

Table of Contents

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Table of Contents</i>	vii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxvii
<i>Table of Statutes</i>	lxiii
<i>Table of Statutory Instruments</i>	lxxx
<i>Table of EC & International Legislation</i>	lxxxiii

	<i>Page</i>
1 The Nature of Tortious Liability	1-001
<i>What is tort?</i>	1-002
Principles of Liability	1-003
(1) <i>Compensation</i>	1-004
(2) <i>Fault</i>	1-005
(3) <i>Retributive justice</i>	1-006
(4) <i>Deterrence</i>	1-007
(5) <i>Economic efficiency (market deterrence)</i>	1-008
(6) <i>Loss distribution</i>	1-009
<i>Conclusions</i>	1-010
The Interests Protected by Tort	1-011
(1) <i>Personal harm</i>	1-012
(2) <i>Harm to property</i>	1-013
(3) <i>Harm to reputation</i>	1-014
(4) <i>Harm to financial interests</i>	1-015
(5) <i>Harm to the due process of law</i>	1-016
The Role of Tort in the Law of Obligations	1-017
<i>The distinction between tort and contract</i>	1-018
<i>The distinction between tort and restitution</i>	1-019
The Impact of European and Human Rights Law	1-020
Tort in Modern Society	1-021
<i>Tort and other compensation systems</i>	1-022
<i>Why not tort?</i>	1-023

<i>Cost</i>	1-024
<i>Time</i>	1-025
<i>Risk</i>	1-026
<i>Difficulty</i>	1-027
<i>Absence of litigation consciousness</i>	1-028
<i>Proposals for reform</i>	1-029
(1) <i>A mixed system</i>	1-030
(2) <i>No-fault liability</i>	1-031
(3) <i>Insurance</i>	1-032
<i>Tortious liability: conclusion</i>	1-033
 2 Negligence: The Duty of Care	
<i>Introduction</i>	2-001
<i>Definition of "negligence"</i>	2-002
 Studying Negligence	2-003
 An Overview of Negligence	2-004
 The Duty of Care	
<i>An overview</i>	2-005
<i>The historical background</i>	2-006
<i>The first step: identifying a general principle</i>	2-007
<i>Lord Atkin's "neighbour principle"</i>	2-008
<i>The second step: applying the general principle</i>	2-009
<i>The decision in Hedley Byrne</i>	2-010
<i>The decision in Dorset Yacht</i>	2-011
<i>Lord Wilberforce's "two stage test"</i>	2-012
<i>The third step: refining the principle</i>	2-013
<i>The modern approach</i>	2-014
<i>The Caparo criteria</i>	2-015
(1) <i>Foreseeability</i>	2-016
<i>The foreseeable claimant</i>	2-017
<i>Is an unborn child a "foreseeable claimant"?</i>	2-018
(2) <i>Proximity</i>	2-019
(3) <i>"Fair, just and reasonable"</i>	2-020
<i>Applying the Caparo criteria: factors relevant to the imposition of a duty of care</i>	2-021
 Misfeasance and Non-feasance	2-022
<i>Non-feasance: no duty to rescue</i>	2-023
<i>Non-feasance: no general duty to prevent others from causing damage</i>	2-024
(1) <i>Special relationship between the defendant and the claimant</i>	2-025
(2) <i>Special relationship between the defendant and the third party</i>	2-026

- (3) *Creating a source of danger “sparked off” by a third party* 2-027
- (4) *Failing to take reasonable steps to abate a danger created by a third party* 2-028

The Type of Defendant 2-029

- (1) *Local authorities* 2-030
 - Policy arguments* 2-031
 - Statutory powers and duties* 2-032
 - Statutory duties and the intention of Parliament* 2-033
 - “Policy matters” and “operational matters”* 2-034
 - Human Rights: the “suspected child abuse” cases* 2-035
 - The decision in Z v United Kingdom* 2-036
 - The decision in D v East Berkshire NHS Trust* 2-037
 - The effect of the Human Rights Act 1998* 2-038
 - The education cases* 2-039
- (2) *Other public servants* 2-040
 - The police* 2-041
 - The fire brigade* 2-042
 - The coastguard* 2-043
 - The ambulance service* 2-044
 - The National Health Service* 2-045
 - The “unintended children” cases* 2-046
 - The armed forces* 2-047
- (3) *Advisory bodies and regulators* 2-048
 - Ship classification societies* 2-049
 - Scientific advisory bodies* 2-050
 - Sports regulators* 2-051
- (4) *The legal profession* 2-052
 - The old law* 2-053
 - Arguments for advocates’ immunity*
 - (1) *The advocate’s duty to the court* 2-054
 - (2) *The “cab-rank” rule and “vexatious” clients* 2-055
 - (3) *The need to avoid a retrial* 2-056
 - (4) *Other grounds: the length of trials and the “witness analogy”* 2-057
 - The decision in Hall v Simons* 2-058

The Article 6.1 Controversy 2-059

- Duty of care: conclusion* 2-060

3 Negligence: Economic Loss

- Introduction* 3-001
- Definition of “economic loss”* 3-002
- “Pure” and “consequential” economic loss* 3-003
- An illustration: Spartan Steel* 3-004
- Policy considerations* 3-005

(1) Tort law should not undermine contract law	3-006
(2) The desire to avoid "crushing liability"	3-007
Economic Loss Caused by Negligent Activities	
<i>The traditional approach</i>	3-008
<i>A brief period of Expansion: Anns and Junior Books</i>	3-009
<i>The decision in Anns</i>	3-010
<i>The decision in Junior Books</i>	3-011
The "Activity" Cases: Principles of the Modern Law	3-012
(1) No recovery where contractual intentions are undermined	3-013
<i>Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999</i>	3-014
<i>The retreat from Junior Books</i>	3-015
(2) "Defective product economic loss" generally not recoverable	3-016
<i>Apparent defects: exceptions to the general rule</i>	3-017
(i) A claimant may recover for injury caused by an apparent defect where it is unreasonable to expect the claimant to repair the defect or vacate the building	3-018
(ii) A claimant may recover where the defect is a potential source of liability to neighbouring landowners	3-019
<i>The "complex structure theory"</i>	3-020
<i>Defective Premises Act 1972</i>	3-021
(3) No recovery unless claimant has proprietary interest in damaged property	3-022
The "Will Drafting" Cases	3-023
<i>The decision in Ross v Caunters</i>	3-024
<i>The decision in White v Jones</i>	3-025
<i>The limits of the "Ross v Caunters principle"</i>	3-026
Economic Loss Caused by Negligent Statements and Services	3-027
<i>The old law</i>	3-028
<i>The "Hedley Byrne principle"</i>	3-029
(1) "Special relationship" and "assumption of responsibility"	3-030
<i>When will a "special relationship" normally arise?</i>	3-031
<i>Can a "special relationship" arise in a purely social context?</i>	3-032
<i>Must the defendant be "in the business of giving advice"?</i>	3-033
<i>Employment references</i>	3-034
<i>Provision of services (the "extended Hedley Byrne principle")</i>	3-035
<i>Pensions Advice</i>	3-036
<i>How do the courts justify the "assumption of responsibility" doctrine?</i>	3-037
<i>Can there be an "assumption of responsibility" where the defendant expressly disclaims responsibility?</i>	3-038
<i>Policy considerations: the limits of the "assumption of responsibility" doctrine</i>	3-039
<i>A summary of the current law</i>	3-040

(i) <i>The purpose for which the statement was made</i>	3-041
(ii) <i>The purpose for which the statement was communicated</i>	3-042
(iii) <i>The relationship between the adviser, the advisee and any relevant third party</i>	3-043
(iv) <i>The size of any class to which the advisee belongs</i>	3-044
(v) <i>The state of knowledge of the adviser</i>	3-045
(vi) <i>Reliance by the advisee</i>	3-046
(2) <i>"Reasonable reliance"</i>	3-047
<i>Reliance must be reasonable</i>	3-048
<i>The purpose for which the statement is made</i>	3-049
<i>Reliance must, in fact, take place</i>	3-050
<i>The "will drafting" cases: an apparent exception</i>	3-051
<i>Economic loss: conclusion</i>	3-052

4 Negligence: Psychiatric Illness

<i>Introduction</i>	4-001
<i>Definition of "psychiatric illness"</i>	4-002
<i>Types of Claimant</i>	4-003

Historical Development

<i>The old law</i>	4-004
<i>The "impact theory"</i>	4-005
<i>The law expands: Hambrook v Stokes</i>	4-006
<i>No further expansion: Bourhill v Young</i>	4-007
<i>The emergence of the modern law: McLoughlin v O'Brian</i>	4-008
<i>Lord Wilberforce's "control mechanisms"</i>	4-009

Elements of the Modern Law

<i>Psychiatric illness resulting from personal injury</i>	4-011
<i>Psychiatric illness resulting from property damage</i>	4-012
<i>Policy considerations</i>	4-013
<i>Foreseeability of psychiatric illness</i>	4-014
<i>Foreseeability: primary victims</i>	4-015
<i>Foreseeability: secondary victims</i>	4-016

Primary and Secondary Victims

<i>"Primary victims"</i>	4-018
<i>There may be a requirement of "actual danger"</i>	4-019
<i>In any event, the claimant's fear for his or her own safety must be reasonable</i>	4-020
<i>"Secondary Victims"</i>	4-021
	4-022

Secondary Victims: The Alcock "Control Mechanisms"

(1) <i>Proximity of relationship</i>	4-023
(2) <i>Proximity in time and space</i>	4-024
<i>Simultaneous live television</i>	4-025
	4-026

(3) <i>The means by which the psychiatric illness is caused</i>	4-027
<i>The "sudden shock" requirement</i>	4-028
<i>No liability where the claimant is merely informed about the accident</i>	4-029
<i>Psychiatric illness caused by a defendant harming or imperilling himself or herself</i>	4-030
The Impact of White	4-031
(1) <i>Employees</i>	4-032
(2) <i>"Unwitting agents"</i>	4-033
(3) <i>Rescuers</i>	4-034
<i>Rescuers who suffer physical injury</i>	4-035
<i>Rescuers who suffer only psychiatric harm</i>	4-036
Psychiatric Illness Law: Proposals for Reform	4-037
<i>"Close ties of love and affection": the "fixed list"</i>	4-038
<i>The "just and reasonable" proviso</i>	4-039
<i>The "actual danger" proviso</i>	4-040
<i>Rescuers, "participants" and "unwitting agents"</i>	4-041
<i>Defences</i>	4-042
<i>Liability for psychiatric illness: conclusion</i>	4-043
 5 Negligence: Breach of Duty	
<i>Introduction</i>	5-001
<i>The "reasonable person"</i>	5-002
<i>The standard of care is objective</i>	5-003
<i>The standard of care is a "hypothetical", not an "average" standard</i>	5-004
 Factors Relevant to the Standard of Care	5-005
<i>Foreseeability of harm</i>	5-006
<i>The magnitude of the risk</i>	5-007
(1) <i>The likelihood of harm</i>	5-008
(2) <i>The seriousness of the consequences</i>	5-009
<i>The burden of taking precautions</i>	5-010
<i>The defendant's financial circumstances</i>	5-011
<i>The utility of the defendant's conduct</i>	5-012
<i>The Compensation Act 2006: the deterrent effect of potential liability</i>	5-013
<i>Common practice</i>	5-014
<i>The "Learned Hand" test</i>	5-015
 Special Standards of Care	5-016
<i>Children</i>	5-017
<i>Defendants acting in an emergency</i>	5-018
<i>Participants in sport</i>	5-019

The Professional Standard of Care	5-020
<i>The Bolam test</i>	5-021
<i>The standard of the "reasonable skilled person"</i>	5-022
<i>The relevance of common practice and professional opinion</i>	5-023
<i>The limits of the "Bolam principle"</i>	5-024
<i>Disclosure of the risks of treatment</i>	5-025
<i>Policy issues in medical negligence cases</i>	5-026
Proof of breach	5-027
<i>Civil Evidence Act 1968</i>	5-028
<i>Res ipsa loquitur</i>	5-029
<i>When does the maxim apply?</i>	5-030
(1) <i>The occurrence must be one that will not normally happen without negligence</i>	
(2) <i>The defendant must have control of the thing which causes the harm</i>	5-031
(3) <i>The cause of the occurrence must be unknown to the claimant</i>	5-032
<i>What is the effect of the maxim?</i>	5-033
<i>Breach of duty: conclusion</i>	5-044
 6 Causation and Remoteness	
<i>Introduction</i>	6-001
Factual Causation	6-002
<i>The pragmatic approach</i>	6-003
<i>The "but for" test</i>	6-004
<i>Problems with the "but for" test</i>	6-005
Concurrent Causes	6-006
<i>"Indeterminate cause"</i>	6-007
<i>"Cumulative cause"</i>	6-008
<i>"Indeterminate cause"</i>	6-009
<i>"Cumulative cause"</i>	6-010
Consecutive Causes	6-011
Proof of Causation	6-012
<i>The "all or nothing" approach</i>	6-013
<i>The "vindication of rights" approach: Chester v Afshar</i>	6-014
<i>The "material increase in risk" approach</i>	6-015
<i>Contribution between defendants: The Compensation Act 2006</i>	6-016
<i>When will the "material increase in risk approach" apply?</i>	6-017
Novus Actus Interveniens	6-018
<i>Intervening act of a third party</i>	6-019

<i>Natural or "instinctive" intervention</i>	6-020
<i>Negligent intervention</i>	6-021
<i>Intentional acts of wrongdoing</i>	6-022
<i>Intervening act of the claimant</i>	6-023
Remoteness of Damage	6-024
<i>The old law</i>	6-025
<i>The modern law: The Wagon Mound (No.1)</i>	6-026
<i>Foreseeability of the "kind of damage"</i>	6-027
<i>Foreseeability of the "way the damage is caused"</i>	6-028
<i>Foreseeability of the "extent" of the damage</i>	6-029
The "Eggshell Skull" Rule	6-030
<i>Causation and remoteness: conclusion</i>	6-031
7 Employers' Liability	
<i>Introduction</i>	7-001
<i>The development of employers' liability</i>	7-002
Personal Liability	7-003
<i>The nature of the duty</i>	7-004
(1) <i>Provision of competent staff</i>	7-005
(2) <i>Provision of adequate plant and equipment and a safe place of work</i>	7-006
(3) <i>Provision of a safe system of work</i>	7-007
<i>The modern scope of personal liability</i>	7-008
<i>Stress in the workplace</i>	7-009
Breach of Statutory Duty	7-010
<i>Construing Parliamentary intention</i>	7-011
(1) <i>Protection of a class</i>	7-012
(2) <i>The nature of the legislation</i>	7-013
(3) <i>Alternative remedies</i>	7-014
<i>Further considerations</i>	7-015
(1) <i>Is the duty owed to this particular claimant?</i>	7-016
(2) <i>Has the defendant breached his or her duty to the claimant?</i>	7-017
(3) <i>Did the breach cause the damage concerned?</i>	7-018
(4) <i>Is the damage of the kind which the statute intended to prevent?</i>	7-019
<i>Defences</i>	7-020
<i>Breaches of European legislation (the "Eurotort")</i>	7-021
Vicarious Liability	7-022
(1) <i>The employee committed a tort</i>	7-023
(2) <i>The existence of an employer/employee relationship</i>	7-024

<i>Factors identifying "employees"</i>	7-025
<i>The terms of the contract</i>	
<i>Control</i>	7-026
<i>The relationship as a whole</i>	7-027
<i>Lending an employee</i>	7-028
(3) <i>The employee acted in the course of employment</i>	7-029
<i>Prohibited and criminal conduct by employees</i>	7-030
<i>The application of Lister</i>	7-031
<i>Summary</i>	7-032
<i>Liability for the actions of independent contractors</i>	7-033
<i>Can vicarious liability be justified?</i>	7-034
<i>Employers' liability: conclusion</i>	7-035

8 Occupiers' Liability

<i>Introduction</i>	8-001
<i>The old law</i>	8-002
(1) <i>Contractual entrants</i>	8-003
(2) <i>Invitees</i>	8-004
(3) <i>Licensees</i>	8-005
(4) <i>Trespassers</i>	8-006
<i>The need for reform</i>	8-007

Occupiers' Liability Act 1957

<i>The scope of the 1957 Act</i>	8-008
(1) <i>The Act covers damage to property as well as personal injury</i>	8-009
(2) <i>Liability under the Act may be limited by an express term of a contract, or by a notice given to visitors</i>	8-010
(3) <i>The Act is thought to apply only to the "occupancy duty"</i>	8-011
<i>Definition of "occupier"</i>	8-012
<i>Definition of "premises"</i>	8-013
<i>Definition of "visitor"</i>	8-014
<i>Persons entering by authority of law</i>	8-015
<i>Persons exercising rights of way</i>	8-016
<i>Implied permission</i>	8-017
<i>Limitations on permission</i>	8-018
<i>The "common duty of care"</i>	8-019
<i>Discharging the common duty of care</i>	8-020
<i>Children</i>	8-021
<i>Professional visitors</i>	8-022
<i>Giving a warning of the danger</i>	8-023
<i>Entrusting work to independent contractors</i>	8-024
<i>Exclusion of liability</i>	8-025
(1) <i>Displaying a notice on the premises</i>	8-026
(2) <i>An express term of a contract</i>	8-027

<i>The contract's effect on third parties</i>	8-028
<i>Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977</i>	8-029
Liability to Non-Visitors	8-030
<i>The old law</i>	8-031
Occupiers' Liability Act 1984	8-032
Defences	8-033
<i>Contributory Negligence</i>	8-034
 9 Strict Liability Statutes	 9-001
(1) Consumer Protection Act 1987	
<i>Introduction</i>	9-002
The Common Law Position	9-003
<i>The scope of Donoghue v Stevenson</i>	9-004
<i>The duty of care</i>	9-005
<i>Breach</i>	9-006
<i>Causation and remoteness</i>	9-007
<i>The type of loss recoverable</i>	9-008
<i>Particular problems relating to defective products</i>	
(1) <i>What is a product?</i>	9-009
(2) <i>Has there been intermediate examination or interference?</i>	9-010
(3) <i>The manufacture/design distinction</i>	9-011
<i>The need for change?</i>	9-012
Consumer Protection Act 1987	9-013
<i>Who can sue?</i>	9-014
<i>Who is liable?</i>	9-015
(1) <i>Producer—ss.1(2) and 2(2)(a)</i>	9-016
(2) <i>Own brander—s.2(2)(b)</i>	9-017
(3) <i>Importer into E.C.—s.2(2)(c)</i>	9-018
(4) <i>Supplier—s.2(3)</i>	9-019
<i>What is a product?</i>	9-020
<i>What is a defect?</i>	9-021
<i>What damage?</i>	9-024
Defences	9-025
(a) <i>The defect is attributable to compliance with a requirement imposed by law</i>	9-026
(b) <i>The defendants did not at any time supply the product to another</i>	9-027
(c) <i>Supply by the defendants was not in course of their business</i>	9-028
(d) <i>The defect did not exist in the product at the relevant time, i.e. when it was put into circulation</i>	9-029

(e) <i>The development risk defence</i>	9-030
(f) <i>The defect was a defect in a finished product (X) in which the product in question had been comprised AND was wholly attributable to the design of X or to compliance with the producer of X's instructions</i>	9-032
<i>Contributory negligence</i>	9-033
<i>Exclusion clauses</i>	9-034
<i>Limitation periods</i>	9-035
<i>Causation and remoteness</i>	9-036
Assessment of the Impact of the Act	
<i>Continuing practical problems</i>	9-037
<i>Standard and non-standard products</i>	9-038
<i>Use of settlements</i>	9-039
Breach of Statutory Duty	9-040
Reform of the Product Liability Directive	9-041
(2) Animals Act 1971	9-042
<i>Dangerous/non-dangerous species</i>	9-043
<i>Dangerous species</i>	9-044
<i>Non-dangerous species</i>	9-045
Defences	9-047
(i) <i>Fault of the victim</i>	9-048
(ii) <i>Voluntary acceptance of risk</i>	9-049
(iii) <i>The victim is a trespasser</i>	9-050
Conclusion	9-051
 10 Nuisance and the Rule in <i>Rylands v Fletcher</i>	
<i>Introduction</i>	10-001
Nuisance	10-002
Private Nuisance	10-003
<i>What amounts to a private nuisance?</i>	10-004
<i>"Reasonable user"</i>	10-005
<i>Factors determining reasonable user</i>	10-006
(1) <i>The nature of the locality</i>	10-007
(2) <i>Duration and frequency</i>	10-008
(3) <i>Utility of the defendant's conduct</i>	10-009
(4) <i>Abnormal sensitivity</i>	10-010
(5) <i>Malice</i>	10-012
<i>Who can sue?</i>	10-013

<i>Rights in the land</i>	10-016
<i>Losses incurred prior to acquisition of a right to land</i>	10-017
<i>Landlords</i>	10-018
<i>The Human Rights Act 1998</i>	10-019
<i>Who can be sued?</i>	10-020
(1) <i>The occupier of the land</i>	10-021
(i) <i>The occupier exercises control over the creator</i>	10-022
(ii) <i>The occupier has adopted or continued a nuisance created by a trespasser</i>	10-023
(iii) <i>The occupier has adopted or continued a nuisance created by an act of nature</i>	10-024
<i>The measured duty of care</i>	10-025
(iv) <i>The creator is the occupier's predecessor in title</i>	
(2) <i>The Landlord</i>	10-026
(i) <i>Where the landlord expressly or impliedly authorises the nuisance</i>	10-027
(ii) <i>The landlord knew or ought to have known of the nuisance before letting</i>	10-029
(iii) <i>The landlord covenanted to repair, or has a right to enter to repair</i>	10-030
<i>Must the nuisance emanate from the defendant's land?</i>	10-031
<i>Relevant defences</i>	10-032
(1) <i>Statutory authority</i>	10-033
(2) <i>20 years' prescription</i>	10-034
(3) <i>Inevitable accident</i>	10-035
(4) <i>Act of a stranger</i>	10-036
<i>Ineffective defences</i>	10-037
(1) <i>Coming to the nuisance</i>	10-038
(2) <i>Utility</i>	10-039
(3) <i>Jus Tertii</i>	10-040
(4) <i>Due to many</i>	10-041
Relationship Between Private Nuisance and Other Torts	10-042
<i>The relationship between private nuisance and negligence</i>	10-043
<i>The relationship between private nuisance and trespass to land</i>	10-044
Public Nuisance	10-045
<i>Obstructions on the highway</i>	10-046
<i>Projections over the highway</i>	10-047
<i>Particular damage</i>	10-048
The Rule in Rylands v Fletcher	10-049
<i>What is the significance of Rylands v Fletcher?</i>	10-050
<i>Liability under the rule in Rylands v Fletcher</i>	10-052
(1) <i>The defendant brings on his lands for his own purposes something likely to do mischief</i>	10-053

(2) <i>If it escapes</i>	10-054
(3) <i>Non-natural user</i>	10-055
(4) <i>Foreseeability of damage of the relevant type</i>	10-056
<i>Who can sue?</i>	10-057
<i>Who can be sued?</i>	10-058
<i>Defences</i>	10-059
(1) <i>Claimant's default</i>	10-060
(2) <i>Unforeseeable act of stranger</i>	10-061
(3) <i>Act of God</i>	10-062
(4) <i>Statutory authority</i>	10-063
(5) <i>Consent</i>	10-064
Remedies	10-065
(1) <i>Injunctions</i>	10-066
(2) <i>Abatement</i>	10-067
(3) <i>Damages</i>	10-068
<i>Personal injury</i>	10-069
<i>Economic loss</i>	10-070
<i>Damage to chattels</i>	10-071
<i>Remoteness</i>	10-072
<i>The Human Rights Act 1998</i>	10-073
<i>Conclusion</i>	10-074
11 Trespass	
<i>Introduction</i>	11-001
Trespass to the Person	11-002
<i>Battery</i>	11-003
(1) <i>It must be intentional</i>	11-004
(2) <i>It must be direct</i>	11-005
(4) <i>Immediate force</i>	11-006
<i>Assault</i>	11-007
(1) <i>Reasonable apprehension of harm</i>	11-008
(2) <i>It must be intentional</i>	11-009
(3) <i>It must be immediate and direct</i>	11-010
<i>Can words amount to an assault?</i>	11-011
<i>False imprisonment</i>	11-012
(1) <i>A complete restriction of the claimant's freedom of movement</i>	11-013
<i>It is unnecessary to show the claimant knew of the imprisonment</i>	11-015
(2) <i>Without legal authorisation</i>	11-016
The rule in <i>Wilkinson v Downton</i>	11-017

Trespass to the person: defences	11-018
(1) <i>Consent</i>	11-019
<i>Refusal of consent</i>	11-020
<i>Limits to consent</i>	11-021
(2) <i>Necessity</i>	11-022
(3) <i>Self-defence</i>	11-023
(4) <i>Provocation</i>	11-024
(5) <i>Contributory negligence</i>	11-025
(6) <i>Lawful authority</i>	11-026
 Can Trespass to the Person be Committed Negligently?	11-027
 Protection from Harassment Act 1997	11-028
<i>What is "harassment"?</i>	11-029
<i>Remedies</i>	11-030
 Malicious Prosecution	11-031
 Trespass to Land	11-034
(1) <i>Direct and unjustifiable interference</i>	11-035
(2) <i>Possession of land</i>	11-036
 Trespass to Land: Defences	11-037
(1) <i>Licence</i>	11-038
(2) <i>Necessity</i>	11-039
(3) <i>Justification by law</i>	11-040
 Trespass to Land: Remedies	11-041
(1) <i>Self-help</i>	11-042
(2) <i>Order for possession of land</i>	11-043
(3) <i>Mesne profits</i>	11-044
 Trespass to Goods	11-045
<i>The requirements of trespass to goods</i>	
(1) <i>It must be intentional</i>	11-046
(2) <i>It must be direct</i>	11-047
(3) <i>Actionable per se?</i>	11-048
(4) <i>Possession</i>	11-049
<i>Defences</i>	11-050
 12 The Economic Torts	
<i>Introduction</i>	12-001
<i>Regulating competition: the scope of the economic torts</i>	12-002
 Inducing a Breach of Contract	12-003
<i>The defendant must know of the existence of the contract</i>	12-004

<i>The defendant must know that the induced conduct will amount to a breach</i>	12-005
<i>Knowledge of the contractual terms</i>	12-006
<i>Knowledge of the legal effect of the induced conduct</i>	12-007
<i>The defendant must "intend" to induce the breach</i>	12-008
<i>What counts as "intending"?</i>	12-009
<i>Must the defendant intend to cause loss?</i>	12-010
<i>What counts as "inducing"?</i>	12-011
<i>Defences to inducing a breach of contract</i>	12-012
<i>Where the defendant has an equal or superior right to the third party's performance</i>	12-013
<i>Where the defendant has statutory authority to interfere with the contract</i>	12-014
<i>Where the defendant has a moral or social duty to interfere with the contract</i>	12-015
Causing Loss by Unlawful Means	12-016
<i>The defendant's actions must be "unlawful" in the relevant sense</i>	12-017
<i>The defendant's actions must affect the third party's freedom to deal with the claimant</i>	12-018
<i>The defendant must intend to cause the claimant loss</i>	12-019
<i>Ways of committing the unlawful means tort</i>	12-020
<i>The "interference with contractual relations" scenarios</i>	12-021
<i>The intimidation scenarios</i>	12-022
<i>The modern torts applied: the OBG v Allan appeals</i>	12-023
<i>Mainstream Properties Ltd v Young</i>	12-024
<i>OBG v Allan</i>	12-025
<i>Douglas v Hello!</i>	12-026
Conspiracy	12-027
<i>Unlawful means conspiracy</i>	12-028
<i>What must the conspirators intend?</i>	12-029
<i>What counts as "unlawful means" for the purpose of establishing this tort?</i>	12-030
<i>Lawful means conspiracy</i>	12-031
<i>The economic torts: conclusion</i>	12-032
13 Defamation	
<i>Introduction</i>	13-001
Libel and Slander	13-002
<i>Types of slander actionable per se</i>	13-003
(1) <i>Imputation of a criminal offence punishable by imprisonment</i>	13-004
(2) <i>Imputation of a contagious disease</i>	13-005

(3) <i>Imputation of unchastity or adultery by a female</i>	13-006
(4) <i>Imputation of professional unfitness or incompetence</i>	13-007
The General Requirements of Defamation	13-008
<i>The role of the judge and jury</i>	13-009
(1) <i>Is the statement defamatory?</i>	13-010
<i>Innuendo</i>	13-012
(2) <i>Does the statement refer to the claimant?</i>	13-014
<i>Group defamation</i>	13-016
(3) <i>Has the statement been published to a third party?</i>	13-017
<i>Who can sue?</i>	
(1) <i>Any living human being</i>	13-019
(2) <i>Companies</i>	13-020
<i>Who cannot sue?</i>	
(1) <i>Governmental bodies</i>	13-021
(2) <i>Political parties</i>	13-022
<i>Conclusion</i>	13-023
 14 Defences to Defamation	
<i>Introduction</i>	14-001
(1) Justification (or Truth)	14-002
(2) Fair Comment	14-004
<i>In the public interest</i>	14-005
<i>It must be comment</i>	14-006
<i>Fair and honest</i>	14-007
(3) Privilege	14-008
<i>Absolute privilege</i>	14-009
(1) <i>Statements in Parliament</i>	14-010
(2) <i>Reports, papers, votes and proceedings ordered to be published by either House of Parliament</i>	14-011
(3) <i>Judicial proceedings</i>	14-012
(4) <i>Reports of United Kingdom court proceedings</i>	14-013
(5) <i>Communications between certain officers of state</i>	14-014
<i>Qualified privilege</i>	14-015
<i>Qualified privilege at common law</i>	14-016
<i>What is a legal, moral or social duty?</i>	14-017
<i>What is an interest?</i>	14-018
<i>The duty/interest test and the media</i>	14-019
<i>The application of Reynolds</i>	14-022
<i>Qualified privilege under statute</i>	14-026
(i) <i>Reports of parliamentary proceedings</i>	14-027
(ii) <i>Reports of judicial proceedings</i>	14-028
(iii) <i>Registers</i>	14-029

(iv) <i>Other matters covered by section 15 and Schedule 1 to the Defamation Act 1996</i>	14-030
<i>Schedule 1 and freedom of expression</i>	14-031
(4) Offer of Amends Under the Defamation Act 1996	14-032
(5) Innocent Dissemination	14-033
<i>Reform</i>	14-035
(6) Limitation	14-036
The Need for Reform	14-037
<i>Procedural reforms</i>	14-038
<i>Control of damages</i>	14-039
Interim injunctions	14-042
Complaints to Regulatory Bodies	14-043
Malicious or Injurious Falsehood	14-044
<i>Defamation: Conclusion</i>	14-046
15 Privacy (or Misuse of Private Information)	15-001
<i>Protection of privacy by existing torts</i>	15-002
<i>Has the Human Rights Act 1998 made a difference?</i>	15-003
The Current Legal Position	
1. <i>Rejection of a new tort of invasion of privacy</i>	15-004
2. <i>The "extended" breach of confidence action</i>	15-005
<i>Campbell v Mirror Group Newspapers Ltd</i>	15-006
<i>McKennitt v Ash</i>	15-007
<i>Application of the two-stage test</i>	
(i) <i>Is the information private?</i>	15-008
(ii) <i>Balancing Article 8 and Article 10</i>	15-009
<i>Remedies</i>	15-010
<i>Conclusion</i>	15-011
16 General Defences and Extinction of Liability	
<i>Introduction</i>	16-001
Defences	
(1) <i>Consent</i>	16-002
(i) <i>Consent</i>	16-003
(ii) <i>Voluntary assumption of risk</i>	16-004
(a) <i>Agreement</i>	16-005

(b) <i>Full knowledge and acceptance of the nature and extent of the risk</i>	16-006
(c) <i>Voluntary choice by the claimant</i>	16-007
<i>Other uses of "consent" in negligence</i>	16-009
(a) <i>Setting the standard of care in negligence</i>	16-010
(b) <i>Exclusion clauses</i>	16-011
(iii) <i>Leave or licence</i>	16-012
(2) <i>Public policy and illegality</i>	16-013
<i>Reform</i>	16-016
(3) <i>Contributory negligence</i>	16-017
<i>The statutory position</i>	16-018
(i) <i>Was the claimant acting negligently?</i>	16-019
(ii) <i>Did the claimant's actions contribute to the damage suffered?</i>	16-020
(iii) <i>To what extent should the claimant's damages be reduced? What is "just and equitable" in these circumstances?</i>	16-021
(a) <i>Failure to wear a seat belt</i>	16-022
(b) <i>Failure to wear a crash helmet</i>	16-023
(c) <i>Negligent valuation cases</i>	16-024
<i>Multiple defendants</i>	16-025
(4) <i>Inevitable accident</i>	16-026
(5) <i>Mistake</i>	16-027
<i>General defences: conclusion</i>	16-028

Extinction of Liability

(1) <i>Limitation of Actions</i>	16-029
<i>Personal injury claims—ss.11, 14 and 33 of the Limitation Act 1980</i>	
<i>Section 11</i>	16-030
<i>Section 14</i>	16-031
<i>Section 12</i>	16-032
<i>Section 33</i>	16-033
<i>Defamation—ss.4A and 32A of the Limitation Act 1980</i>	16-034
<i>Defective products—s.11A of the Limitation Act 1980</i>	16-035
<i>Limitation problems</i>	
(i) <i>Deliberate concealment</i>	16-036
(ii) <i>Disability</i>	16-037
(iii) <i>Latent damage: property damage or financial loss</i>	16-038
<i>The burden of proof</i>	16-039
<i>Reform</i>	16-040
(2) <i>Waiver</i>	16-041
(3) <i>Accord and satisfaction</i>	16-042
(4) <i>Judgment</i>	16-043
(5) <i>Death</i>	16-044
<i>Extinction of liability: conclusion</i>	16-045

17 Remedies	17-001
<i>Introduction</i>	
Damages	17-002
<i>Types of Damages</i>	17-003
(1) <i>Compensatory</i>	17-004
(2) <i>Contemptuous</i>	17-006
(3) <i>Nominal</i>	17-007
(4) <i>Aggravated</i>	17-008
(5) <i>Exemplary or punitive</i>	17-009
<i>The three kinds of punitive damages</i>	17-010
(i) <i>Oppressive, arbitrary or unconstitutional actions by government servants</i>	17-011
(ii) <i>Conduct calculated by the defendant to make a profit which may well exceed any compensation payable to the claimant</i>	17-012
(iii) <i>Expressly authorised by statute</i>	17-013
<i>The "cause of action" test</i>	17-014
(6) <i>Restitutionary</i>	17-015
<i>The relationship between tort damages and damages under the Human Rights Act 1998</i>	17-016
Actions for Personal Injury	17-017
<i>Pecuniary loss</i>	17-018
(1) <i>Loss of earnings</i>	17-019
(2) <i>Lost years</i>	17-021
(3) <i>Loss of earning capacity</i>	17-022
(4) <i>Deductions</i>	17-023
(i) <i>Charity</i>	17-024
(ii) <i>Voluntary payments by the defendant</i>	17-025
(iii) <i>Insurance</i>	17-026
(iv) <i>Sick pay</i>	17-027
(v) <i>Pension</i>	17-028
(vi) <i>Social security benefits</i>	17-029
(5) <i>Expenses</i>	17-030
<i>Cost of a carer</i>	17-031
(6) <i>Other damages</i>	17-032
<i>Non-pecuniary loss</i>	17-033
(1) <i>Pain and suffering</i>	17-034
(2) <i>Loss of amenity</i>	17-035
(3) <i>Injury itself</i>	17-036
<i>Interest</i>	17-037
(1) <i>Pecuniary loss</i>	17-038
(2) <i>Non-pecuniary loss</i>	17-039
<i>Alternatives to lump sum payments</i>	17-040
(1) <i>Provisional damages</i>	

(2) <i>Interim payments</i>	17-041
(3) <i>Periodic payments</i>	17-042
Actions on Death	17-044
(1) <i>Actions by the deceased's estate</i>	17-045
(2) <i>Actions by the deceased's dependants</i>	17-046
<i>Fatal Accidents Act 1976</i>	17-047
(1) <i>Is the claimant a dependant within S.1(3) of the Act?</i>	17-048
(2) <i>Was the claimant financially dependent on the deceased?</i>	17-049
<i>Assessment</i>	17-050
<i>Deductions</i>	17-051
<i>Damages for bereavement</i>	17-053
Actions for Loss or Damage to Property	17-054
Joint and Several Liability	17-055
<i>Assessment</i>	17-056
<i>Settlements</i>	17-057
Other Remedies	17-058
<i>Injunctions</i>	17-059
<i>Prohibitory and mandatory injunctions</i>	17-060
<i>Interim injunctions</i>	17-061
<i>Quia timet injunctions</i>	17-062
<i>Remedies: conclusion</i>	17-063
 <i>Index</i>	 553