TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Introduction | 19 |
|---|----------------|
| Chapter I. Definition of the subject | |
| A. Initial focus and questions | 26 31 44 |
| E. Corruption in cross-border commercial life F. The intersection between corruption and law | 49 54 58 |
| Chapter II. The meaning of "illegality" | 61 |
| A. Overview of the problem of illegality in its different forms | 61 |
| 1. Overtly illegal contracts | 61 64 |
| parties | 66 67 |
| B. Circumstances of the illegality | 68 |
| Initial awareness of the illegality by all parties Subsequent awareness of the illegality by all | 68 |
| parties | 69 69 70 |
| out of bribery | 70 |
| C. Suspicion of illegality versus knowledge or obviousness of same | 70 |
| Maintaining impartiality and equal treatment Dilatory tactics and allegations of illegality Mutual denial of illegality | 71 72 72 |
| D. Initial attempts at definitions of "corruption" and "bribery" | 73 |
| E. International and transnational efforts to | 75 |
| define "bribery" | 81 |

| G. Different national legislative approaches to the definition of illegality | 92 |
|--|------------|
| "Facilitation payments", "speed money" and "grease payments" Differences in the definition of elements of | 92 |
| 2. Differences in the definition of elements of corrupt conduct | 95 |
| rupt and non-corrupt intermediaries | 97 |
| H. Illegality and investment arbitration | 103 |
| Chapter III. The context of private international law versus public international law | 106 |
| A. Introduction | 106 |
| 1. International commercial arbitration based on contractual privity. | 106 |
| 2. International investment arbitration based on contractual privity. | 109 |
| 3. International investment arbitration based on a BIT or MIT, without contractual privity | 112 |
| B. The meaning of public policy in international arbitration | 114 |
| 1. Limitations to party autonomy | 114 |
| 2. The meaning and function of public policy in international arbitration | 116 |
| arbitration generally | 121 |
| (a) Public policy limitations to arbitration as a means of dispute settlement(b) Public policy limitations to the application | 123 |
| able substantive and procedural law | 128 |
| (c) Public policy limitations to the existence and enforcement of an award | 134 |
| (i) Court practice in the United States. | 140 |
| (ii) Court practice in France | 143 144 |
| (iii) Court practice in England | 145 |
| (iv) Court practice in Germany(v) Court practice in Switzerland | |
| 4. The existence and role of public policy for the international arbitrator | 149 |
| (a) The absence of a <i>lex fori</i> and the role of | 149 |

| | (b) Criticism of an "international" or "transnational" public policy (c) Rebuttal to the criticism of an "interna- | 151 |
|------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | tional" or "transnational" public policy. | 153 |
| | 5. Corruption and bribery in public and private international law | 155 |
| | (a) Prevailing opinion on bribery as a matter of customary law | 156 |
| | tribunals | 159 |
| | bribery | 161 |
| Chap | ter IV. The question of the applicable law or laws | 167 |
| A. | International commercial arbitration and the applicable law | 167 |
| | Lex contractus as manifestation of party autonomy Lex contractus, lex arbitri and the place of | 168 |
| | performance | 169 |
| | 3. The connectedness of the law of place of performance | 170 |
| | local public policy | 174 |
| В. | International investment arbitration and the applicable law | 181 |
| | 1. The autonomy of the parties to choose the law in international investment disputes | 182 |
| | 2. Party autonomy and the law of the host State 3. The law of the investor or the law of a third | 185 |
| | State | 186 |
| | 4. The investment agreement as a self-contained legal system | 187 |
| | legal system | 191 194 |
| | 6. The choice of "international law"7. International law as a component of domestic | |
| | law | 201 |
| | choice by the parties | 204212 |
| | law — nullity | |
| | treaty-based choice of law | 218 |

| C. Commercial versus investment arbitration: reconciling illegality and applicable law | 222 |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Chapter V. Corruption allegations, suspicions, findings and admissions | 224 |
| A. The different contexts in which corruption plays a role | 224 |
| different forms | 224 225 |
| (a) Overtly illegal contracts(b) Illegality and lack of assent(c) Illicit contracts and public versus private | 225 227 |
| parties | 230231 |
| 3. Different factual circumstances of illegality. | 231 |
| (a) Initial awareness of the illegality by all parties | 232 |
| (b) Subsequent awareness of the illegality by all parties | 232 |
| party | 233 |
| (d) Reliance on the illegality as a claim or defence | 233 |
| (e) Contracts of bribery versus contracts arising out of bribery | 234 |
| 4. Suspicion of illegality versus knowledge or obviousness of the same | 234 |
| (a) Maintaining impartiality and equal treatment | 235 |
| (b) Dilatory tactics and allegations of illegality | 235 236 |
| B. Illegality and jurisdictional limitations | 237 |
| 1. Competence-competence | 237 |
| (a) Commercial arbitration | 237 |
| (b) Investment treaty arbitration | 243 |
| 2. Illegality and separability of the arbitration agreement | 244 |
| (a) Commercial arbitration | 244 |
| (b) Investment treaty arbitration | 248 |

| | 3. Arbitrability | 249 |
|----------|--|---|
| | (a) Commercial arbitration | 249 250 |
| | 4. Corruption issues and competence, separability and arbitrability | 251 |
| Chap | ter VI. The burden of proof and the standard of of of illegality | 252 |
| A. B. | Introduction | 252 254 |
| | Commercial context. Investment treaty context. General approaches to burden of proof and | 254255257 |
| PIE | its allocation | 259 |
| C. | Burden of proof and corruption | |
| | General challenges | 259 260 |
| | (a) Absence of contractual privity (b) Existence of contractual privity (c) Issues related to the seat and/or applicable | 262 264 |
| | arbitral rules | 267 270 |
| | 3. Approaches to proof in commercial and public law arbitration | 273 |
| 324 | 4. Approaches to proof in investment treaty arbitration | 276 |
| | and investment approaches to proof | 279 |
| | ter VII. Attribution of illegal actions and know- ge of the State | 281 |
| | Introduction | 281 |
| | Attribution generally in international arbitration involving States | 282 |
| C. | tion | 286 |
| Chap | ter VIII. The rights and duties of the arbitrator . | 294 |
| | Introduction | 294 |
| | rights and duties generally | 294 |
| | 1. Rights of the international arbitrator | 294 |

14 Contents

| 2. Duties of the international arbitrator | 290 |
|--|------------|
| (a) Duties of the arbitrator and party autonomy(b) Duties of the arbitrator and public policy | 296 297 |
| (c) Standards of procedural international | 299 |
| public policy | 312 |
| 3. The arbitrator's investigative rights and duties in the context of corruption | 316 |
| 4. Should or must an arbitrator always decide illegality when alleged? | 317 |
| (a) Degree or lack of reviewability of the award | 319 |
| of the award | 320 |
| of the award | 321 |
| quent scrutiny | 321 |
| (e) The precedential value or use of the award (f) Social engineering within the constraints | 322 |
| of the arbitral mandate | 322 |
| ment for future conduct | 323 |
| (h) Pressure and moral suasion on the reviewing instance | 324 |
| (i) The undesirable option of resignation(j) The arbitrator and the appointing authority | 324 325 |
| (k) Informing the penal authorities of the illegality | 334 |
| 5. How should or must the arbitrator treat illegality when admitted? | 337 |
| 6. Should or must the arbitrator, sua sponte, decide illegality when not alleged? | 339 |
| Chapter IX. Legal consequences of a finding or admission of corruption | 352 |
| A. Introduction | 352 |
| B. Jurisdiction and admissibility versus the merits: general conceptual challenges | 354 |
| 1. Issues common to commercial and investment treaty context | 355 |
| | |

| (a) | Time and cost of adjudication | 355 |
|------------|--|--|
| | uninformed stage | 356 |
| factor-1 | authority | 357 |
| (d) (e) | Waiver of objections by the party Characterization of the objection or | 358 |
| | defence | 359 |
| | characterization | 359 |
| (g) | ceedings | 360 |
| | | 362 |
| | • | |
| | cation | 362 |
| (b) | | 362 |
| (c) | | 363 |
| (d) | Privity of contract versus "consent" | 364 |
| (e) | Distinguishing jurisdiction and admissibility | 365 |
| | | 370 |
| | | 370 |
| (a) | | 370 |
| (b) | The form of decision and the further | |
| | | 371 |
| (c) | Proof and law | 373 |
| | | 373 |
| | | 373 |
| | | 373 |
| , , | issue | 374 |
| (c) | Enforceability of the award and corruption | 379 |
| | | 379 |
| | | 380 |
| | (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) 2. Diff me (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) Jurisdithe ille 1. Issume (a) (b) (c) 2. Diff inv (a) (b) (c) Legal finding 1. The | (c) The right of the arbitrator to confirm his authority |

| (a) Legality as a prerequisite for jurisdiction and consent | 380 |
|---|------------|
| and consent | 390 |
| fault" or "mutual fault" | 403 |
| (d) Arbitrability and acts of bribery2. The commercial arbitration context and | 407 |
| claimant illegality | 412 |
| (a) Possible denial of benefits of arbitration agreement, resulting in lack of <i>jurisdiction</i> ? | 412 |
| tion? | |
| is jurisdiction affected? | 413 |
| of "unclean hands" | 413 |
| (d) Inadmissibility or voidness on the basis of breach of public policy | 415 |
| Chapter X. Justifications, excuses and defences for illegal conduct | 417 |
| A. Introduction | 417 417 |
| 1. Issues common to the commercial and investment treaty context | 417 |
| 2. Differences between the commercial and | |
| investment treaty context | 419 |
| C. The specific context of illegality and corruption 1. Issues common to the commercial and invest- | 420 |
| ment context | 420 |
| 2. Differences between the commercial and investment treaty context | 420 |
| D. Specific defences in the context of illegality and | |
| corruption | 421 |
| 1. Unintentional acts of bribery by the claimant or respondent | 421 |
| 2. "Facilitation payments" by the claimant to the respondent | 422 |
| 3. Lawfulness of activity of the claimant in the | |
| respondent host State as an excuse 4. Unlawfulness of activity of the claimant in | 423 |
| the respondent host State as a justification | 424 |

| 5. Self-help or self-defence, duress, economic necessity by the claimant 6. Justification or excuse on the basis of alleged widespread corruption 7. Economic necessity as the defence by the State to request for a bribe from the claimant | 427 431 433 |
|--|-------------------|
| Chapter XI. Standards of review of arbitral awards in the corruption context | 435 |
| A. Introduction | 435 |
| 1. Which public policy? | 438 |
| the seat, if any | 438 |
| attempted enforcement, if any | 440 |
| finality | 441 |
| (a) Westacre | 441 445 449 |
| Hilmarton | 451 |
| 3. Public policy as a content- or results-oriented approach | 454 |
| C. Conclusion | 458 |
| Bibliography | 472 |
| About the author | 487 |
| Biographical note | 487 488 |

Ústřední knihovna

právnické fakulty MU Brno