

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	8	
PREFACE TO THE ENGLISH-LANGUAGE EDITION	9	
PREFACE TO THE SWEDISH EDITION	11	
OVERVIEW	13	
CHAPTER 1	APPROACHES TO POLITICAL MESSAGES	19
1.1	Content-Oriented and Function-Oriented Message Analysis	20
1.2	Descriptive, Assessive, and Explanatory Analysis	26
1.3	Descriptive Approaches	28
1.4	Assessment Approaches	31
	1.4.1 Rational Assessment of Messages	31
	1.4.2 Function-Oriented Appraisal	39
1.5	Explanatory Approaches	41
	1.5.1 Intentionalist Explanation	45
	1.5.2 Models of Intentionalist Explanation	47
	1.5.3 A Mortal Sin: Confusing Rational Assessment and Intentionalist Explanation	55
CHAPTER 2	TOPIC SELECTION, PROBLEM FORMULATION, AND CONCEPT FORMATION	59
2.1	Object Language and Analytical Language	60
2.2	The Six-Step Approach to Problem Refinement and Argument Description	62
2.3	Converting Topics into Problems	62
2.4	The Tripartite Structure of Research Problems	66
2.5	The Traditional View of Meaning	68
	2.5.1 Intension and Connotation	70
	2.5.2 Extension, Denotation, and Reference	70
	2.5.3 Ambiguity	72
	2.5.4 Vagueness	78

2.6	Definition	80
	2.6.1 Stipulative, Reportative, and Explicative Definitions	80
	2.6.2 Intensionalist and Extensionalist Definitions	84
	2.6.3 Criteria for Adequate Definitions	87
2.7	Classification	91
2.8	Formal Schemes of Classification	95
2.9	Substantive Schemes of Classification	96
CHAPTER 3	INTERPRETATION AND ITS PROBLEMS	99
3.1	Interpretation Defined	99
3.2	Kinds of Interpretation	100
3.3	Literal Interpretation	101
3.4	Systematic Interpretation	103
3.5	Intensionalist Interpretation	108
3.6	Intensionalist Interpretation and Intensionalist Explanation	113
3.7	Reconstructive Interpretation	114
3.8	The Complementary Nature of Interpretive Methods	117
3.9	Validity of Interpretations	117
	3.9.1 Correct Interpretations	118
	3.9.2 Reasonable Interpretations	118
	3.9.3 Clarifications	119
CHAPTER 4	DESCRIBING MESSAGES AND JUDGING SUPPORT AND CLARITY	123
4.1	Identifying Claims and Reasons	123
	4.1.1 Claims Must Be Supported by Reasons	125
	4.1.2 Tacit Claims and Reasons Must Not Cause Unclarity	125
4.2	Working Out the Meaning of Arguments	126
4.3	Words Must Be Clear	127
	4.3.1 Unconscious Obscurity . . .	127
	4.3.2 . . . and Conscious Obscurity	128
4.4	First-Order and Second-Order Disagreement	134
4.5	Second-Order Disagreement on the Essentialist Theory of Meaning	135
CHAPTER 5	ASSESSING RELEVANCE	139
5.1	Two Types of Relevance Assessment	139
5.2	Type 1 Relevance Estimation	139
5.3	Comprehensiveness Testing	145
5.4	Conflicting Rules of Relevance	146
	5.4.1 Mannheim's Theory of the Origin and Validity of Knowledge	147
	5.4.2 Does Epistemological Relativism Lead to a Logical Paradox?	147

5.4.3 Epistemological Relativism and the Genetic Fallacy	149
CHAPTER 6 CHECKING CONSISTENCY	153
6.1 What Is an Inference?	153
6.2 When Are Inferences Valid?	154
6.3 Inconsistent Propositions	157
6.3.1 Contraries and Contradictories	158
6.3.2 Why Should Inconsistencies Be Avoided?	159
6.4 Incompatible Value Judgments	159
6.5 Inconsistent Commitments	161
6.6 Conflicting Rules of Consistency	162
CHAPTER 7 TESTING TRUTH	165
7.1 Four Steps of Truth Testing	165
7.2 Truth Assessment as Criticism	168
7.3 Conflicting Criteria of Truth	173
7.3.1 Truth as Correspondence	174
7.3.2 Truth as What "Functions" or "Works"	175
7.3.3 Truth as Coherence	176
7.3.4 Marxist Rejections of the Correspondence Theory	177
7.3.5 Pragmatic Theories of Truth in Conservatism and Nazism	178
CHAPTER 8 APPRAISING VALUES	181
8.1 Some Distinctions	182
8.2 The Value-Free Approach	184
8.2.1 Normative Ethics and Meta-Ethics	185
8.2.2 Value Cognitivism	185
8.2.3 Value Noncognitivism	188
8.2.4 Prescriptivism and Neonaturalism	190
8.2.5 The Ends-Means Solution	191
8.3 The Evaluative Choice Approach	197
8.4 Avoiding the Value Swamp: Statements of Fact "Play the Main Role"	200
8.5 Clashes Between Incompatible Standards for Assessing Value Judgments	203
8.6 Epilogue	205
NOTES	207
REFERENCES	215
NAME INDEX	225
SUBJECT INDEX	227
ABOUT THE AUTHOR	232