# European Competition Law Review

2019 Volume 40 Issue 9 ISSN: 0144-3054

### **Table of Contents**

#### **Editorial**

ALEXANDER M. WAKSMAN

Editorial: Issue 9 399

#### **Articles**

WOLF SAUTER

## EU competition law and industrial policy: reset, upgrade or new operating system required? 401

Joint French and German criticism of the Commission blocking a recent merger in the railway industry is accompanied by their proposals to trump competition law by industrial policy. This article traces the relationship between the two policies back in time and concludes that while the logic of giving priority to competition law has not changed, the political climate has. However, it is too early to predict if fundamental change will occur, because Germany is still sorting out changes to its domestic competition rules and will be proposing a shift an EU level, especially with regard to problems of online dominance.

### MARK LEDDY AND ATHINA VAN MELKEBEKE

### Parental liability in EU competition law 407

This article is a critical assessment of the purported rationale of the doctrine of parental liability in EU competition law. The second section describes the development, expansion, and implications of the current doctrine. The third section analyses the issue of the more straightforward parental liability doctrine under US antitrust law. The fourth section contends that the EU doctrine of parental liability may be incompatible with fundamental rights, may undermine rather than promote deterrence, and should be reconsidered, perhaps especially in light of the Private Damages Directive. The final section concludes

#### YICHEN YANG

## Is deterrence from pecuniary sanction sufficient? A study on the active pharmaceutical ingredient sector in China 417

This study examines the enforcement of the Anti-Monopoly Law in China in the Active Pharmaceutical Ingredient (API) sector. It argues that imposing remedies is a necessary measure, since depending solely on the deterrent effect from pecuniary sanction may be insufficient to deal with the competition concerns in the API sector.

### THOMAS REYNTJENS AND AYSE GIZEM YASAR

### Not all cartel facilitators are the same: Legal implications and policy considerations 423

Cartelists sometimes call upon third parties to help form and maintain a cartel. Occasionally third parties even bring about a cartel. This article provides an overview of cases dealing with these scenarios, distinguishes between simple facilitators and instigators, and discusses the legal and policy implications of this distinction, especially in relation to the presumption of innocence, fining and leniency.

#### DR GORDON BLANKE

## The European Commission as Amicus Curiae in EU competition arbitration: Towards a structured approach 438

This article provides some insight into the European Commission's role as amicus curiae in EU competition arbitration. In doing so, it explores the nature of the Commission's interventions and reveals the sensitivities of the Commission's role as amicus. The article is both descriptive and normative in scope and seeks to propose a test that is aimed at facilitating a structured approach to the Commission's role as friend of the tribunal in EU competition arbitration.

#### Comment

ADRIAN MAJUMDAR, PAUL HUTCHINSON, & PAULO ABECASIS

### A question of balance: Comments on a proposed new test for UK merger control 445

A notable concern in digital markets is that competition authorities have insufficient scope to block acquisitions of potential entrants that may, absent the merger, have led to greater competition and innovation. We comment on whether a proposal to address this fear by replacing the "balance of probabilities" test in UK merger control with a "balance of harms" test makes economic sense in theory and in practice.