

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	7
I THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	9
1 Academic discourse	10
1.1 Delimiting the field	10
1.2 Social constructionist perspective of academic discourse	11
1.3 Social context of academic discourse	12
1.4 ‘Myth of impersonality’ in academic writing	14
1.5 Research on interpersonality in academic writing: “the interpersonal turn”	16
2 Metadiscourse	19
2.1 History of metadiscourse	19
2.2 Two main approaches to metadiscourse	21
2.3 Terminology – definitions of the terms used in metadiscourse research	24
2.4 Criticism of metadiscourse theory	26
3 Metadiscourse and genre analysis	29
3.1. The concept of genre	29
3.2 The genre of undergraduate textbook and research article	34
4 Aims and methodology	38
4.1 Aims and research questions	38
4.2 Research methodology	38
4.3 Corpus	41
II METADISOURSE IN UNDERGRADUATE TEXTBOOKS AND RESEARCH ARTICLES: ANALYTICAL PART	43
5 Overview of the quantitative analysis	44
6 The life of a scientific fact	50
6.1 Hedges	52

6.2	Evidentials	54
6.3	The classification of statement types revisited	56
6.4	Conclusion	57
7	Hedges	59
7.1	Models of hedges in scientific writing	61
7.2	Linguistic realization of hedging	66
7.3	Hedging in textbooks and research articles	68
8	Boosters	73
9	Attitude markers	76
9.1	Attitude markers in undergraduate textbooks and RAs compared	77
9.2	Collocations with hedges	83
10	Engagement markers	85
10.1	Pronoun <i>you</i> , imperatives, questions	86
10.2	Pronoun <i>we</i>	88
10.3	Engagement markers in textbooks and RAs compared	95
11	Self mention	98
12	Textual metadiscourse	102
12.1	Transition markers	102
12.2	Code glosses	104
12.3	Frame markers and endophoric markers	106
CONCLUSIONS		111
Author's note		117
Bibliography		119
Appendix		127
Resumé		129