

Table of Contents

Articles

JENNIFER AGATE AND LISA KELLY

A Petition for Privacy—Sir Cliff Richard’s Campaign to Prevent the Press Damaging Suspects’ Reputations 239

This article discusses the anonymity of suspects prior to charge, in the light of Sir Cliff Richard’s case against the BBC and subsequent campaign.

CAROLINE HERBERT

The Vexed Issue of Streaming, Sports and Set-Top Boxes in New Zealand 242

This article considers recent creative developments in the fight against pirate streaming in New Zealand and the opportunity for progress during the Rugby World Cup and the current review of copyright legislation.

EMMA BOND AND ANDY PHIPPEN

Teaching Online Safety in School Guidance—New Non-Statutory Guidance from the Department for Education Leaves Much to be Desired 244

While statutory guidance for schools on facing up to their online safeguarding responsibilities is widespread, advice to them on how they might tackle this has only just been published by the Department for Education (in a non-statutory form). While the guidance is much needed, what has been published raises concerns for how schools might actually tackle this.

SARAH O'BRIEN

Cookies, PECR and the GDPR—UK Regulator Updates its Guidance and Busts some Myths 247

This article reviews the Information Commissioner’s Office’s updated guidance on the use of cookies, in particular the effectiveness or otherwise of various methods of obtaining valid consent to set cookies and the use of cookie walls.

ANTHONY MARTINO

Now, That’s What I Call Offensive! 249

The allegedly censorious attitudes of some millennials have caused consternation among both right-wing commentators and those who ought to know better. What we are witnessing is merely a resistance to cultural change, whereby one side struggles against the other in order to adjust to it. Previously marginalised voices that challenge hegemonic structures are now making themselves heard. One of those challenges is to re-cast speech as but one value among many and thus to be weighed against conflicting values. This is more than Enlightenment liberal free speech advocates can withstand—particularly when it comes to our universities; if speech is not free here, then where?

GREG MASON

The revised Audiovisual Media Services Directive 2018: To implement or not to implement? 254

This article considers the UK’s approach to implementation of the revised Audiovisual Media Services Directive 2018, including how such implementation is impacted by Brexit and the UK’s existing proposals set out in the Online Harms White Paper.

Comments

AMIRA SAIED

Simantob v Shavleyan—promise not to raise a defence which is later found to have no merit can nevertheless constitute consideration 262

This article reviews the judgment of the Court of Appeal in *Simantob v Shavleyan* upholding a High Court ruling that forbearance to run a defence which was ultimately unsuccessful, represented valid compromise involving consideration on both sides.

PETER HOPTON

Bull v Desporte—no public interest in a “kiss and tell” 265

Donna Desporte wrote a “kiss and tell” book about her relationship with a lottery winner, Gareth Bull, and the High Court found that further publication would be a misuse of private information, contravening Mr Bull’s privacy rights. In the circumstances, the judge granted a permanent injunction. Since Ms Desporte had knowingly breached a prior interim non-disclosure order, the judge also awarded aggravated damages.

DR JANET STRATH AND KATIE
CAMERON

Haas Formula 1 car's stag's head logo bucked by IPEC 267

In *ATB Sales v Rich Energy*, a stag's head logo used on the race car and website of the Rich Energy Haas Formula 1 motor racing team was found to be an infringing copy, and a UK trade mark for the infringing logo was declared invalid under copyright law. Aside from the practice point that trainee solicitors should avoid firing off unnecessarily rude responses, this decision confirms that the court can find that a defendant had acted in a dishonest manner, even in the absence of pleaded allegations.

LUXMI RAJANAYAGAM

The X Factor chimes in on THE PETS FACTOR registration 270

This article reviews the recent decision of the UK Intellectual Property Office partially upholding Simco and Fremantle's opposition to the registration of THE PETS FACTOR as a UK trade mark for a broad range of services.

CHRISTOPHER BÜLLER AND KAJSA
NILSSON

Warehousing of counterfeit goods falls within the copyright holder's exclusive distribution rights 272

This article reviews the decision of the Swedish Supreme Court in case B-5089-16 finding that the storage of counterfeit goods may fall within the copyright holder's exclusive distribution rights.

EMMA FLETT AND JOHN PATTEN

Constantin Film Produktion GmbH v EUIPO—AG Bobek rolls out the red carpet for a new EUIPO test on morality 273

This article reviews the opinion of Advocate General Bobek in *Constantin Film Produktion GmbH v EUIPO*, on the correct legal test to adopt when assessing whether a trade mark is contrary to public policy or morality. In recommending that the ECJ overturn the EUIPO and General Court decisions, the AG provides comprehensive analysis on the relevance of EU fundamental rights in trade mark law, the separate legal analyses to be adopted in assessment of public policy and morality, and the requirement for EUIPO and the EU courts to adopt a consistent analytical approach.

GABRIELE ENGELS

German Supreme Court's ruling in Cordoba II—copyright infringement by separate posting of piece of work 276

This article reviews the judgment of the German Supreme Court in *Cordoba II* following its reference to Court of Justice of the European Union which ruled that the re-uploading of a photograph posted and freely available on another website constitutes a "communication to the public".

EILEEN WEINERT

Garamukanwa v UK—when a work fling turns sour: messages private? 278

This article reviews *Garamukanwa v UK* in which the European Court of Human Rights declared inadmissible an application that the use by a NHS trust of photographs and messages retrieved from the applicant's iPhone in support of its decision to dismiss him, amounted to an unlawful interference with his right to a private life under art.8 of the European Convention on Human Rights.

PETER HOPTON

Advantage Kraftwerk in long running copyright dispute: Pelham (C-476/17) (also known as the Metall auf Metall case) 279

This article reviews *Pelham GmbH v Ralf Hütter (C-476/17)* in which the Court of Justice of the European Union considered a number of questions referred by the German Federal Court of Justice in relation to unauthorised sampling. In its judgment, the CJEU agreed with the December 2018 Opinion of Advocate General Szpunar that sampling without permission can infringe the exclusive right of a phonogram producer to authorise or prohibit the reproduction of their phonogram, even if the sample is as short as two seconds. However, it also held that if the sample included in the new phonogram is in a modified form unrecognisable to the ear there has been no reproduction and therefore no infringement.

ANITA BAPAT AND ANEKA CHAPANERI

Privacy in adtech—a warning and wake-up call from the ICO 281

This comment explores the concerns raised by the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) in its critical report on real-time bidding (RTB) and the adtech sector more generally which has recently been in the spotlight of the media and European data regulators. It outlines the RTB process, the ICO's key concerns and the adtech sector's possible solution.

Book Review

PETER COE

New Media and Freedom of Expression by András Koltay 284