

Contents

Preface — XIII

Introduction — 1

1 Public reason as a neutral mediator in pluralist democracies in John Rawls's political philosophy — 5

- 1.1 The normative framework and its two methods of justification — 5
 - 1.1.1 Justice as founded by contract and as found in reflected cultural standards — 6
 - 1.1.2 Assessments of the contract foundation: The circularity of the device of the "original position" — 8
 - 1.1.2.1 Onora O'Neill: A metaphorical contract between idealized parties — 9
 - 1.1.2.2 Otfried Höffe: A ruse of rational egotists — 13
 - 1.1.2.3 Paul Ricoeur: Oscillating between disinterest and mutuality — 14
 - 1.1.2.4 Between philosophical and empirical concepts — 17
 - 1.1.3 Beyond a constructed procedure: Convictions formed in religious and cultural history in "reflective equilibrium" with principles — 19
 - 1.1.4 The completion of a contextual foundation in *Political Liberalism* — 22
- 1.2 "Idea of the good" and "sense of justice" as elements of moral personhood in *Theory of Justice* — 23
 - 1.2.1 Rationality as a good and its realization in a life plan — 24
 - 1.2.2 Comparison and critique of Rawls's concept of the good of self-respect — 27
 - 1.2.3 "The sense of justice" — 31
 - 1.2.4 The "sense of justice" compared with principled autonomy in Kant — 33
 - 1.2.5 Natural contingency and self-respect — 36
- 1.3 Society as a cooperative venture for mutual advantage — 38
 - 1.3.1 From a system of benefits and burdens to a "social union of social unions" — 39
 - 1.3.2 Withdrawing from metaphysical assumptions: Classical republicanism versus civic humanism — 41
 - 1.3.3 Lack of "natural assets" as grounds for intervention? — 44
 - 1.3.4 Sources and significance of plurality in *Theory of Justice* and in *Political Liberalism* — 46

1.3.4.1	Plurality in contract, associations, and primary goods —	46
1.3.4.2	Setting the stage for the task of public reason: Philosophies as “comprehensive doctrines” in <i>Political Liberalism</i> —	48
1.4	Democratic life and public reason —	50
1.4.1	The search for a neutral ground between irreconcilable worldviews —	52
1.4.1.1	Public reason converting comprehensive into political conceptions of justice —	53
1.4.1.2	The paradox: Civility as abstention —	55
1.4.1.3	The dual motivation of the overlapping consensus: comprehensive and civic —	57
1.4.2	The spheres of democratic life —	64
1.4.2.1	The composition of the background culture —	64
1.4.2.2	Religious contributions to nonpublic reason? —	66
1.5	“Public reason” and practical reason: Critiques from a Kantian perspective —	68
1.5.1	Distinct starting points: “Public reason” between theory of law and morality —	68
1.5.2	An alternative guiding principle for the constitutional order: Human dignity —	70
1.5.3	<i>The Law of Peoples</i> : Transnational scope for human rights and justice? —	71
1.5.3.1	Human rights, urgent and universal, versus constitutional and contextual —	72
1.5.3.2	Justice – bounded or transnational? —	78
1.5.4	The public and the private use of practical reason —	81
1.6	Religion in the limits of Rawls’s concept of public reason —	84
1.6.1	A philosophical approach to God: Kant’s “highest good” and the antinomy of practical reason —	85
1.6.2	A proviso and translations in due course – how attractive for religions? —	89
1.6.2.1	Addressing the hermeneutical deficit of procedural theories of justice: Christian sources of identity formation (Elke Mack) —	89
1.6.2.2	Self-restriction by religions on what basis? (Stefan Grotefeld) —	95
1.6.3	The sources of public reason – free-standing, or indebted to a history of formation? —	99

Introduction to Parts Two and Three — 102

2	Practical reason in the public sphere: Jürgen Habermas's rehabilitation of religion as a resource within the project of modernity — 103
2.1	The normative framework: The foundations of discourse ethics — 104
2.1.1	The basis of communicative rationality: Reason as embodied in language — 105
2.1.2	The competence of philosophy — 106
2.1.2.1	Constructivist and reconstructive elements in discourse ethics — 107
2.1.2.2	Philosophy as "stand-in" and "interpreter" — 109
2.1.3	Postmetaphysical thinking reconfirmed — 113
2.2	An anthropology of the lifeworld — 116
2.2.1	The interactive constitution of self-consciousness — 118
2.2.2	Pragmatic reconstruction of normative implications, or moral recognition of the other? — 121
2.2.3	Between a reservoir of shared meanings and postconventional morality: the role of the lifeworld — 125
2.2.4	Religion after the abysses of reason — 130
2.3	The public use of reason in the democratic public sphere — 132
2.3.1	The moral core of public reason — 133
2.3.2	Public reason as generated in the practical discourse of citizens — 136
2.3.3	The basis of justification: Moral, ethical, or civic? — 137
2.3.4	From religion to public reason: Habermas's comments on continuities in Rawls's thinking from its theological origins — 142
2.3.4.1	Human individuality in response to God and as normative in the construction of just social structures — 142
2.3.4.2	Anchoring the right in a personal view of truth — 147
2.4	Religion as a resource for the project of modernity — 150
2.4.1	The persistence of religion and the task of reconstructing the genealogy of human reflection in religions and philosophies — 151
2.4.1.1	From the secularization thesis to the consciousness of a post-secular constellation — 151
2.4.1.2	The shared origins of religions and philosophical reason in the axial period — 153
2.4.1.3	The distinction between the two axial formations of reflection: Comparing Jaspers and his interpretation by Habermas — 154
2.4.2	The heuristic and semantic potential of religions in the pathologies of rationalization — 160

- 2.4.2.1 The ongoing intellectual potential of religious traditions for self-understandings formed in the lifeworld — 160
- 2.4.2.2 The origin of “the political” in the encounter between religious and secular self-understandings — 164
- 2.4.2.3 Postsecular society or postsecular state? — 167
- 2.4.3 Theological critiques: Religion in the limits of postmetaphysical reason — 175
 - 2.4.3.1 The means of translation — 176
 - 2.4.3.2 The specific difference of religion — 177
 - 2.4.3.3 The limits of translatability — 181
- 3 Religions as co-foundational of the public space in Paul Ricoeur’s hermeneutical philosophy — 184
 - 3.1 The normative framework: A phenomenology of desiring, capable and fallible human beings — 186
 - 3.1.1 Theory of action based on “desire and effort to exist” — 187
 - 3.1.2 Self-understanding as a result of appropriation — 188
 - 3.1.3 Symbols and conflicts of interpretation — 190
 - 3.1.4 Cultural uniqueness, utopia and ideology — 192
 - 3.1.4.1 Particularity versus homogenization and historicist relativism — 193
 - 3.1.4.2 Between foundational hopes and strategies of legitimation: Utopia and ideology — 195
 - 3.1.4.3 Tradition and emancipation: Ricoeur’s comments on Habermas’s critique of Gadamer — 197
 - 3.1.5 A hermeneutics of the self as *idem* and *ipse*, and as self and other — 199
 - 3.1.6 Insights from Ricoeur’s philosophical anthropology as a framework for ethics — 200
 - 3.2 The self and its agency: Three types of ethical reflection — 202
 - 3.2.1 A phenomenological reconstruction of the three dimensions of ethics — 202
 - 3.2.1.1 The wish to “live well, with and for others, in just institutions” — 204
 - 3.2.1.2 The deontological level as the “sieve of the norm” — 206
 - 3.2.1.3 “Practical wisdom” as a “heartfelt conviction” — 208
 - 3.2.1.4 The wish for a reconciled memory and the status of forgiveness in a theory of agency — 210
 - 3.2.2 Differences to Rawls and Habermas in the outline of ethics — 214
 - 3.2.2.1 Questions to Rawls — 214

- 3.2.2.2 Questions to Habermas — 218
- 3.2.3 Conclusions from perspectives on ethics — 221
- 3.3 Co-founding the public space: Types of authority, legitimation, and citizens' convictions — 222
- 3.3.1 Democracy between foundational myths and self-authorization — 223
- 3.3.1.1 The narrative model: Myths of foundation, *potestas* and *auctoritas* — 224
- 3.3.1.2 The model of self-authorization — 227
- 3.3.1.3 The model of recognizing heterogeneous traditions as co-foundational — 228
- 3.3.2 Domination and obedience, or initiatives in plural spheres of negotiation? — 231
- 3.3.2.1 Pluralizing the category of *Herrschaft* in Max Weber's theory of social action — 232
- 3.3.2.2 Explicit and implicit theory decisions in Weber's approach — 234
- 3.3.2.3 Enunciative and institutional authority — 240
- 3.4 Religion and agency: Fallibility, hope, and translation — 247
- 3.4.1 Fallibility and freedom in religious experience and in philosophical reflection — 249
- 3.4.2 A dialectic that gives a place to hope: Kant's concept of the "highest good" — 253
- 3.4.2.1 Reason as structurally oriented towards completion — 254
- 3.4.2.2 The endpoint of reason in Kant and Hegel: Demand of unconditional meaning versus absolute knowledge — 255
- 3.4.2.3 The epistemological status of hope — 259
- 3.4.2.4 Kant's treatment of evil in *Religion within the Limits of Reason Alone* — 262
- 3.4.2.5 Comparison of the three Kantian argumentations on the scope of reason — 264
- 3.4.3 Translations, particularity and plurality of religious traditions — 267
- 3.4.3.1 The possibility of intercultural understanding — 268
- 3.4.3.2 The goal of translation between a search for equivalents and engagement with particularity — 269
- 3.4.3.3 Epoch-making translations of biblical texts — 275
- 3.4.3.4 Plurality of interpretations in foundational scriptures and histories of effect — 278

4 Conclusion of the comparison of the three positions — 280

4.1 Reason in its three dimensions — 280

4.1.1 Theoretical reason — 280

4.1.2 Practical reason — 282

4.1.3 Judgement — 286

4.2 Religion and public reason — 289

4.2.1 Three views of religion in relation to reason — 289

4.2.2 Co-founders of the public sphere — 294

Bibliography — 302

Person Index — 312

Subject Index — 315