

# CONTENTS

|                         |         |
|-------------------------|---------|
| <i>Table of Cases</i>   | page xi |
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> | xix     |

|          |                                                                      |           |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                                  | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1      | Vigilance and Restraint: the Variation of the Depth of Scrutiny      | 1         |
| 1.2      | Doctrinal Manifestation: Organisational Schemata and Trends          | 5         |
| 1.3      | Conceptual Underpinnings: Constitutional Foundations and Methodology | 20        |
| 1.4      | Normative Assessment: Principles of Efficacy and Virtue              | 23        |
| 1.5      | Summary                                                              | 32        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Scope of Review</b>                                               | <b>33</b> |
| 2.1      | Introduction                                                         | 33        |
| 2.2      | Doctrinal Manifestation                                              | 33        |
| 2.2.1    | De Smith Derivation                                                  | 34        |
| 2.2.2    | Australia: Abstract Formalism                                        | 37        |
| 2.2.3    | England: (Historic) Classic Model                                    | 47        |
| 2.2.4    | Conclusion                                                           | 56        |
| 2.3      | Conceptual Foundations                                               | 57        |
| 2.3.1    | Christopher Forsyth: Passionate Formalism and Ultra Vires            | 57        |
| 2.3.2    | Mark Aronson: (Reluctant) Bottom-up Formalism                        | 60        |
| 2.3.3    | Conclusion                                                           | 62        |
| 2.4      | Normative Assessment                                                 | 63        |
| 2.5      | Conclusion                                                           | 73        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Grounds of Review</b>                                             | <b>75</b> |
| 3.1      | Introduction                                                         | 75        |
| 3.2      | Doctrinal Manifestation                                              | 76        |
| 3.2.1    | De Smith Derivation                                                  | 76        |
| 3.2.2    | England: Lord Diplock's CCSU grounds                                 | 79        |
| 3.2.3    | New Zealand: Lord Cooke's Simple Trio of Grounds                     | 102       |
| 3.2.4    | Australia: Multifarious and Formalistic Grounds Only                 | 111       |

|          |                                                                                    |            |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 3.2.5    | Canada: Partial and Overshadowed Grounds of Review for Abuse of Discretion         | 113        |
| 3.2.6    | Conclusion                                                                         | 115        |
| 3.3      | Conceptual Underpinnings                                                           | 115        |
| 3.3.1    | Paul Craig: Generalised but Conceptually Precise Categorical Grounds               | 117        |
| 3.3.2    | Michael Taggart: (Grudgingly Bifurcated) Suite of Common Law Grounds               | 122        |
| 3.3.3    | Tom Hickman (I): Evolving but Discrete Standards of Legality                       | 125        |
| 3.3.4    | Conclusion                                                                         | 129        |
| 3.4      | Normative Assessment                                                               | 129        |
| 3.5      | Conclusion                                                                         | 145        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Intensity of Review</b>                                                         | <b>147</b> |
| 4.1      | Introduction                                                                       | 147        |
| 4.2      | Doctrinal Manifestation                                                            | 148        |
| 4.2.1    | De Smith Derivation                                                                | 148        |
| 4.2.2    | Canada: Standards of Review                                                        | 154        |
| 4.2.3    | England: Heightened Scrutiny, Light-touch Unreasonableness and Doctrinal Deference | 158        |
| 4.2.4    | New Zealand: Variegated Unreasonableness                                           | 165        |
| 4.2.5    | Conclusion                                                                         | 166        |
| 4.3      | Conceptual Underpinnings                                                           | 166        |
| 4.3.1    | Paul Daly: Tripartite Standards Informed by Legislative Intent                     | 167        |
| 4.3.2    | Mark Elliott: Calibration of Intrinsic and Adjudicative Deference                  | 171        |
| 4.3.3    | Murray Hunt: Due Deference Elaborated Across-the-Board                             | 176        |
| 4.3.4    | David Mullan: Effective and Practical Deference                                    | 179        |
| 4.3.5    | Conclusion                                                                         | 182        |
| 4.4      | Normative Assessment                                                               | 183        |
| 4.5      | Conclusion                                                                         | 198        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Contextual Review</b>                                                           | <b>199</b> |
| 5.1      | Introduction                                                                       | 199        |
| 5.2      | Doctrinal Manifestation                                                            | 200        |
| 5.2.1    | De Smith Derivation                                                                | 200        |
| 5.2.2    | New Zealand: Cookeian Simplicity and Instinctive Review                            | 201        |
| 5.2.3    | Canada: Broad Church Unreasonableness                                              | 204        |
| 5.2.4    | England: Review in the Round and Non-doctrinal Deference                           | 207        |
| 5.2.5    | Conclusion                                                                         | 209        |

|       |                                                                        |     |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.3   | Conceptual Underpinnings                                               | 209 |
| 5.3.1 | Trevor Allan: Unstructured and Normative Contextualism                 | 210 |
| 5.3.2 | Philip Joseph: Common Law-Inspired Instinctive Judgement               | 218 |
| 5.3.3 | Tom Hickman (II): Non-doctrinal Deference in Human Rights Adjudication | 221 |
| 5.3.4 | David Dyzenhaus: Respectful, Deferential and Non-doctrinal Appraisal   | 224 |
| 5.3.5 | Conclusion                                                             | 229 |
| 5.4   | Normative Assessment                                                   | 230 |
| 5.5   | Conclusion                                                             | 242 |
| 6     | Conclusion                                                             | 243 |
| 6.1   | Introduction                                                           | 243 |
| 6.2   | Doctrinal Manifestation                                                | 244 |
| 6.3   | Conceptual Underpinnings                                               | 247 |
| 6.4   | Normative Assessment                                                   | 249 |
| 6.5   | Conclusion                                                             | 257 |
|       | <i>Bibliography</i>                                                    | 259 |
|       | <i>Index</i>                                                           | 277 |