

CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	v
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii

Introduction	1
---------------------------	---

PART I. NORMATIVE FRAMEWORK: THE DELIBERATIVE PERFORMANCE OF CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS

Chapter 1. Constitutional Review in Democratic Systems: Countering the Counter-Majoritarian Objection	13
--	----

1.1. Democratic Policy-Making: An Electoral and a Deliberative Component	14
1.2. The Electoral Component	18
1.2.1. Power-Sharing as an Alternative to the Majority Rule	18
1.2.2. The Belgian Polity: Historical Overview	21
1.2.3. The Democratic Credentials of (Super)majoritarian Decision-Making	25
1.3. The Deliberative Component	32
1.3.1. Deliberative Performance Defined by Five, Interrelated Key Elements	32
1.3.2. Constitutional Courts as Deliberative Institutions	37
1.3.2.1. Inclusiveness	37
1.3.2.2. Internal Deliberation	40
1.3.2.3. Transparent Justification	42
1.3.2.4. Rational Justification	46
1.3.2.5. Constitutional Dialogue	52
1.4. Conclusion	56

PART II. THE CONTOURS OF JUDICIAL DECISION-MAKING

Chapter 2. The Institutional Framework of the Belgian Constitutional Court	63
---	----

2.1. Introduction	63
2.2. Reference Norms	66

2.2.1.	Shifting the Focus: From Federalism to the Protection of Human Rights	66
2.2.2.	Evaluation	70
2.3.	Composition of the Court and Internal Dynamics	74
2.3.1.	The Double Parity Rule: Ensuring the Protection of Political Agreements	74
2.3.2.	Evaluation	77
2.4.	Access Routes and Agenda-Setting	81
2.4.1.	From a Limited to an Open-Access Policy	81
2.4.2.	Evaluation	84
2.5.	Investigative Possibilities	88
2.5.1.	The 'Broadest' Investigative Possibilities	88
2.5.2.	Evaluation	89
2.6.	Sanctioning Possibilities	90
2.6.1.	A Limited Set of Legal Sanctioning Possibilities	90
2.6.2.	Evaluation	91
2.7.	Transparency	94
2.7.1.	Publication of an <i>Ex Ante</i> Notice and the <i>Ex Post</i> Collective Outcome	94
2.7.2.	Evaluation	96
2.8.	The Prospects of Deliberation	99
2.9.	Conclusion: A Venue for Deliberation in a Consociational Setting	101
 Chapter 3. Variation of Judicial Behaviour within the Institutional Boundaries		103
3.1.	Introduction	103
3.2.	Modelling Judicial Behaviour	104
3.2.1.	The Legal, Attitudinal and Strategic Models	104
3.2.2.	Why the Strategic Model Fits the Belgian Case	108
3.2.3.	Case Salience as a Trigger of Strategic Behaviour	113
3.2.3.1.	Case Salience Measures	115
3.2.3.2.	Strategic Actions in Salient Cases	117
3.3.	Preliminary Conclusion: Launching the Case Law Analysis	122
 PART III. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF THE BELGIAN CONSTITUTIONAL COURT'S CASE LAW		
 Chapter 4. Translating Case Salience into Measurable Explanatory Variables		127
4.1.	Introduction	127
4.2.	Participation	128

4.2.1.	Measuring Participation in Constitutional Review Procedures.....	129
4.2.2.	Data Analysis	132
4.2.2.1.	Annulment Procedures (n=1027).....	132
	(1) Private Actors.....	132
	(2) Institutional Actors.....	134
4.2.2.2.	Preliminary Procedures (n=2118)	135
	(1) Private Actors.....	135
	(2) Institutional Actors.....	137
4.2.3.	Conclusion: Two Explanatory Variables Related to Litigant Salience	137
4.2.3.1.	Size of the Group of Individuals	137
4.2.3.2.	Participation Diversity	138
4.3.	Media Attention.....	141
4.3.1.	Measuring Media Attention for Constitutional Review Procedures.....	141
4.3.2.	Data Analysis	143
4.3.3.	Conclusion: An Explanatory Variable Related to Political Salience	149
4.4.	Panel Size	150
4.4.1.	Measuring Panel Size.....	150
4.4.2.	Data Analysis	152
4.4.3.	Conclusion: An Explanatory Variable Related to Political and Legal Salience	156
4.5.	Highly Salient Cases (n=57).....	156
Chapter 5. Case Outcomes.....		167
5.1.	Introduction	167
5.2.	A Deliberative Perspective: Engaging in Dialogue through Constructive Case Outcomes.....	169
5.3.	A Variety of Sanctioning Possibilities: Coding Case Outcomes	174
5.4.	Choosing a Case Dictum: Descriptive Trends and Evolution in Time	180
5.4.1.	Introductory Overview	180
5.4.2.	Annulment Procedures.....	184
5.4.3.	Preliminary Procedures.....	192
5.4.4.	Media Attention on the Court's Rulings, Depending on the Outcome	196
5.5.	Strategic Considerations Underlying the Case Dictum	201
5.5.1.	Introduction	201
5.5.2.	Hypotheses	202
5.5.2.1.	Finding a Violation (n=3145)	202

5.5.2.2.	Invalidation or Modulation: How to Interpret Judicial Preferences on Case Outcomes?	205
5.5.3.	Operationalisation	208
5.5.4.	Results	210
5.5.4.1.	Hypotheses 1a–c with Regard to the Finding of a Violation (n=3145)	210
5.5.4.2.	Hypotheses 2a–b with Regard to Modulated Outcomes (n=1243)	215
5.6.	Postscript and Preview of the Following Chapters	226
Chapter 6. Citation Practices		229
6.1.	Introduction	229
6.2.	A Deliberative Perspective: The Reason-Giving Requirement	231
6.3.	Citing Authorities: Coding the Court's Case Law	237
6.4.	Citing Authorities: Descriptive Trends and Evolution in Time	240
6.4.1.	Evolution in Citation Density	240
6.4.2.	Precedents	242
6.4.3.	Case Law of (Inter)national Courts	244
6.4.4.	Legislative History Documents	250
6.4.5.	Secondary Authorities	255
6.5.	Strategic Considerations Underlying Citation Practices	260
6.5.1.	Introduction: Citations of External Authorities as a Legitimation Strategy	260
6.5.2.	Hypotheses	262
6.5.3.	Operationalisation	265
6.5.4.	Results	266
6.5.4.1.	Case Salience	268
6.5.4.2.	Case Outcome	276
6.6.	Conclusion	279
Chapter 7. Proportionality Analysis		283
7.1.	Introduction	283
7.2.	A Deliberative Perspective: A Structured Approach to the Proportionality Analysis	285
7.3.	Establishing a Violation on the Basis of the Proportionality Analysis: Coding the Court's Case Law	289
7.4.	The Court's Application of the Step-by-Step Framework: Descriptive and Qualitative Analysis	292
7.4.1.	The Objectivity of the Criterion for Differentiation (n=4)	292
7.4.2.	Illegitimate Policy Objective (n=0)	293
7.4.3.	Suitability (n=117)	296

7.4.4.	Necessity (n=23).....	298
7.4.5.	Proportionality <i>Sensu Stricto</i> (n=233).....	303
7.4.6.	The Court Concludes that the Measure is ‘Not (Reasonably) Justified’ (n=285)	305
7.4.7.	The Court States that the ‘Legislation is Discriminatory/ Unconstitutional’ (n=48).....	306
7.5.	Strategic Considerations Underlying the Application of the Proportionality Analysis.....	307
7.5.1.	Introduction: Opinion Clarity as a Double-Edged Sword	307
7.5.2.	Hypotheses	309
7.5.3.	Operationalisation	313
7.5.4.	Results	315
7.6.	Conclusion	325
	Conclusion	327
	<i>Codebook</i>	339
	<i>Bibliography</i>	345
	<i>Index</i>	361