

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	page xiii
I A cartesian introduction	I
1 Proofs, applications, and other mathematical activities	I
2 On jargon	2
3 Descartes	3
A Application	4
4 Arithmetic applied to geometry	4
5 Descartes' <i>Geometry</i>	5
6 An astonishing identity	6
7 Unreasonable effectiveness	6
8 The application of geometry to arithmetic	8
9 The application of mathematics to mathematics	9
10 The same stuff?	11
11 Over-determined?	12
12 Unity behind diversity	13
13 On mentioning honours – the Fields Medals	15
14 Analogy – and André Weil 1940	16
15 The Langlands programme	18
16 Application, analogy, correspondence	20
B Proof	21
17 Two visions of proof	21
18 A convention	21
19 Eternal truths	22
20 Mere eternity as against necessity	23
21 Leibnizian proof	23
22 Voevodsky's extreme	25
23 Cartesian proof	26
24 Descartes and Wittgenstein on proof	26
25 The experience of cartesian proof: <i>caveat emptor</i>	28

26	Grothendieck's cartesian vision: making it all obvious	29
27	Proofs <i>and</i> refutations	30
28	On squaring squares and not cubing cubes	32
29	From dissecting squares to electrical networks	34
30	Intuition	35
31	Descartes <i>against</i> foundations?	37
32	The two ideals of proof	38
33	Computer programmes: who checks whom?	40
2	What makes mathematics mathematics?	41
1	We take it for granted	41
2	Arsenic	42
3	Some dictionaries	43
4	What the dictionaries suggest	45
5	A Japanese conversation	47
6	A sullen anti-mathematical protest	48
7	A miscellany	48
8	An institutional answer	51
9	A neuro-historical answer	52
10	The Peirces, father and son	53
11	A programmatic answer: logicism	54
12	A second programmatic answer: Bourbaki	55
13	Only Wittgenstein seems to have been troubled	57
14	Aside on method – on using Wittgenstein	59
15	A semantic answer	60
16	More miscellany	61
17	Proof	62
18	Experimental mathematics	63
19	Thurston's answer to the question 'what makes?'	66
20	On advance	67
21	Hilbert and the Millennium	68
22	Symmetry	71
23	The Butterfly Model	72
24	Could 'mathematics' be a 'fluke of history'?	73
25	The Latin Model	74
26	Inevitable or contingent?	75
27	Play	76
28	Mathematical games, ludic proof	77
3	Why <i>is</i> there philosophy of mathematics?	79
1	A perennial topic	79
2	What is the philosophy of mathematics anyway?	80

3	Kant: in or out?	81
4	Ancient and Enlightenment	83
A	An answer from the ancients: proof and exploration	83
5	The perennial philosophical obsession . . .	83
6	The perennial philosophical obsession . . . is totally anomalous	85
7	Food for thought (<i>Matière à penser</i>)	86
8	The Monster	87
9	Exhaustive classification	88
10	Moonshine	89
11	The longest proof by hand	89
12	The experience of out-thereness	90
13	Parables	91
14	Glitter	91
15	The neurobiological retort	92
16	My own attitude	93
17	Naturalism	94
18	Plato!	96
B	An answer from the Enlightenment: application	97
19	Kant shouts	97
20	The jargon	98
21	Necessity	99
22	Russell trashes necessity	100
23	Necessity no longer in the portfolio	102
24	Aside on Wittgenstein	103
25	Kant's question	104
26	Russell's version	105
27	Russell dissolves the mystery	106
28	Frege: number a second-order concept	107
29	Kant's conundrum becomes a twentieth-century dilemma: (a) Vienna	108
30	Kant's conundrum becomes a twentieth-century dilemma: (b) Quine	109
31	Ayer, Quine, and Kant	110
32	Logicizing philosophy of mathematics	111
33	A nifty one-sentence summary (Putnam redux)	112
34	John Stuart Mill on the need for a sound philosophy of mathematics	113
4	Proofs	115
1	The contingency of the philosophy of mathematics	115
A	Little contingencies	116
2	On inevitability and 'success'	116
3	Latin Model: infinity	117
4	Butterfly Model: complex numbers	119
5	Changing the setting	121

B	Proof	122
6	The discovery of proof	122
7	Kant's tale	123
8	The other legend: Pythagoras	126
9	Unlocking the secrets of the universe	127
10	Plato, theoretical physicist	129
11	Harmonics works	130
12	Why there was uptake of demonstrative proof	131
13	Plato, kidnapper	132
14	Another suspect? Eleatic philosophy	133
15	Logic (and rhetoric)	135
16	Geometry and logic: esoteric and exoteric	136
17	Civilization without proof	137
18	Class bias	138
19	Did the ideal of proof impede the growth of knowledge?	139
20	What gold standard?	140
21	Proof demoted	141
22	A style of scientific reasoning	142
5	Applications	144
1	Past and present	144
A	The emergence of a distinction	144
2	Plato on the difference between philosophical and practical mathematics	144
3	Pure and mixed	146
4	Newton	148
5	Probability – swinging from branch to branch	149
6	<i>Rein</i> and <i>angewandt</i>	150
7	Pure Kant	151
8	Pure Gauss	152
9	The German nineteenth century, told in aphorisms	153
10	Applied <i>polytechniciens</i>	153
11	Military history	156
12	William Rowan Hamilton	158
13	Cambridge pure mathematics	160
14	Hardy, Russell, and Whitehead	161
15	Wittgenstein and von Mises	162
16	SIAM	163
B	A very wobbly distinction	164
17	Kinds of application	164
18	Robust but not sharp	168

19	Philosophy and the <i>Apps</i>	169
20	Symmetry	171
21	The representational–deductive picture	172
22	Articulation	174
23	Moving from domain to domain	174
24	Rigidity	176
25	Maxwell and Buckminster Fuller	176
26	The maths of rigidity	179
27	Aerodynamics	181
28	Rivalry	182
29	The British institutional setting	184
30	The German institutional setting	186
31	Mechanics	187
32	Geometry, ‘pure’ and ‘applied’	188
33	A general moral	188
34	Another style of scientific reasoning	189
6	In Plato’s name	191
1	Hauntology	191
2	Platonism	191
3	<i>Webster’s</i>	193
4	Born that way	193
5	Sources	194
6	Semantic ascent	195
7	Organization	196
A	Alain Connes, Platonist	197
8	Off-duty and off-the-cuff	197
9	Connes’ archaic mathematical reality	198
10	Aside on incompleteness and platonism	201
11	Two <i>attitudes</i> , structuralist and Platonist	202
12	What numbers could not be	203
13	Pythagorean Connes	205
B	Timothy Gowers, anti-Platonist	206
14	A very public mathematician	206
15	Does mathematics need a philosophy? No	207
16	On becoming an anti-Platonist	208
17	Does mathematics need a philosophy? Yes	209
18	Ontological commitment	211
19	Truth	212
20	Observable and abstract numbers	213
21	Gowers versus Connes	215

22	The 'standard' semantical account	216
23	The famous maxim	218
24	Chomsky's doubts	220
25	On referring	221
7	Counter-platonisms	223
1	Two more platonisms – and their opponents	223
A	Totalizing platonism as opposed to intuitionism	224
2	Paul Bernays (1888–1977)	224
3	The setting	225
4	Totalities	227
5	Other totalities	228
6	Arithmetical and geometrical totalities	230
7	Then and now: different philosophical concerns	231
8	Two more mathematicians, Kronecker and Dedekind	232
9	Some things Dedekind said	233
10	What was Kronecker protesting?	235
11	The structuralisms of mathematicians and philosophers distinguished	236
B	Today's platonism/nominalism	238
12	Disclaimer	238
13	A brief history of nominalism now	238
14	The nominalist programme	239
15	Why deny?	241
16	Russellian roots	242
17	Ontological commitment	244
18	Commitment	245
19	The indispensability argument	246
20	Presupposition	248
21	Contemporary platonism in mathematics	250
22	Intuition	252
23	What's the point of platonism?	253
24	Peirce: The only kind of thinking that has ever advanced human culture	254
25	Where do I stand on today's platonism/nominalism?	256
26	The last word	256
	<i>Disclosures</i>	258
	<i>References</i>	262
	<i>Index</i>	281