	Non-Consortium States	241
6.	Future Considerations and Recommendations	242
	6.1 Legislative Remedies to Support the Funding of State Court	
	Interpreter Programs	242
	6.2 Further Research and Advocacy for Language Access	243

CONTERNIE	***
CONTENTS	X111
COLLECTIO	AIII

	6.3 Need for Continued DOJ Enforcement and Empirically Based	
	Competency Criteria	243
	6.4 Need for a Government Agency with Proper Authority	244
	oter 10 • Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and Its Implementation	245
1.	Title VI and Language Access: Introduction	245
	1.1 Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964	246
	1.2 Lau v. Nichols (1974)	246
	1.3 Executive Orders 12250 and 13166	247
	1.3.1 Executive Order 12250 (1980)	247
	1.3.2 Executive Order 13166 (2000)	247
	1.4 The Department of Justice: LEP Guidance	248
2.	LEP Guidance and M word rank to more the mind and the respect to the	249
	2.1 Who Must Comply	249
	2.2 Four-Factor Analysis	249
	2.2.1 Number or Proportion of LEP Persons	250
	2.2.2 Frequency of Use	250
	2.2.3 Critical Nature of Activity	250
	2.2.4 Resources and Costs	251
	2.3 Final Determination of Title VI Obligations	251
3.	Enforcement of Title VI and American American American State of Title VI and American State o	253
	3.1 Judicial Enforcement of Title VI	253
	3.1.1 Pre-Sandoval (2001)	253
	3.1.2 Sandoval (2001)	254
	3.1.3 Post-Sandoval (2001)	255
	3.2 The DOJ's Enforcement of Title VI: Complaint and Compliance	
	Review Procedure and Book and Book and Book	255
	3.2.1 Voluntary Compliance	256
	3.2.2 Enforcement through Termination of Federal Funding	256
4.	The DOJ's Enforcement of the LEP Guidance	257
	4.1 DOJ Enforcement of Title VI in State Courts	257
	4.2 DOJ Enforcement Efforts	258
	4.3 Historical Shortcomings of the LEP Guidance	261
	4.4 The Future of LEP Guidance: The Colorado Judicial Department Model	262
	4.5 The DOJ's Renewed Commitment to Title VI Compliance by	
	All Federal Agencies	265
01	er to - Criminal Procedure sensiod to teamraged	
Chap	ter 11 • Guidance to State Courts on the Provision of Meaningful Access	
106-	to LEP Individuals	267
	State Courts as the Principal Forum for the Protection of Legal Rights	267
2.	Best Practice Standards for Achieving Language Access in State Courts	268
	2.1 Interpreters Must Be Provided in All Types of Court Proceedings,	
	Including Court-Annexed Proceedings and Critical Encounters	
	Outside the Courtroom	269
	2.2 Interpreting Services Must Extend beyond the Courtroom to All	0.70
	Court Services and Alternative Programs	270
	2.3 Interpreters Must Be Provided at No Charge	270
	2.4 Fiscal Pressures Should Not Obstruct LEP Individuals' Access	
	to the Legal Process	271

	2.5 Courts Have a Duty to Advise LEP Persons of Their Right	
	to an Interpreter	272
	2.6 Interpreter Services Must Be Provided in a Timely Manner	272
	2.7 Language Service Providers Must Be Competent	273
	2.7.1 Courts Should Assure the Competency of All Interpreters	273
	2.7.2 Assure Provision of Competent Interpreting Services in	
		274
	Languages of Limited Diffusion (LLDs)	2/4
	2.8 Courts Should Ensure That All Bilingual Staff and Contract Providers	275
	Are Trained and Competent	275
	2.9 Qualifications and Training of a Competent Court Interpreter	276
	2.10 Courts Must Provide Competent, Appropriate Translations of	
	Vital Documents	278
	2.11 Judges and Other Court Personnel Must Know When and	
	How to Appoint Interpreters	280
3.	Courts Must Develop and Implement a Language Access Plan	281
250	3.1 Essential Elements of a Language Access Plan (LAP)	281
	3.1.1 Courts Must Document All Aspects Related to	
	Providing Language Services	282
	3.2 Recommendations for Effectively Developing and Implementing	
	a Language Assistance Plan	283
		200
	3.3 Courts Should Initiate a Systematic Approach by Examining Language	284
	Service Needs in Every Facet of Their Activities, Programs, and Services	
	3.3.1 Linguistic Contact Map	285
	3.4 Self-Monitoring Court Compliance with Title VI	286
4.	Training Standards for the Implementation of an Effective LAP	286
	4.1 Required Title VI Training for Court Personnel	286
5.	Need for Statewide Coordination	288
	3.2 Continuing Disparity to the Provisicomedgme@spatitatologics.	
	oter 12 • Interpreting for Federal Government Agencies	293
1.	Department of Justice	293
	1.1 Executive Office for Immigration Review	294
	1.2 Federal Bureau of Investigation	297
	1.2.1 The FBI's National Virtual Translation Center	298
2.	Department of Homeland Security	298
	2.1 Department of Homeland Security Immigration and Border Agencies	300
	2.2 United States Coast Guard	301
3.	Department of Defense	302
	State Department	303
CAC.	4.1 Office of Language Services	304
	4.1.1 Interpreting Division	304
	4.1.2 Translating Division	305
_		305
	1000101 21100100 7 0 0	306
6.	Conclusion	300
01		207
-	oter 13 • Court Interpreting Outside the U.S.	307
	Introduction The Control of the Cont	307
2.	International Tribunals	308
	2.1 United Nations Tribunals	308
	2.2 Regional Tribunals	309
	2.2.1 The Americas	309

CONTENTS	XV
COLLEGE	ALV

	2.2.2 Africa	310
	2.2.3 Europe	310
3.	National Court Systems	313
	3.1 Asia	313
	3.2 Latin America	315
	3.3 Africa	315
	3.4 Canada	316
	3.5 Australia	320
	3.5.1 National Accreditation	
		321
	3.6 The United Kingdom	323
200	3.6.1 Language Rights	323
4.	Conclusion Law	324
	7.1 Impaneling the fury	
	7.2. Opening Statements 2 tinU wall of statements 2.2.	
	Legal Overview	
Chap	ter 14 • Overview of the U.S. Government	329
	Introduction	329
2.	Definition of Law	329
3.	Overview of the U.S. Government	330
Chap	ter 15 • Overview of the U.S. Criminal Justice System	333
1.	Introduction Sensible and a V	333
2.	The Constitution and Its Amendments	333
3.	Criminal Law	335
4.	Burden of Proof	336
	Punishment and Description of the Punish	337
	The Judicial Setting	337
	Distinctions Among Courts	338
	Jurisdiction and an analysis a	339
	State Courts	339
	Federal Courts	340
	Other Agencies and Officials	342
	Civil Law	
	The Adversarial System	343
13.	The Adversariar System	345
Chan	tow 16 a Cuiminal Duagaduma	247
	ter 16 • Criminal Procedure	347
	Pre- and Post-Arrest Investigations	347
2.	Arrest	347
	2.1 Types of Criminal Offenses	348
	2.2 Search	348
	2.3 Advisement of Rights (Miranda Warning)	349
	2.3.1 History	349
	2.3.2 Elements of the Miranda Warning	349
	2.3.3 Reading of the Miranda Warnings	350
	2.3.4 Subsequent Developments and Known Issues	351
	2.3.5 Additional Rights of Foreign Nationals	352
	2.4 Booking	352
	2.5 Charging	353
3.	Initial Appearance	354

xvi CONTENTS

4.	Preliminary Hearing or Grand Jury Proceedings	355
5.	Arraignments in Courts of General Jurisdiction	356
	Pretrial Procedures	357
	6.1 Pretrial Motions	357
	6.2 Disposition of Cases Other Than by Trial	359
	6.2.1 Plea Bargains	359
	6.2.2 Pretrial Diversion	361
	6.2.3 Compromise	361
	6.3 Pretrial or Status Conferences and Omnibus Hearings	361
	6.4 Bail	362
	6.4.1 Opportunities for Bail	362
7	The Trial	364
1.	7.1 Impaneling the Jury	365
	7.2 Opening Statements	367
	7.3 Presentation of Evidence	367
	7.3.1 Testimonial Evidence	367
	7.3.2 Tangible Evidence	368
	7.4 Objections	369
	7.4.1 Objections to Questions	370
	7.4.1 Objections to Questions 7.4.2 Objections to Answers	372
		372
	7.4.3 Objections to Exhibits	373
	7.5 Resting the Case	373
	7.6 The Delense	373
	7.7 Rebuttals	374
	7.8 Closing Arguments	374
	7.9 Final Jury Instructions	375
	7.9.1 General Instructions	377
	7.9.2 Special Instructions	377
	7.10 Bailiff's Oath	377
	7.11 Jury Denberations	378
	7.12 Hung Jury	378
	7.13 Verdict	378
8	. Sentencing	
	8.1 Presentencing Report	379
	8.2 Sentencing at the State Level	379
	8.3 Sentencing at the Federal Level	380
	. Retributive/Restorative/Therapeutic Justice	382
10	. Appeals	384
Cha	pter 17 • Comparative Legal Traditions and the Interpreter	385
	. Introduction	385
2	2. Comparative Law Defined	385
	2.1 Complexities in Comparative Law	386
	2.2 Professed and Underlying Values	386
3	3. The Common Law or Anglo-American Tradition	387
Idi	3.1 History sound has anomyological incupsedue A.C.S.	387
	3.2 The Players in the Common Law System	387
	3.2.1 Role of the Judge in the Common Law System	388
	3.3 Procedure and Trials in the Common Law Tradition	388

CONTENTE	
CONTENTS	XV11

4.	. The Civil Law or Romano-Germanic Tradition	389
	4.1 History	389
	4.1.1 Two Different Meanings of "Civil Law"	389
	4.1.2 The Justinian Compilation	390
	4.1.3 The Reception of Roman Law in the Western World and	
	Globally Canada and American and American Control of the Control o	390
	4.1.4 Modern Iterations of Roman Law	391
	4.1.5 General Principles of Law	392
	4.1.6 The Players in the Civil Law System	392
5.	Comparison of Civil and Common Law Families	394
	5.1 Principles versus Procedures	394
	5.2 Sources of Law	394
	5.3 The Role of Precedent	395
	5.4 Public and Private Law	395
	5.5. Notary Public	396
6.	Criminal Procedure in Civil Law Jurisdictions	396
	6.1 Common Beliefs	397
	6.1.1 Presumption of Innocence	397
	6.1.2 Jury Trials	397
	6.1.3 Adversarial Nature	397
	6.2 Traditional Criminal Procedure	397
	6.2.1 Preliminary Investigation	398
	6.2.2 Dismissal	398
	6.2.3 Bail	398
	6.2.4 The Court-Centered Approach	398
	6.2.5 Public and Private Prosecutors	399
	6.2.6 Trial	399
	6.2.7 Testimony	399
	6.2.8 Composition of the Court	400
	6.2.9 Appeals	400
	6.3 The Changing Face of Criminal Procedure in Latin America	401
-	6.3.1 Chile/Chihuahua Case Study	402
	The Socialist Law Tradition or Socialist Law Family	404
8.	Islamic or Sharia Law	405
	8.1 Definition	405
	8.2 Branches	406
	8.3 Modern History	406
	8.4 Contemporary Practice	406
0	8.5 Legal and Court Proceedings (1) Information and Selection (1) Select	406
9.	Mixed Legal Traditions: The Navajo Nation	407
	alse Confessions in Custodial Interrogation	
	Unit 3	
	Utilization of Interpreter Services	
hap	ter 18 • The Role of the Court Interpreter in the U.S. Legal System	411
	The Court Interpreter Defined	411
2.	Rationales for the Use of Interpreters in the U.S. Legal System	412
	2.1 The Fourth Amendment	412
	2.2 The Fifth Amendment	413

C

xviii CONTENTS

2.3	The Sixth Amendment	414
2.4	The Eighth Amendment	415
3. Leg	al Status of the Court Interpreter	415
3.1	The Court Interpreter's Dual Roles: Court's Expert and Officer	
	of the Court	416
	3.1.1 Understanding the Court Interpreter's Dual Role	417
	3.1.2 González Ethics Case Study: Interpreters Offering	
	Expert Opinion Outside Expertise	419
	3.1.3 Courts Compelling Interpreters to Exceed Ethical Boundaries	421
3.2	Legal Standards Governing the Interpreter as Expert Witness	422
	3.2.1 Daubert Expert Witness Standard	422
3.3	A Reconceptualization of the Interpreter as an Officer of the Court	424
	3.3.1 The Court Interpreter as Language Access Specialist	425
	5.4 Public and Private Law street granted	
Chapter 1	9 • The Use of Interpreters at Specific Stages of the	
	Criminal Justice Process	427
1. Pro	s and Cons of CI and SI in the Judicial Setting	427
2. Arre	est some on a superior of lanocence	432
3. Inte	erviews and the state of the st	433
4. Init	ial Appearance	433
5. Pret	rial Motions and Status Conferences	434
6. Tria	ls ting the Case and another treatment present present (1/5/8)	435
7. Post	t-Trial Procedures	435
8. App	peals that E.S.A.	436
9. Mul	tiple Defendants	436
10. Mul	tiple Language Proceedings, Relay Interpreting	437
11. Inte	rpreting for LEP Jurors	438
	er Legal Settings	438
13. Juve	nile Courts	439
Chapter 2	0 • Language Accommodation Needs in the Custodial Interrogation	
	Stage of the Criminal Justice System	443
	rent State of Interrogation Policy with Regard to LEP Populations	444
1.1	Lack of Compliance with Legal Obligations to Provide Meaningful	
	Language Access in Custodial Interrogations	445
1.2	International Court of Justice Recognized Unequal Treatment of	
	LEP Persons in U.S. Custodial Interrogations	446
1.3	Lack of Competent Interpreter Services Produces Unreliable Evidence,	
905	False Confessions, and Wrongful Convictions	446
	Miranda Rights: Protection against Involuntary Statements and	
	e Confessions in Custodial Interrogation	448
	Pre-Miranda (1966) History	449
2.2	Miranda v. Arizona, 1966: The Miranda Rights	449
	2.2.1 Stricter Criteria for Evaluating Legal Invocation of	
115 The	Miranda Rights	450
2.3	Miranda Rights Comprehension	452
	2.3.1 Miranda Comprehension Requires Knowledge of the	
	U.S. Criminal Justice System	453
	2.3.2 Miranda Rights Complexity	455

CONTENTS xix

	2.4 High Correlation between Noncomprehension of Miranda Rights and Miranda Waiver	
	2.5 High Correlation Between Lack of Miranda Comprehension and	46
	False Confession	46
3	The Need for Court Interpretation Standards in Custodial Interrogations	46
٥.	3.1 Goals of the Custodial Interrogation	46
	3.2 False Confessions: An Increasingly Recognized Phenomenon	46
	3.3 Pervasive Belief that Interrogation Tactics Do Not Cause	40
	False Confessions	46
	3.4 Recognition of Vulnerable Persons Who Require Extra Consideration	10
	in Miranda Rights Advisals and Custodial Interrogations	46
	3.5 Situation-Based Factors in False Confessions	46
	3.6 LEPs Should Be Considered a Vulnerable Class Requiring	10
	Accommodations and Extra Consideration	46
4	Barrier to Justice for LEP Suspects: Increased Use of Police Officers as	40
1.	Interpreters and Foreign Language Interrogators in Custodial Interrogations	47
	4.1 The Use of Putative Law Enforcement (PLE) Interpreters Systematically	7/
	Obstructs Justice for LEP Suspects	47
	4.2 The Use of Putative Law Enforcement (PLE) Interpreters Violates	1/
	Professional Interpreting Standards as Well as Legal Requirements	47
	4.2.1 PLE Interpreters as Transcribers of Evidence Should	4/
	Be Prohibited	47
	4.3 PLE Interpreters Heighten Coercive Effects of Interrogation for	4/
	LEP Suspects	47
	4.3.1 Switching Footing Enhances Coercion and Subverts Miranda	47
	4.4 Denying Language Accommodations Enhances Interrogator Power	48
	4.4.1 Fear and Anxiety Compromise Language Proficiency	48
	4.5 Need to Prohibit the Use of PLE Interpreters in Custodial Interrogations	48
5	Cultural Beliefs and Assumptions Conflict with Understanding	40
٥.	the Miranda Rights	48
	5.1 LEP Suspect Dependence on Cultural Schema and Knowledge of	40
	Home Country's Criminal Justice System	48
	5.2 LEP Suspects of Mexican Origin: Fear of Police and Torture	48
	5.3 Culturally Determined Tendencies of LEP Suspects	48
6	Sociolinguistic Effects of the Custodial Interrogation on the LEP Suspect	48
0.	6.1 The Asymmetrical Power Relationship and Its Effects on LEP Suspects	48
	6.2 Pattern of Acquiescent Responses Used by LEP Suspects	48
	6.3 LEP and Other Marginalized Populations Use Indirect Imperative	40
	and Polite Interrogative Forms	10
	6.4 Cross-Cultural Communication and Custodial Interrogations of	48
	LEP Suspects	49
	6.5 LEP Suspects Accommodate Nonproficient Police Speech for Social	49
	Approval	40
	6.5.1 Inadequate Language Accommodations Increase Police	49
	Power by Forcing LEP Suspects to Assume the Role of	40
7	Language Assistant or Mediator	49
/.	Interrogation Tactics and the LEP Suspect	49
	7.1. Pre-Miranda Techniques and Conditions Used to Increase	40
	Suspect Vulnerability	49

	7.1.1 Isolation and Duration of Interrogation Promotes Anxiety	
	and Enhances Officers' Coercive Power	493
	7.1.2 Mirandizing Suspects in a State of Sleep Inertia or	
	Sleep Deprivation	494
	7.1.3 Handcuffs or Similar Restraints Increase Police Power	6116
	and Suspect Dependence	494
		495
	7.1.4 Failure to Inform the Suspect of Charges	
	7.2 Tactics that Minimize the Importance of Miranda Rights	496
	7.2.1 Prefaces to Miranda Rights that Minimize or	
	Countermand Protections	496
	7.2.2 Rapport Building to Distract from the Adversarial Nature	
	of Interrogation and Importance of Miranda Rights	500
	7.2.3 Linguistic Manipulation of Waiver Question	501
	7.2.4 Verbal Dominance and Failure to Address Requests	
	for Clarification and Functional Invocations	502
	7.2.5 Not Providing Ample Time to Contemplate Waiver	505
		506
	, 10 301101111 11110111 80111111	
	7.3.1 Minimization Tactics	506
	7.3.2 Maximization Tactics	507
	7.4 Question Types	512
	7.4.1 Yes/No and Other Close-Ended Questions	513
	7.4.2 Leading Questions	514
	7.4.3 Tag Questions	515
	7.4.4 Compound and Compound-Complex Questions	516
	7.4.5 Serial Questions	517
	7.4.6 Monologues	518
0	The Construction of False Confessions in Cases Involving LEP Suspects	518
0.		520
	8.1 The Case of Mr. Juan Lara	
à	8.2. The Case of Mr. Reynaldo Ramos	522
9.	Best Practice Recommendations	525
	9.1 Law Enforcement Should Be Required to Use PCI Interpreter	
	ervices for All Custodial Interrogations and Miranda Advisals	525
	9.2 All Custodial Interrogations and Miranda Advisals Should Be Audio	
	and Video Recorded to Safeguard Suspect Rights, Promote	
	Transparency, Reduce Coercive Practices, and Provide	
	Pertinent Information to Triers of Fact	525
	9.2.1 Failure to Record Perpetuates Overly Coercive Police	446
	Practices and Heightens the Risk of False and	
		526
	Unreliable Confessions	526
	9.2.1.1 Positioning of the Interpreter in Recorded Custodial	
	Interrogations	527
	9.3 Judges and Attorneys Should Be Skeptical of Evidence Produced from	
	Interrogations Involving LEP Suspects When Police Interpreters	
	or Alleged "Bilingual" Interrogators Are Used	527
	9.4 In the Evaluation of Involuntary Statements or False Confessions,	
	Courts Should Take into Account the Cultural and Linguistic Factors	
	That Intensify LEP Suspects' Vulnerability to Coercive Police Practices,	
	and Should Rely on Expert Opinion	528
	and onotice they on Expert Opinion	520

CONTENTS xxi

	9.5 Judges Should Be Aware of the Testing Requirements Used to Validate Allegedly Bilingual Officers and Seek Insight into the Legitimacy of	
	These Testing Instruments through Expert Testimony	528
	9.6 A Linguistically Sound Version of the Miranda Rights Should Be	=20
	Developed and Standardized for Use in All Police Agencies in the U.S. 9.7 A Miranda Rights Advisal Should Be Crafted for LEP Persons and	529
	Other Vulnerable Groups	529
	9.8 Replace Close-Ended Question Types in Miranda Rights Advisals	
	with Open-Ended Questions That Invoke Narrative Responses	530
	Unit 4	
	LEP Guidance for Judges and Attorneys	
	The Self-Connections of Intermedian Enturers program	
Cha	pter 21 • Judges' Guide to Working with Interpreters and LEP Litigants	533
1	. Introduction: The Judge as Guarantor of Linguistic Access	533
	. The Role of the Court Interpreter	534
3	Legal Obligations to Appoint a Court Interpreter	534
	3.1 Constitutional Right to an Interpreter	534
	3.1.1 State Criminal Proceedings	535
	3.1.2 State Civil Proceedings	535
	3.2 Statutory Right to an Interpreter in Federal Criminal and Civil	
	Proceedings	536
	3.3 Right to an Interpreter under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964	536
4.	. Evaluating LEP Litigants' Language Accommodation Needs	536
	4.1 BICS and CALP: An Important Framework for Understanding the	
	Need for Advanced English Language Proficiency to Fully Participate	
	in the Legal Setting	537
	4.2 Fundamentals Model Voir Dire for Eliciting an LEP Person's Relevant	
	Sociolinguistic Background Information and a Language Sample	537
	4.2.1 Sociolinguistic Background Factors	539
	4.2.2 Assessing the Language Sample	542
	4.2.2.1 Evaluating the Narrative Response	542
	4.2.2.2 Assessing the Cross-Examination Responses	544
	4.2.2.3 Reviewing the Answers to Legal Terminology	545
	4.3 Making the Final Decision to Appoint an Interpreter	546
	4.3.1 Judges Should Employ a Rebuttable Presumption and Err	F 47
	on the Side of Caution	547
	4.3.2 An LEP Person's Belief That He Does Not Need an Interpreter Is Unreliable	E 47
		547
	4.3.3 Do Not Rely on Attorneys' Assurance That There Is No Language Problem or Failure to Request an Interpreter	E 10
	4.3.4 Any Requests for Interpreters Should be Considered Bona Fide	548
	and Granted	548
	4.4 Court Interpreters Should Be Appointed in All Stages of the Legal	340
	Process and All Legal and Quasi-Legal Proceedings	549
	4.5 Court Interpreters Must Be Provided at No Charge	549
	4.6 Waiver of a Court Interpreter	550
	4.6.1 Who Can Waive the Right to An Interpreter?	550
	-0-11	220

xxii CONTENTS

	4.6.2 Ensuring a Knowing, intelligent, and voluntary waiver of an	
	Interpreter	55
5.	Judges Must Appoint Competent, Certified or Qualified Court Interpreters	55
	5.1 Basic Knowledge and Skill Required by Court Interpreters	55
	5.2 Certified Interpreters are Presumed Qualified and Should Be Preferred	55
	5.2.1 Postpone Proceedings until a Certified Interpreter Can Be	
	Made Available	55
	5.2.2 Maintain Database of Certified and Otherwise Qualified	
	Interpreters	55
	5.3 Selection and Appointment of Otherwise Qualified, Noncertified	
	Interpreters	55
	5.3.1 A Review of Federal Court Categories for "Otherwise Qualified"	
	Interpreters	55
	5.3.2 Systematic Procedure to Assess Noncertified Interpreters'	
	Qualifications	55
	5.4 <i>Voir Dire</i> to Determine Interpreter Competency	55
	5.5 Making the Final Decision Regarding Noncertified Interpreter	
	Competence	56
	5.5.1 Appoint Interpreters Who Speak the Language of the Client	56
	5.5.2 Judges and Attorneys Should Not Serve as Interpreters	56
	5.5.3 Translators Are Not Interpreters	56
	5.6 Delegate Authority to Determine Noncertified Interpreter Competency	56
	5.7 Challenges to Qualifications of Interpreters	56
	5.8 Removal of Interpreter	56
	5.9 Telephonic Interpreters	56
6	Interpreting Basics	56
0.	6.1 Modes of Interpretation and Their Use in the Legal Setting	56
	6.2 Sociolinguistic Issues	56
	6.2.1 Meaning-Based versus Literal Interpretation	56
	6.2.2 Bridging the Cultural Gap	56
	6.2.3 Monitoring Interpretation in Sexual Assault Cases	56
7	Ensuring Quality Interpretation during Court Proceedings	57
1.	7.1 Proper Court Interpreting Protocol	5
		5,
	7.1.1 Interpreters Should Interpret Everything without	
	Modifications, Alterations, or Omissions to Achieve Legal	5
	Equivalence and Meaningful Comprehension	57
	7.1.2 Use Qualified Bilingual Personnel or an Interpreter from	-
	Start to Finish	57
	7.1.3 LEP Litigants Should Be Addressed Directly	57
	7.1.4 Interpreters Should Only Interpret for One Party at a Time	57
	7.1.5 Judges Should Instruct All Legal Actors to Modify Their	-
	Speech Patterns	57
	7.1.6 Proper Use of Relay Interpreting	5
	7.1.7 LEP Witness and Defendant of the Same Language	57
	7.1.8 Interpreters Should Interpret Entire Utterance for LEP Persons	
	Who Codeswitch	57
	7.1.9 Inclusion of Bilingual Jurors	57
	7.2 Addressing Interpreters' Needs in Order to Minimize Errors	57

CONTENTS xxiii

	7.2.1 Provide Appropriate Case Information for Interpreter	
	Preparation Preparation	575
	7.2.2 Ensure Timely Appointment of Interpreters	576
	7.2.3 Use Team Interpreting or Rotate Interpreters	576
	7.2.4 Address Interpreters' Need for Audibility and Close Proximity	
	to Speaker to Speaker	578
	7.2.5 Grant Requests for Repetition or Rephrasing	579
	7.2.6 Permit Interpreters Access to Needed Resources	579
	7.2.7 Permit and Encourage Interpreters to Take Notes in All	
	Proceedings	580
	7.3 Monitoring Interpreter Performance	580
	7.4 Handling Interpreting Error	581
	7.4.1 Self-Corrections of Interpreting Error	581
	7.4.2 Objections to Interpretation	581
	7.5 Seek Guidance from Interpreters as Language Access Specialists	582
	7.5.1 Be Cautious about Seeking Expert Cultural Advice from	302
	Interpreters when the Market M	582
	7.5.2 Assist Interpreters to Stay within Their Scope of Practice	583
8.	Preliminary Instructions to Court Actors, Jury, Witnesses, and LEP	303
18	Litigants Regarding the Use of Interpreters in Court	583
	8.1 General Instructions to the Court Regarding the Role of the Interpreter	584
	8.2 Instructions to the LEP Defendant Regarding the Role of the Interpreter	584
	8.3 Instructions to Witnesses Regarding the Role of the Interpreter	585
	8.4 Instructions for Jurors Regarding the Role of the Interpreter and	303
	Challenge to In-Court Interpretations	586
9	Admitting Foreign Language Evidence: Documents, Recordings, and	300
	Transcription/Translations	587
	9.1 Addressing Forensic Transcription/Translation Disputes	588
	9.1.1 Best Judicial Practice in Addressing Transcription/Translation	300
	Disputes	589
	9.1.2 Judicial Appointment of Independent FTT Specialist	589
	9.2 Transcriptions/Translations as Best Evidence	590
	9.3 Playing Foreign Language Recordings in Court	590
	9.4 Jury Instructions for the Presentation of Transcription/Translations as	390
	Evidence	591
	9.4.1 Accuracy of Transcription/Translation Not in Dispute	591
	9.4.2 Accuracy of Transcription/Translation in Dispute	592
10	Ethical Considerations	
	Training on Interpretation Issues	593
	T	593
12.	Interpreting Technology	594
han	oter 22 • Attorneys' Guide to Working with Interpreters and LEP Litigants	595
	Introduction	595
	The Role of the Attorney	596
	The Attorney and the LEP Client	598
3.	3.1 Attorneys Need to Inform LEP Clients about the U.S. Criminal Justice	330
	System	599
	3.2 LEP Defendants Rely on Inapplicable Cultural Schema Regarding	333
	the U.S. Criminal Justice System	603
	the o.o. Chilinian Justice Oystelli	003

	3.3 Attorneys Must Be Aware of Culturally Determined Tendencies	
	and Behaviors among LEP Clients	604
	3.3.1 Attorneys Should Modify Communication Style with	
0.0	LEP Clients and Monitor Comprehension	605
4	Attorneys Must Ensure the Provision of an Interpreter throughout	
	the Continuum of the Legal Process	606
	4.1 Legal Foundations for the Appointment of Interpreters	606
	4.1.1 Protect the Linguistic Presence of the LEP Individual	607
	4.2 Understand the Interpreter's Role as Language Intermediary	607
	4.2.1 Become Familiar with Interpreter Codes of Ethics	607
	4.2.2 Do Not Ask Interpreters to Perform Duties Outside	
	of the Scope of Their Role as Interpreter	608
	4.2.2.1 Do Not Rely on the Interpreter as an Expert in Areas	
	That Require Expert Testimony Outside of Interpretation and Translation	608
	4.2.3 Provide an Interpreter for LEP Persons throughout All	000
	Interactions with Clients as Well as for All Out-of-Court Events	609
	4.2.4 Assess the Client's Need for an Interpreter	610
	4.2.5 Determine the Client's Dominant Language and	010
	Language Variety	611
	4.2.6 Assure the Interpreter's Neutrality and Confidentiality	612
	4.2.7 Ensure that the Interpreter is Certified or Has Expert	012
	Interpreting Qualifications in the Language of the Client	612
	4.2.8 Ensure that the Interpreter and Client Can Communicate	012
	and That the Interpreter Is Able to Effectively Interpret	
	the Client's Language	613
	4.2.9 Guarantee That an Interpreter Communicates Effectively	013
	in English	614
	4.2.10 Ensure Interpreter Has Subject Matter Expertise	614
	4.2.11 Be Cautious in the Selection of an Interpreter	614
	4.2.12 Ensure Interpreters Are Provided the Ethical Canons	014
	from the Professional Entities Involved in the Case	615
	4.2.13 Request That the Court Appoint an Interpreter Free of Charge	616
	4.2.14 Petition for Preauthorization of Interpreter Fees for	
	Out-of-Court Interpreter Use	616
	4.2.15 Schedule the Interpreter Promptly	617
	4.2.16 Use the Same Interpreter for all Client Visits Whenever Possible	617
	4.2.17 Attorneys Should Not Serve as Interpreters	617
	4.3 Attorney's Obligation to Explain the Role of an Interpreter and pulmeral	
	to the LEP Client	618
	4.3.1 Prepare the LEP Client for Working with an Interpreter	
	in Court or in a Legal Setting	618
	4.4 Attorney's Responsibilities in Working with an Interpreter	619
	4.4.1 Assist the Interpreter to Prepare for Court Proceedings	619
SC.	4.4.2 Request That an Interpreter Prepare for Interpretation	
	of Slang, Idiomatic Speech, Code, or Jargon of the Client	620
	4.4.3 Adjust Speech for Ease of Interpreting	621
	4.4.4 Ensure That an Interpreter Conveys Pragmatic Force 4.4.5 Uphold the Interpreter and Attorney-Client Privilege	621
	and Confidentiality	622

CONTENTS	XXV
CONTENTS	XXV

	5.		paration for Hearings and Trial	623
		5.1	Attorneys Should Advise LEP Clients of Consular Rights	623
			5.1.1 Failure to Utilize Consular Assistance May Amount	
			to Ineffective Assistance of Counsel	625
		5.2	Request the Translation of all Pertinent Documents to Which a	-
		100	Native English Speaker Would Have Access	626
		53	Order an Independent Transcription/Translation of the Defendant's	020
		3.3	Statement Statem	(2)
		E 1		626
			Request That all Proceedings Be Recorded	626
		5.5	Request a Bicultural/Bilingual Expert for All Evaluations	627
			5.5.1 Obtain an Independent Psychological or Other	
			Expert Evaluation	627
		5.6	Jury Considerations	629
		5.7	Specific Arguments Regarding Custodial Interrogation, Miranda,	
			and Consent Searches	630
			5.7.1 Custodial Interrogations and Consent Searches	630
			5.7.2 Challenges to Miranda Waivers	632
	6.	Ster	os for Attorneys at Hearings and Trial	633
		-	Request That Interpreter Qualifications Be Enumerated on the Record	633
			Ensure That the Interpreter Was Administered the Statutorily	033
		0.2		(22
		()	Required Oath	633
		6.3	Request Team Interpreting	634
			6.3.1 Request an Interpreter for Counsel Table	634
			Request a Standby Interpreter	634
			Ensure the Client's Right to an Appeal by Properly Making a Record	635
		6.6	Monitor the Interpreter's Performance in Court and All Other	
			Legal Events	635
			6.6.1 Types of Interpreter Errors	636
		6.7	Document Request for the Interpreter and All Concerns Regarding	
			Professional Relationship with the Interpreter	637
		6.8	Educate the Judge and Jury about Important Cross-Cultural	007
		0.0	Communication Issues That May Interfere with Judging Credibility	
			or Trustworthiness	627
,	7	Cor		637
,		COL	aclusion to Edge and the sense of the sense	638
			Unit 5	
			Management of Court Interpreter Services	
			meance at words. The problems and appropriate sec	
			3 • Management, Recruitment, and Assessment of Interpreters	641
]	1.		d for Establishment of the Office of Court Interpreting Services	
			the Interpreter Supervisor	641
2	2.	Mod	del Office of Court Interpreter Services	641
3	3.	Idea	l Interpreter Supervisor	642
		3.1	Desirable Personal Characteristics	643
			Assignment and Supervisory Functions	643
			Recruitment	644
			3.3.1 The Recruitment Process	644
			3.3.2 Certified Interpreters	
				644
		۸	3.3.3 Noncertified Languages	646
4			essment Procedures	651
		4.1	Interview	652

C

xxvi CONTENTS

	4.2 Biographical Sketch	653
	4.3 Standardized Written Proficiency Examinations	653
	4.4 Shadowing	654
	4.5 Memory Test	655
	4.6 Back-Translation Technique	656
	4.6.1 Procedure	657
	4.6.2 Scoring	658
	4.7 Other Assessment Possibilities	658
	4.2 Understand the interpret tobiomistical again and fill small an upoll A.2.	607
	ter 24 • Orientation, Training, and Monitoring of Interpreters	659
1.	Orientation of New Interpreters	659
	1.1 General Orientation Procedure	660
	1.2 Emergency Orientation Procedure	661
	Short-Term and Inservice Training	662
	Long-Term Training	664
4.	Monitoring of Interpreters	665
Class	And 25 and Aministrative Issues	669
	oter 25 • Administrative Issues	669
1.	Assignment Procedures 1.1 Availability Problems	671
	111 Triumuomity 1100101110	671
	1.2 Emergency Assignments	672
2	1.3 Management of Translation Services	674
2.	Records and Statistical Reporting	674
	2.1 Interpreter Assignments Database	674
	2.2 Electronic Calendars	675
2	2.3 Invoices or Payroll Claims	675
3.	Fee Schedules	678
	3.1 Comparison of Fees	679
	3.2 Pay Rates and Studies	682
1.00	3.3 Staff Interpreter Benefits	683
	Management of Multidefendant Hearings	685
5.	Practical Aspects of Language Access to the Courts	685
	5.1 The Physical Layout of the Court	003
	5.2 Tracking Requests for Accommodations and Interpreted	686
	Court Proceedings	686
	5.3 "Who's Who in Court"	
	5.4 The Role of the Court Interpreter	686
	5.5 Interpreter's Oath	687
	Unit 6	
	Language and the Interpreter	
	Interpreter Supervisor and Legal Setting and Setting a	
	oter 26 • The Nature of Language	691
1.	The Structure of Language	692
	1.1 Phonology	693
	1.2 Morphology	694
	1.2.1 Morphology and the Creation of New Words	695
	1.3 Syntax	696
	1.3.1 Universal Grammar	699

CONTERNITE	
CONTENTS	XXVII
COLILECTIO	22.411

	1.4 Semantics	699
2.	. Language Acquisition	700
	2.1 Stages of Childhood Language Acquisition	701
	2.2 Second Language Acquisition	
	2.2.1 Bilingualism	702
3.	. Sociolinguistics	703
	3.1 Dell Hymes' SPEAKING Model	704
	3.1.1 The SPEAKING Model Applied to a Custodial Interrogation	, 0
	of an LEP Suspect	704
	3.2 Intercultural Communication	706
4.	Sociolinguistic Variables That Impact Language Proficiency	708
1	4.1 Basic Interpersonal Communication Skills and Cognitive Academic	700
	Language Proficiency (BICS and CALP)	708
	4.2 Age of Arrival in the U.S.	710
	4.3 Occupation (Nonlanguage-Dependent Labor versus	/10
	Language-Dependent Labor)	710
	4.4 Educational Attainment	
	4.5 Masking Language Deficiency: "Linguistic Bravado"	
	4.6 Codeswitching	
	4.6.1 Codeswitching and Language Proficiency	712
5	Defining and Assessing Language Proficiency	713
٥.		714 715
	5.1 Communicative Competence Model 5.1.1 Grammatical Competence	
	- 1 0 P'	
		, 10
	5.1.3 Sociocultural Competence	716
	5.1.4 Strategic Competence	717
	5.2 Measuring Language Proficiency in High-Stakes Situations	718
	5.3 Oral Proficiency Instruments (OPIs) for Language Assessment	718
	5.3.1 Oral Proficiency Scales: The Interagency Language	
	Roundtable (ILR) and the American Council on the	=10
,	Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL)	719
6.	Forensic Linguistics	723
har	oter 27 • Aspects of Meaning	725
_	Language and Meaning	725
	Linguistic Meaning	
2.	2.1 Nuances of Words	
	2.2 Grammatical Usage and Meaning	
	2.3 Lexical Variation and Meaning	
	2.3.1 Semantic Fields	731
	2.4 Language Variation	731
	2.4.1 Language Varieties and Interpretation	732
	2.4.2 Speech Repertoire	734
	2.5 Cultural Meaning	
	2.6 Culturally Bound Terms	
3	Speaker Meaning	
٥.	3.1 Metaphors	
	3.2 Idioms	737
	o.z idioilio	138

C

	3.2.1 The Interpreter and Idioms	739
007 3	3.3 Proverbs	740
	3.4 Sarcasm and Irony	741
	Speaker Meaning and Pragmatics	741
	4.1 Speaker Meaning and Communicative Intent	742
	4.2 The Importance of Context	744
	Paralinguistic Features	745
	5.1 Gestures	746
	5.1.1 Learned Gestures	746
	5.1.2 Instinctive Gestures	747
Chapt	er 28 • Characteristics of Legal Language	749
	Introduction	749
2.	History and Major Influences	752
	2.1 Anglo-Saxon Oral Tradition and Language	753
	2.2 The Catholic Church	753
	2.3 The Norman Conquest	754
	2.4 The Rise of the Guild	755
	2.4.1 Reliance on Formbooks	755
	2.4.2 Padding	755
	Defining and Assessing Language Proficiency annualized variations of	
Chapt	ter 29 · Variation and Complexity of Legal Language	757
	The Duke Project	758
	The González Study	759
	2.1 Register	759
	2.1.1 Register Determinants	760
	2.2 Complexity Study	762
	2.2.1 Readability Assessment	762
	2.2.2 Lexical Examination	763
	2.2.3 Structural Study	764
	2.2.4 Legal Expression	765
3.	Implications for Court Interpreters	765
Chap	ter 30 • Questioning Styles, Witness Testimony, and the Court Interpreter	767
	Introduction Smith M Dub 2018 1988 3	767
2.	Manipulating Testimony	767
3.	Questioning Styles in the Courtroom	768
	3.1 Testimony Styles: Narrative versus Fragmented Speech	768
	3.2 Altering Questions and Responses in the Courtroom	769
	3.2.1 Altering English Tag Questions	770
	3.2.2 Altering English Modal Interrogatives	771
	3.2.3 Altering English Discourse Markers	771
	3.2.4 Altering Hedges and Fillers	772
	3.3 Implications for Interpreters	773
4.	Powerful versus Powerless Testimony	774
	4.1 Language Functions and Powerful and Powerless Speech	774
	4.2 Powerful and Powerless Testimony: Implications for Court Interpreters	775
	4.3 The Berk-Seligson Study	775

CONTENTE	
CONTENTS	XX1X
	20,000

	oter 31 • Categories of Interpreter Error: The University of Arizona Study	779
	Introduction	779
2.	Error Categories	780
	2.1 Literal Translation	780
	2.2 Inadequate Language Proficiency	781
	2.2.1 Grammatical Errors	781
	2.2.2 Lexical Errors	782
	2.3 Errors in Register Conservation	783
	2.4 Distortion	784
	2.5 Omission	785
	2.6 Added Information	786
	2.7 Protocol, Procedure, and Ethics	787
888	2.8 Nonconservation of Paralinguistic Elements, Hedges, and Fillers	788
3.	Conclusion	788
	Simultaneous Interpreting in the Courtroom nottslanest adjust to respons	
	Process of Simultaneous Interpreta 7 tinU	
	Interpretation Theory and Practice	
Char	oter 32 • Translation and Interpretation	791
-	Classic	791
	Definition of Terms	791
		793
5.	Oral Communication 3.1 Speaking Circuit	793
	3.2 Oral Comprehension	795
4	Interlingual Communication	798
1.	4.1 Interpreting Process	801
	4.2 Obstacles to Interlingual Communication	803
	4.2.1 Ambiguity	803
	4.2.2 Different Semantic Area	804
	4.2.3 Less Precision in TL	805
	4.2.4 Different Perspectives	805
	4.2.5 Idioms	806
	4.2.6 Metaphors	807
	4.2.7 Syntax and Style	807
5	Techniques for Problem Solving	808
	Incremental Intervention	811
	Conclusion	812
	The Paris Course on the Pa	012
Chap	ter 33 • From Theory to Practice	813
_	Models of Translation and Interpreting	813
	1.1 Triangular Models	813
	1.2 Paralinguistic Models	815
	1.3 Information Processing Models	815
	1.4 The Effort Models	821
	1.5 Application to Court Interpreting	822
2.	Human Information Processing	823
	2.1 Stage Theory	823
	2.2 ACT Model	827
	2.3 Parallel Distributive Processing	830

XXX CONTENTS

	2.4 Constraints of ACT* and PDP	832
	2.5 The Simultaneous Human Information Processing Model (SHIP)	832
	2.5.1 Description of SHIP's Components	833
	2.5.2 Levels of Competence	838
	2.5.3 Assumptions of SHIP	839
	2.5.4 Processing in SHIP	842
	2.6 Information Processing Today	843
	2.6.1. Component Processes of Interpreting	844
	2.6.2 Multitasking and Interpreting	849
	2.6.3 Processing Speed in Working Memory	850
3.	Expert Performance: How Interpreters Succeed in Getting the Message Across	851
((81)	2.7 Protocol, Procedure, and Ethiocesqual lugal to sairs as a sud \$2 street	
Chap	oter 34 • Simultaneous Interpretation	853
	Definition	853
2.	Simultaneous Interpreting in the Courtroom	854
3.	Process of Simultaneous Interpretation	855
4.	Strategies of SI	856
	4.1 Analysis	857
	4.2 Prediction or Anticipation	857
	4.3 Numerical Information	859
	4.4 Décalage	860
	4.5 Queuing	861
	4.6 Self-Monitoring	861
	4.7 Graphic Depiction of SI Strategies	862
5.	Implications for Training in SI	867
	5.1 Dual-Tasking Exercises	868
	5.2 Analysis Exercises	869
6.	Running Summary	869
	4.2.2. Different Seminitic Airei	
Chap	oter 35 • Consecutive Interpretation	871
1.	Definition Several design of the several des	871
2.	Skills Required	872
	2.1 Listening	872
	2.2 Prediction	874
	2.3 Memory	875
	2.3.1 Strategies for Enhancing Retention	875
	2.3.2 Forgetting	877
	2.4 Notetaking	878
	2.4.1 Interpreter Notetaking System	879
	2.4.2 Techniques	880
	2.4.3 Examples	880
	2.4.4 Application to Court Interpreting	884
	2.4.5 Principles of Notetaking for Court Interpreting	885
	2.5 Situational Control	886
3	Exercises for Improving Skills	890
200	3.1 Exercises to Enhance Listening/Attending Skills	
	3.2 Memory-Building Exercises	891
	J. French J. Dunding Exercises	0,1
Char	pter 36 • Sight Translation	893
	Definition	893

CONTENTS	xxxi

2	Elements of Sight Translation	89
	2.1 Differences between ST and Other Modes of Interpreting	89
	2.2 Conservation	89
	2.3 Written Language	89
	2.4 Reading Comprehension	89
	2.5 Prediction	
3.	Need for More Research	
4.	Sight Translation in the Judicial Setting	89
	4.1 English Documents	89
	4.2 Non-English Documents	89
5.	Skills Required	90
	5.1 Full Command of Working Languages	
	5.2 Public Speaking	90
	5.3 Mental Agility	
6.	Process of Sight Translation	90:
	Exercises	90:
	7.1 Public Speaking Exercises	90
	7.2 Reading Ahead in Text	90:
	7.3 Analytical Skills	
	3.3.2 Establishment and annied signification in the signification of the significant of t	
Chap	oter 37 • Document Translation	90
1.	Translation of Court Documents	90
	1.1 Professional Translation Standards	
	1.2 The Translation Process	
2.	Types of Documents	909
	2.1 Vital Documents	909
3.	Achieving DOJ "Meaningful Communication and Access" Standards	91
	Other Translated Documents	915
5.	Format Defense Witness Cross-Examination Local and Sanital Action Local and Loca	917
6.	Resources Resour	917
7.	Translation Protocol	920
8.	Certification and Notarization	921
	Unit 8	
	Practical Considerations and Tasks	
_	ter 38 • Introduction to the Courtroom and Legal Actors	925
	The Physical Courtroom	925
2.	The Legal Arena	926
	2.1 Counsel Table	926
	2.2 Jury Box	930
	2.3 Witness Stand	931
296	2.4 Judge's Bench	931
	Lockup	932
4.	Legal Actors	933
	4.1 The Judge	933
	4.2 Courtroom Clerk	933
	4.3 Court Reporter	934
	4.4 Bailiffs: Marshals, Sheriffs, and Other Officers of the Court	934
	4.5 Defense and Prosecution Attorneys	934

	4.6 The Jurors	936
	4.7 The Witnesses	936
Chap	oter 39 • Pragmatics of Judicial Proceedings and the Interpreter	939
	Initial Preparation	939
	Information Gathering and Pretrial Preparation	940
	2.1 The Need for Interpreters to Be Proactive: Gathering Information	940
	2.2 Preparation as an Aspect of Ethics	941
	2.2.1 Misunderstanding of the Interpreter's Need for Preparation	941
	2.3 Importance of Punctuality	943
	2.4 Interpreter Resources: The Use of Dictionaries and Glossaries in Court	943
	2.5 Notetaking: An Essential Tool of the Interpreter	943
	2.5.1 Notetaking during Grand Jury Proceedings	944
	2.6 Prehearing Interview of a Defense Attorney for a Specific Case	944
	2.6.1 Pretrial Language Assessment Interview of the Defendant	945
	2.6.2 Importance of the Pretrial Language Assessment: Possible	
	Outcomes seeking Exercises	945
	2.6.3 Explanation of the Role of the Interpreter and the Interpreting Process	948
	2.7 Inquiring about Witnesses: Interviewing the Prosecutor	949
	2.8 Recommending Team Interpreting	949
2	The Interpreter as Language Access Specialist	950
1	The Interpreter's Role in Criminal Proceedings	951
4	4.1 Jury Selection	951
	4.2 Pretrial Motion	952
	4.3 The Trial Phase	953
	4.4 Opening Statement	953
	4.5 English-Speaking Witness Examination	954
	4.5.1 Defense Witness Cross-Examination	955
	4.5.2 Communication between Interpreter and Defendant	955
	4.5.3 Excusing the Witness	955
	4.6 Examination of an LEP Witness	955
	4.6.1 Interpreting Witness-Stand Testimony: Consecutive Mode	956
	4.6.2 Professional Strictures Regarding Onsite Simultaneous	
	Interpretation of a Sound File in Court	956
	4.6.3 Interpreting for Nonproficient Speakers of a Foreign	
	Language in Cases in Which Speaker Error Is Key to	
	the Effective Use of Testimony by Legal Actors	957
	4.7 Closing Argument	959
	5. Instructions to the Jury	960
	5. The Verdict	961
	7. Sentencing bank assure to bank as a ba	961
150	7.1 The Interpreter's Role at Sentencing	962
8	3. Communication with the Assignment Office	962
	9. Responding to Challenges to Interpretation	963
	O. Conclusion	963
PEG	1.2 Courtroom Clerk	
Cha	apter 40 • Forensic Transcription and Translation	
beg	1. Introduction to Forensic Transcription and Translation	965
1.50	1.1 ETT: A Two-fold Process	966

CONTENTS xxxiii

	1.2 FTT: A Developing Hybrid	967
	1.3 Critical Need for Reliable FTT in Custodial and Noncustodial	
	Interrogations Interrogations	968
2.	Poor Quality FTT Produced by Untrained, Noncertified Bilingual Personnel	971
	2.1 Failure to Recognize the Duty to Preserve Source/Minority Language	
	in the Transcript mountainment and animagent 12.8	976
	2.2 Ethical and Legal Dilemmas Caused by the Lack of Standardization	977
3.	Need for Legitimization of FTT as a Branch of Legal Interpretation	
	and Translation	978
	3.1 Hindrances to the Formal Recognition of FTT as a Subfield of	
	Legal Interpretation	979
	3.2 Need for Certification in FTT	980
	3.3 Master-Level FTTS Certification	981
	Model FTTS Work Examples	982
5.	Legal Standards for the Admissibility of FTT Products	987
	5.1 Rule 901(a) Authentication	987
	5.2 Audibility and Intelligibility of the Recording	987
	5.3 Rule 702 Admissibility of Expert Testimony	988
	5.3.1 Required Qualifications for FTTSs to Serve as Expert Witnesses	988
	5.3.2 Establishing the Use of Empirically Sound Linguistic Methods	
	in Producing the FTT Product	989
	5.3.3 Responding to Cross-Examination	989
	5.4 Disputes Regarding Accuracy of Transcription/Translations	990
	5.5 Special Circumstances: Translation of Argot, Foreign Drug, or	
	Gang Codes C	990
6.	Professional and Ethical Standards of FTT	991
	6.1 Overarching Responsibility of the FTTS	991
	6.2 FTT Transcription Goal: Meaningful Legal Equivalence	
	2.2 Online Dictionaries and Resources (agriculture Dictionaries and Resources)	991
	6.3 FTT Translation Goals	992
	6.3.1 Meaningful Legal Equivalence: "Mirror the Transcription"	992
_	6.3.2 Conservation of Speaker Error and Nonstandard Usage	992
1.	Required Knowledge, Skills, and Training for FTT	993
	7.1 Primary Skills for the FTTS: Language Proficiency, Cultural, and	004
	Linguistic Knowledge	994
	7.1.1 Master-Level FTTS	995
	7.2 Required Secondary Skills for the FTTS: Perception, Cognitive	006
	Abilities, and Personal Traits 7.3 Passers and ad Training for ETT Continue.	996
	7.3 Recommended Training for FTT Certification	997
0	7.4 Master-Level FTTS Recommended Training and Credentials Passammended Protocol for Forence Transcription and Translation	997
0.	Recommended Protocol for Forensic Transcription and Translation 8.1 Preliminary Steps	999
	8.1.1 Ethical Obligations in Accepting or Declining an Assignment 8.1.2 Extraordinary Circumstances and Ethical Considerations	1001 1001
	8.1.3 Assessing the Scope of Work	1001
	8.1.4 Listening to/Viewing the Recording	1002
	8.1.5 Using a Team Approach	1002
	8.1.6 Estimates and Costs	1002
	8.1.7 FTT Work Requiring FTT Master-Level Expert Analysis	1005
	and Testimony	1004
	WIIM ACCULITATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	

xxxiv CONTENTS

	8.1.8 Accepting the Assignment	1005
	8.2 Phase 1 of the FTT Process: Transcription	1006
	8.2.1 Preparing for the Transcription Process	1006
	8.2.2 Transcribing Audio/Video Recordings	1008
	8.3 Phase 2 of the FTT Process: Translation	1022
	8.3.1 Preparing for Translation	1023
	8.3.2 Performing the Translation	1025
	8.3.3 FTTS Notes	1034
	8.4 Phase 3 of the FTT Process: Final Product	1034
	8.4.1 Expect to Defend the Forensic Transcription and Translation	
	in Court	1035
	8.4.2 Model Transcription/Translation Document: Formatting	
	and Application of Protocol and Legend	1035
	8.4.3 FTT Introductory Briefing	1036
	8.4.4 Certification of the Transcription/Translation	1037
	8.4.5 Need for Reform in the Court Certification Process of	
	FTT Documents was And to will digital this will dibute the	1038
9.	Recommended Forensic Transcription/Translation Legend	1039
10.	Policy Recommendations for the Professionalization of FTT Practice	
	and Regulation	1041
	in Producing the ETT Product	
Chap	oter 41 • Using Language Resources and References	1043
	Printed Resources	1043
	1.1 Locating Reference Books and Materials	1043
	1.2 How to Use Dictionaries	1044
	1.3 The Media	1046
2.	The Internet	1047
	2.1 Internet Search Strategies	1048
	2.2 Online Dictionaries and Resources	1049
3.	Human Resources as Language References	1050
	3.1 Use of the Witness as a Language Resource	1051
	3.2 Attending Court Proceedings	1051
	3.3 Conferring with Colleagues	1052
	3.4 Contacting Experts	1053
4.	. Glossaries	1054
	4.1 Need for Personal Glossaries	1054
	4.2 Terminology Defined	1054
	4.3 Compiling Glossaries	1055
Cha	pter 42 • Technology and the Interpreter	1059
1	. High Technology (Tech) in Court Interpreting	1059
	1.1 High Tech Courtrooms	1059
	1.1.1 Computer Applications	1061
	1.1.2 Evidence Presentation Component	1061
	1.1.3 Display Monitors, Whiteboards, Screens, and	100
	Courtroom Cameras	1061
	1.1.4 Videoconferencing Component	1062
	1.1.5 Teleconferencing	1062
	1.1.6 Assistive Listening Devices and Interpreter Audio Equipment	1063

CONTENTS	
CONTENTS	XXXV

		1.1.7 Radio Frequency versus IR Systems	1063
		1.1.8 Digital Court Recordings	1064
		1.2 Telephonic Interpreting in Federal Courts	1064
		1.3 Federal Law and the Recording of Court Proceedings	1065
		1.4 Case Management and Related Technology	1066
		1.5 Technology in the State Courts	1067
		1.6 Remote Testimony	1068
		1.7 Electronic Discovery	1070
	2	Technology for the Legal Interpreter and Translator	1070
	۷.	2.1 In-Court Simultaneous Interpreting Equipment	
		2.2 Real-Time Court Reporting Transcripts	1071
			1073
		2.3 Overhead Projection of Documents and Other Exhibits	1073
		2.4 In-Court Use of Online and Electronic Dictionaries and Other	10=1
		Reference Works	1074
		2.4.1 In-Court Use of Handheld Electronic Dictionaries	1074
		2.4.2 In-Court Mobile Internet Access	1074
	3.	In-Office Technological Aids for the Interpreter and Translator	1075
		3.1 Personal Computers	1075
		3.1.1 The Keyboard	1076
		3.1.2 The Scanner	1076
		3.1.3 The Display	1076
		3.1.4 The Microphone	1077
		3.2 Computer-Assisted Translation Tools	1077
		3.3 Optical Character Recognition Systems in Translation	1078
		3.4 Speech Recognition Applications	1078
		3.5 Localization	1080
		3.6 Translator and Interpreter Internet Communities	1081
	4.	Use of Technology to Aid Forensic Transcription/Translation	1081
		Conclusion	1082
		6 Canon & The Interrestor Shall Desired hair the Duries of Her Office 1	1002
h	ap	ter 43 • Remote Interpreting: Telephonic and Videoconferencing	1083
		History of Remote Interpreting	1083
		Video Remote Interpreting	1084
		Research on Remote Interpreting	1085
		Attitudes Towards Remote Interpreting	
			1087
		Guidelines for Remote Interpreting in Judiciary Settings	1089
	0.	Conclusion	1090
		The Lorentz and Courses Courses James and Courses of the Courses of the Course of the	
		Unit 9 de reservatal en la company de la com	
		Professional Issues	
		Personal and Professional Conduct to Promote Public Confidention	
	-	ter 44 • Ethics and Professional Conduct	1093
	1.	Code of Ethics	1093
		1.1 Canon 1: The Interpreter Shall Render a Complete and	
		Accurate Interpretation	1096
		1.1.1 Complete Interpretation	1097
		1.1.2 Embellishments, Clarifications, Editing	1099
		1.1.3 Nonverbal Communication	1100
		1.1.4 Duty to Protect the Record	1105

(

xxxvi CONTENTS

	1.1.5 Guessing	1114
	1.1.6 Response to Challenges	1114
	1.1.7 Duty to Witness	1115
1065	1.2 Canon 2: The Interpreter Shall Remain Impartial	1115
	1.2.1 Role of the Interpreter	1116
	1.2.2 Appearance of Neutrality	1116
	1.2.3 Conflict of Interest	1117
	1.2.4 Unobtrusiveness	1118
	1.2.5 Detachment	1119
	1.2.6 Gratuities	1119
	1.2.7 Personal Emotions	1120
	1.3 Canon 3: The Interpreter Shall Maintain Confidentiality	1120
	1.3.1 The Public and the Media	1121
	1.3.2 "Off-the-Record" Remarks	1121
	1.4 Canon 4: The Interpreter Shall Confine Herself to the Role	
	of Interpreting	1122
	1.4.1 Legal Advice	1122
	1.4.2 Clerical Work	1124
	1.4.3 Cultural Expertise	1124
	1.4.4 Instructions to Parties	1125
	1.4.5 Other Problems	1126
	1.5 Canon 5: The Interpreter Shall Be Prepared for Any Type	1045
	of Proceeding or Case	1127
	1.5.1 Continuing Education	1128
	1.5.2 Technical Terminology	1128
	1.5.3 Jury Instructions	1128
	1.5.4 Familiarity with the Case	1129
	1.5.5 Pre-Testimony Interview	1129
	1.5.6 Disqualification	1130
	1.6 Canon 6: The Interpreter Shall Ensure That the Duties of Her Office	
	Are Carried Out under Working Conditions That Are in the Best	1120
	Interest of the Court	1130
	1.6.1 Periodic Breaks	1131
	1.6.2 Team Interpreting	
	1.6.3 Workload	
	1.6.4 Audibility	
	1.6.5 Position	1132
	1.6.6 Special Equipment	1133
	1.7 Canon 7: The Interpreter Shall Be Familiar with and Adhere to All	
	of These Ethical Standards, and Shall Maintain High Standards of	
	Personal and Professional Conduct to Promote Public Confidence	1122
	in the Administration of Justice	1133
	1.7.1 Candor with the Tribunal	
	1.7.2 Personal Conduct	
	1.7.3 Fiscal Propriety	1134
	1.7.4 Upholding the Public Trust	1134
	1.8 Handling Ethical Dilemmas: The Postville Raid deliberation and	1134
2.	Professional Conduct	1138
	2.1 Professional Attitude	1138

CONTERNIES	
CONTENTS	XXXVII
COLLECTION	222711

	2.1.1 Awareness of Role	1138
	2.1.2 Relations with Colleagues	1139
	2.1.3 Role of the Professional Organization	1139
	2.1.4 Court Interpreting and Translating Organizations	1141
	2.2 Continuing Education	1142
	2.2.1 Support from Court Administration	1142
	2.2.2 Informal Educational Activities	1143
	4.2.2 California (Adiobnat apparel) (Zurip narril Adadioal-Rend of Thriot	1110
Chai	oter 45 • Interpreter Education	1145
	History of Court Interpreter Training	
	Evaluation of Training Programs (90%) yourselfund	1148
	Interpreter Training Outside the United States	1149
	Distance Education for Interpreters	1150
	4.1. Evolution of Distance Education	1150
	4.2. Online Interpreter Programs	1153
5	Conclusion	
5.	Problems with Certification Testing Combining Milliple Constructs	1137
Char	oter 46 • Federal Certification	1159
•	Introduction	1159
	Initial Development	1160
	The Written Exam	1162
5.	3.1 Equating Studies for the Written Examination	1162
	3.2 Changes in the Written Exam	1163
	3.2.1 Changes to the Written Examination after 2000	1164
	3.2.2 Change in Pass Score Criterion for the Written Examination	1165
1	3.2.3 Change in Length of the Written Examination The Oral Examination	1166
4.		1166
	4.1 Assessment: Objective and Subjective 4.2 Cut-Off Score	1168
		1169
	4.3 Standardization of Administration	1169
	4.4 Equating Studies and Trend Analyses of the Oral Examination	1170
	4.5 Results of the Federal Certification Examinations: 1980–1999	1170
	4.6 Changes in the Oral Exam	1171
_	4.7 Results of the Federal Court Certification Examination: 2000–2009	1172
5.	Federal Certification Efforts for Languages Other Than Spanish	1173
	5.1 Navajo	1173
	5.2 Haitian Creole	1175
	5.3 Certification and Otherwise Qualified Status for Languages	
	Other Than Spanish	1176
	Implications of multiplications	1177
7.	Conclusion	1179
01	2.5. The Role of Professional Organizations various of the	
_	oter 47 • State Certification	1181
1.	Consortium for Language Access in the Courts Interpreter Certification	1183
	1.1 Consortium Certification Testing	1184
	1.1.1 Consortium Written Examination	1184
	1.1.2 Consortium Oral Court Interpreter Performance Examinations	1186
1220	1.2 Reciprocity between Consortium States	1191
2.	New York Unified Court System Interpreter Certification	1192

xxxviii CONTENTS

3.	National Association of Judiciary Interpreters and Translators (NAJII)	
	Certification Supposition with a supposition of the	1194
4.	Other Notable Court Interpreter Certifications	1196
	4.1 New Mexico/Arizona Navajo Court Interpreter Certification	1196
	4.2 California Court Interpreter Certification	1198
	4.2.1 California Court Interpreter Certification Oral Performance	
	Examination (pre-2010)	1199
	4.2.2 California Administrative Hearing and Medical-Legal	
	Interpreter Certification	1200
	4.3 University of Arizona Court Interpreter Certificate of	
	Proficiency (CICP)	1201
	4.3.1 University of Arizona Municipal Court Interpreter Oral	
	Assessment (MCIOA)	1202
	4.4 American Translators Association Certification Examination	1203
5.	State Criteria for Interpreters of Languages for Which There Is No	1200
	Certification	1204
6	Problems with Certification Testing Combining Multiple Constructs	1205
	Certification Model for State Courts and Other Public and Private	1200
/.	Organizations	1206
	Organizations and administration of the second seco	1200
	Unit 10	
PART	Conclusion	
	Conclusion	
Char	oter 48 • A Look to the Future	1211
-	Legal Issues	1211
	1.1 Adopting a Court-Centered Model with Team Interpreting	1211
	1.1.1 Multiple-Defendant Cases	1212
		1212
	1.1.2 False Dichotomy Between Defense and Prosecution Interpreters	1212
		1212
	1.1.3 Erroneous Bifurcation of Defense Interpreting into Defense	1213
	and Proceedings Functions	1215
	1.1.4 Best Practice: The Court-Centered Model with Team	1214
	Interpreting	1214
	1.2 "English-Only" Movement and Other Threats to Language Access	1214
0	1.3 Malpractice	1215
2.	Professional Issues	1216
	2.1 Recertification: Arguments For and Against	1216
	2.1.1 Alternatives to Recertification	1217
	2.2 Certification of Legal Translators	1219
	2.3 Certification of Forensic Transcription and Translation Specialists	1220
	2.4 Translation Memory Software and Interpretation	1221
	2.5 The Role of Professional Organizations	1222
	2.5.1 Need for Client Education and Raising Public Awareness	1222
	2.5.2 Internal Monitoring of Interpreter Professional Practices	1222
	2.5.3 Developing Competence in Smaller and Rural Courts	1223
	2.5.4 Training the Next Generation of Leaders	1223
	2.5.5 Improving Interpreter Competence in Ancillary Services	1223
	2.6 The Multidisciplinary Interpreter	1224
	2.7 Developing a Pool of Court Interpreters	1225

CONTENTS	xxxix
COLLECTO	AA

2.7.1 Fostering Heritage Language Development 3. Interpreting in Other Sectors	1226 1229
3.1 Immigration 3.2 Administrative Agencies 3.3 Healthcare	1229 1230 1230
4. Bilingual Personnel in Government Agencies	1231
4.1 Title VI and Government Bilingual Services	1233
5. Joint Efforts for Standardization, Training, and Testing6. Call for Research	1234 1236
7. Conclusion 7.	1237
Appendix A • The Court Interpreters Act of 1978	1239
Appendix B • Interim Regulations for Federal Court Interpreters	1247
Appendix C • The Court Interpreter Amendments Act of 1988	1255
Appendix D • Seltzer v. Foley (1980) Opinion	1259
Appendix E • Executive Order 13166	1269
Appendix F1 • Memorandum of Understanding between the United States of America and the State of Maine Judicial Branch	1275
Appendix F2 • Letter from Merrily A. Friedlander, Chief of the Department of Justice Civil Rights Division, Coordination and Review Section, to Lilia G. Judson, Executive Director of the Indiana Supreme Court	1281
Appendix F3 • Letter from Thomas E. Perez, Assistant Attorney General to Chief Justice/State Court Administrator	1283
Appendix F4 • Memorandum of Agreement between the United States of America and the Colorado Judicial Department	1287
Appendix F5 • Executive Summary, Revisions to CJD 06-03, Effective 7-1-11 Directive Concerning Language Interpreters and Access to the Courts by Persons with Limited English Proficiency	1293
Appendix F6 • Chief Justice Directive 06-03. Directive Concerning Language Interpreters and Access to the Courts by Persons with Limited English Proficiency	1295
Appendix G • Code of Professional Responsibility of the Official Interpreters	1303
Appendix H1 • Model Code of Professional Responsibility for Interpreters in the Judiciary	1305
Appendix H2 • Rule 2.890 Professional Conduct for Interpreters 2012 California Rules of Court	1313
Appendix H3 • NAJIT Code of Ethics and Professional Responsibilities	1315
Appendix H4 • Model Code of Professional Conduct for Interpreters, Transliterators, and Translators	1317

1321
1325
1331
1341
1347
1353
1355
1357
1487
1495
1499
1507
1531