

Contents

1	Prolegomenon	1
1.1	Balancing the Interest of Enacting the Tort Liability Law	1
1.1.1	Introduction	1
1.1.2	Interest Balancing and Interest Balancing in Legislation	2
1.1.3	Balancing General Interests in the Legislation of Tort Liability Law	8
1.1.4	Balancing Particular Interests in the Legislation of Tort Liability Law	20
1.1.5	Conclusion	25
	References	26

Part I Suggestions on Amending the Draft of Tort Liability Law

2	The Suggestions on Amending the Second Draft of Tort Liability Law for Examination and Approval	31
2.1	A Summary of Suggestions on Amending the Second Draft of the Tort Liability Law for Examination and Approval	31
2.1.1	Introduction	31
2.1.2	The First Part: Chapter One of the Tort Liability Law (from Article 1 to Article 16)	33
2.1.3	The Second Part: Chapter Two of the Tort Liability Law (From Article 17 to Article 25)	36
2.1.4	The Third Part: Chapter Three of the Tort Liability Law (From Article 26 to Article 30)	38
2.1.5	The Fourth Part: Chapter Four of the Tort Liability Law (From Article 31 to Article 38)	39
2.1.6	The Fifth Part: Chapter Five of the Tort Liability Law (from Article 39 to Article 45)	40
2.1.7	The Sixth Part: Chapter Six of the Tort Liability Law (From Article 46 to Article 52)	41

2.1.8	The Seventh Part: Chapter Seven of the Tort Liability Law (From Article 52 to Article 66)	42
2.1.9	The Eighth Part: Chapter Eight of the Tort Liability Law (from Article 67 to Article 71)	44
2.1.10	The Ninth Part: Chapter Nine of the Tort Liability Law (From Article 72 to Article 77)	44
2.1.11	The Tenth Part: Chapter Ten of the Tort Liability Law (From Article 78 to Article 81)	46
2.1.12	The Eleventh Part: Chapter Eleven of the Tort Liability Law (From Article 82 to Article 87)	47
2.1.13	The Twelfth Part: Chapter Twelve of the Tort Liability Law (Article 88)	48
2.2	A Check List Between Articles of the Second Draft of Tort Liability Law for Examination and Approval and Articles of Amending Suggestion	49
2.3	Suggested Articles for the Second Draft of the Tort Liability Law for Examination and Approval	91
3	The Several Suggestions on Enacting the Tort Liability Law	109
3.1	Introduction	109
3.2	General Provisions of the Tort Liability Law	110
3.2.1	Legal Name: The Tort Liability Law	110
3.2.2	Legal Function: Compensating Damages Is the Major Function of the Tort Liability Law	111
3.2.3	Legal Experiences of Foreign Jurisdictions	112
3.2.4	Legal Continuity: The Legislations After the General Principles of Civil Law	113
3.2.5	Legal Experiences: The Significance and Limitations of Judicial Interpretations and Cases	114
3.2.6	Legal Mode: The Mode of General Provisions	115
3.2.7	Legal Mode: The Exclusion of the Mode of Progressive Enumeration	115
3.2.8	General Provisions: General Provisions Should not Enumerate Rights and Interests Damaged by Infringement	116
3.2.9	Damage: The Core Status in the Tort Liability Law	117
3.2.10	Doctrine of Liability Fixation: A Dualistic Liability System of Fault Liability and No-Fault Liability	117
3.2.11	Doctrine of Three Elements and Doctrine of Four Elements: A Theoretical Issue or Legislative Issue	119
3.2.12	Constitutive Elements: The Constitutive Elements of Damages or the Constitutive Elements of All Methods of Assuming Liability	119

3.2.13	Causation: The Status and Definition in the Tort Liability Law	120
3.2.14	Legal Structure: Two Parts or Three Parts	121
3.2.15	Legal Periphery: The Relation Between Tort Liability and Other Legal Liabilities	122
3.2.16	Legal Periphery: The Relation with Contract Law . . .	123
3.2.17	Legal Codification: The Relation Between a General Tort Liability Law and the Provisions of Special Tort Liability Law	124
3.3	Provisions of Fault Liability	125
3.3.1	Fault Liability: Enumeration or Non-enumeration . . .	125
3.3.2	Criterion For Negligence	125
3.3.3	Professional Liability: The Necessity of General Provisions	126
3.3.4	Liability for Damage Caused by Medical Treatment	127
3.3.5	Liability of Violating Duty of Safety Protection	129
3.3.6	Liability of Network Operator	130
3.4	Provisions of Non-fault Liability	131
3.4.1	Road Traffic Accident: An Unsolved Issue	131
3.4.2	Legal Provisions of Liability for Harm Caused by Raising Animals	132
3.4.3	Liability for Environmental Pollution	133
3.4.4	Legal Provisions of Production Liability	134
3.5	The Relation of Special Tort Liability	135
3.5.1	Tort Liability of Guardian	135
3.5.2	Tort Liability of Legal Person and Employer (Vicarious Liability)	136
3.5.3	Joint and Several Liability of Joint Tort	137
3.5.4	Joint and Several Liability and Liability Exemption Condition of Infringers of Joint Danger	138
3.5.5	Tort Liability by Share	138
3.5.6	Supplementary Compensation Liability for Tort	139
3.5.7	Compensation Liability for High-Altitude Falling . . .	140
3.6	Compensation	140
3.6.1	The Relation Between Compensating for Damage and Restoring to Original Condition or State	140
3.6.2	Gains Offset the Losses	141
3.6.3	Contributory Negligence	141
3.6.4	Compensation for Property Damage	142
3.6.5	Compensation for Pure Economic Loss	143
3.6.6	Compensation for Death	143
3.6.7	Mental Compensation	144
3.6.8	Punitive Compensation	144

3.7	Defense and Relevant Issues	145
3.7.1	General Issues of Defense	145
3.7.2	Self-help	145
3.7.3	Accident	146

Part II Research on the Legislative System of the Tort Liability Law

4	On Several Technical Problems Concerning Enactment of Civil Code	149
4.1	Introduction	149
4.2	Titles of Articles	149
4.2.1	Overview	149
4.2.2	Functions of Article Titles	151
4.2.3	Article Titles: Legal Scholars' Attempt to Add Article Titles	152
4.3	Grounds for Legislation and Official Records of Discussions	152
4.3.1	Grounds for Legislation	152
4.3.2	Official Records of Discussions	154
4.4	Language and Writing Style of the Code	154
4.4.1	Three Undesirable Linguistic and Writing Styles	154
4.4.2	Linguistic Law and Social Attributes' Requirements of Legal Language	155
4.4.3	Legal Attributes of Legal Language: Experts' Civil Code or People's Civil Code	156
4.5	Conclusion	157
	References	157
5	The General Provision of the Tort Liability Law	159
5.1	Introduction	159
5.2	Development of General Provisions of Torts Law in Civil Lawmaking in China	160
5.2.1	The Draft Civil Code of Great Qing and the Civil Code of the Republic of China	160
5.2.2	The General Principles of Civil Law	160
5.2.3	Conclusive Opinions	161
5.3	The General Provisions of Tort Liability Law in China: The Draft and Its Instruction	162
5.3.1	The Design of the General Provisions	162
5.3.2	Instructions and Reasons	164
5.3.3	Development of the General Provision	167
5.4	Conclusion	169
	References	170

6	The Legislation Pattern of Tort Law: General Provisions + Comprehensive Enumeration	171
6.1	Introduction	171
6.2	General Provisions Pattern	171
6.3	Enumeration Pattern	172
6.4	Overall General Provisions or Limited General Provisions	173
6.5	The Classification Standard of Enumeration: Go Back to the Roman Law	175
6.6	The Tort Civil Liability Pattern: The Indispensable Part of Tort Law System	177
6.7	Conclusion	177
	References	178
7	On the Legislative System of the Tort Liability Law	179
7.1	Introduction	179
7.2	The Design of Proposed Drafts and the Tort Liability Law System in the Draft of Civil Code	180
7.2.1	Proposal of the Institution of Law of Chinese Academy of Social Science	180
7.2.2	Proposal of the Research Center on Civil and Commercial Law of Renmin University of China	182
7.2.3	The Tort Liability Law Outline of “Green Civil Code” of Prof. Xu Guodong and the Proposal of Tort Liability Law of Mr. Ma Changhua	184
7.2.4	The Volume of Tort Liability Law Contained in the Draft of Civil Law of the People’s Republic of China Issued by the Legislative Affairs Commission of the National People’s Congress	187
7.2.5	Major Issues of System and Structure of the Tort Liability Law in China	189
7.3	Conceiving the Architecture of Tort Liability Law in China: Comprehensive Enumeration Under the General Provisions	190
7.3.1	Establishing a General Provision Includes Constitutive Elements for All Torts	190
7.3.2	Classification of Torts	191
7.3.3	Civil Liability for Torts: Implementing the General Provisions Finally	192
7.3.4	Boundary Between Codification and Non- Codification	192
7.4	Conclusion	194
	References	194

8	On the Degree of Codification of the Tort Liability Law	197
8.1	Introduction	197
8.2	Observations from Other Jurisdictions	198
8.2.1	Torts Law in Common Law System	198
8.2.2	French Law System	199
8.2.3	German Law System	201
8.2.4	Experience of the Dutch Civil Code	203
8.2.5	New Trend of the Draft European United Civil Code	204
8.3	Attitude of Legislation Draft and Proposal of Scholars in China	207
8.3.1	Attitude of the Draft of the Law Committee	207
8.3.2	Attitude of the Proposal of the Research Center of Civil and Commercial Law of Renmin University of China	210
8.3.3	Attitude of the Proposal of the Institute of Law of Chinese Academy of Social Sciences	211
8.4	Survey on the Existing Norms of Tort Liability Law in China	214
8.4.1	The "Common Law" Status of the General Principles of the Civil Law	214
8.4.2	Norms of Tort Law in Other Laws	215
8.4.3	Norms of Tort Law in Administrative Laws, Regulations, and Judicial Interpretations	217
8.5	Several Suggestions to the Degree of Codification of Tort Liability Law in China	219
8.5.1	On the Degree of Codification of Tort Law from the Particularity of Norms of Civil Law and Norms of Tort Law	219
8.5.2	Scope and Types of Enumeration	221
8.5.3	Enumeration Method	227
8.6	Conclusion	228
	References	229

Part III Institutional Construction

9	On the Causative Potency Theory in Tort Law	233
9.1	Introduction	233
9.2	On the Basic Issues of Causative Potency Theory	233
9.2.1	Multiple Causation in Tort Law	233
9.2.2	The Connotation of Causative Potency	236
9.3	Provisions of Causative Potency in Relevant Judicial Interpretations	237
9.3.1	The Definition and Scope of Joint Tort	237
9.3.2	The Application of Causative Potency Theory	238

9.3.3	The Distribution of Liability Among Joint Infringers	239
9.4	Comparative Causative Potency and Comparative Fault	239
9.4.1	Comparative Fault: As a Rule of Liability Distribution	239
9.4.2	The Efficiency and Limitation of Comparative Negligence	241
9.4.3	A Comprehensive Consideration of the Strength of Causative Potency and the Degree of Fault	243
9.5	The Specific Application of Causative Potency Theory in Tort Law of China	245
9.5.1	The Scope of Application of Causative Potency Theory	245
9.5.2	The Case of Same Injury Through Respective Conducts of Multiple Infringers	246
9.5.3	The Case of Contributory Fault of Injured Party	247
9.5.4	The Distribution of Responsibility Among Joint Infringers	249
9.6	Conclusion	252
	References	253
10	Nine Treatises on the Liability for Joint Tort	255
10.1	Introduction	255
10.2	Joint Tort: Rethink on Joint and Several Liability	256
10.2.1	Three Major Theories Concerning Joint Tort	256
10.2.2	Traditional Form of Assuming the Joint Tort Liability: Joint and Several Liability	258
10.2.3	Comments	259
10.3	The Classic Form of Liability for Joint Tort: Joint and Several Liability	260
10.3.1	Attitude of Modern Civil Law Towards Joint and Several Liability	260
10.3.2	Reflecting from the Function of Civil Liability	262
10.3.3	Rationalities of Enlarging Joint and Several Liability	263
10.4	Diversity of Liability for Joint Tort	264
10.4.1	Several Liability	264
10.4.2	Supplementary Liability	264
10.5	Liability for Joint Tort with Intention Liaison	266
10.5.1	Intent and Negligence Within Intention Liaison	266
10.5.2	Legitimacy of Joint and Several Liability	267
10.6	Liability for Joint Tort Without Intention Liaison	268
10.6.1	Theories of Divisible Debt and Indivisible Debt	268
10.6.2	Distinguishing Standard in Judicial Interpretation	268
10.6.3	Author's Suggestions on Distinguishing Standard	269

10.6.4	Indivisible Causative Potency: Joint and Several Liability	269
10.6.5	Divisible Causative Potency: Several Liability	270
10.7	Joint and Several Liability for Joint Danger Doers	270
10.7.1	Liability for Joint Dangerous Acts	270
10.7.2	Application of Joint and Several Liability	271
10.7.3	Distribution of the Burden of Proof for Defense	271
10.7.4	Liability for the Injury Caused by Throwing Things off High Buildings	271
10.8	Statutory Liability for Joint Tort	272
10.8.1	Overview	272
10.8.2	Instigation	272
10.8.3	Assistance	273
10.8.4	Other Statutory Joint and Several Liabilities	274
10.9	Joint and Several Liability of Gang Members	276
10.9.1	Gangs and Gang Acts	276
10.9.2	Legal Basis of Joint and Several Liability of Gangs	277
10.9.3	Legislative Practices and Comments	277
10.10	Internal Relationship Among Multiple Obligor to Compensation of Joint Tort Liability	278
10.10.1	Internal Relationship of Joint and Several Liability	278
10.10.2	Internal Relationship of Several Liability	280
10.10.3	Indemnity Problems of Supplementary Liability	280
10.11	Conclusion	280
	References	281
11	Operators' Duty of Safety Protection on Business Premises	283
11.1	Introduction	283
11.2	Conception and Legal Basis of Operators' Duty of Safety Protection on Business Premises	284
11.2.1	Conceptions of Operators' Duty of Safety Protection on Business Premises	284
11.2.2	Legal Basis of Operators' Duty of Safety Protection on Business Premises	284
11.3	Legal Nature of Operators' Safety Protection Duty on Business Premises	287
11.3.1	Provisions Concerning Operators' Duty of Safety Protection on Business Premises	287
11.3.2	Further Discussion on Operators' Duty of Safety Protection on Business Premises	288
11.4	On Major Details of Duty of Safety Protection	290
11.4.1	Duty of Safety Protection in Terms of Tangible Elements	290
11.4.2	Duty of Safety Protection in Terms of Intangible Elements	291

11.5	Types of Operator Liabilities in Case of Violation Against Duties of Safety Protection	293
11.5.1	Tort Liability	293
11.5.2	Liability for Omission and Breach of Contract	294
11.6	Tort Liability in Case of Violation Against Duty of Safety Protection: Fault Liability and Causation	295
11.6.1	Fault Liability and Criterion for Fault	295
11.6.2	Causation in Case of Omission	296
11.7	The Third Party's Intervention and Operators' Liability	299
11.7.1	Non-Application of Joint Tort or the Theory of Causative Potency	299
11.7.2	Conceptions of Complementary Liability	300
11.8	Conclusion	302
	References	302
12	On Burden of Proof in Medical Negligence	303
12.1	Introduction	303
12.2	General Theories of Medical Negligence and the Burden of Proof	304
12.2.1	Connotations and Characteristics of Medical Negligence	304
12.2.2	General Theories of Burden of Proof	306
12.3	Experience of Other Jurisdictions	310
12.3.1	American Law	310
12.3.2	German Law	312
12.3.3	French Law	316
12.3.4	Japanese Law	317
12.4	The Legislative Prospects on the Burden of Proof of Medical Negligence	318
12.4.1	Practices in China	318
12.4.2	Relevant Provisions of the Civil Law Draft and Experts Proposal Drafts	322
12.5	Conclusion	323
	References	324
13	The Relation Between the Right to Claim for Compensation from Work-Related Injury Insurance and the Right to Claim Compensation for Personal Injury	325
13.1	Introduction	325
13.2	Observation on Tort Law in the Developmental Progress of Law and the Substitutional Function of Work-Related Injury Insurance	326
13.2.1	The Process of the Separation of Accidents Liability from Tort Liability and Its Significance	326
13.2.2	The Supplement Function of Tort Liability and the Exemption and Subsidy Function in the Work-Related Injury Insurance Institution	329

13.3	Review on Several Legislation Modes and Legislative Suggestion	330
13.3.1	Four Modes in Foreign Law and Relevant Evaluation	330
13.3.2	The Perspectives in the Proposed Manuscript for Legislation from Scholars	335
13.4	An Empirical Analysis on the Current Provisions in China	336
13.4.1	The Substantial Differences in the Scope of Compensation and in the Standard for Compensation	336
13.4.2	The Choice of Mode: The Interpretation of Relevant Stipulations in China	343
13.5	The Principles of Legal Remedies and the Conception of Institution Construction	347
13.5.1	The Principles of Legal Remedies	347
13.5.2	The Conception of Institution Construction	349
13.6	Conclusion	352
	References	354
14	The Relation Between Right to Request Tort Liability and Right to Request Property Rights	355
14.1	Introduction	355
14.2	The Nature of Right to Request Property Rights: A Preventive Liability	356
14.2.1	Civil Liability: Whether It Is Limited to Property Liability Only	356
14.2.2	Right to Request Property Rights and Preventive Liability	359
14.3	The Relation Between Right to Request Tort Liability and Right to Request Property Rights: Comparison Between Three Trains of Thought	360
14.3.1	The Coexisting Mode of Rights to Request Tort Compensation and Property Rights: Rationality and Limitations	361
14.3.2	“Unified Remedy Mode for Torts”: Current Legislation, Issues and Outlet	369
14.3.3	The Concurrent Mode Between Rights to Request Tort Compensation and Property Rights: Introspection and Abandonment	371
14.4	Demonstration Expansion: Justification on Unified Remedy Mode for Torts	373
14.4.1	Advantages of “Unified Remedy Mode for Torts” in the Aspect of Civil Law System	373
14.4.2	Advantages of “Unified Remedy Mode for Torts” in the Aspect of Systematic Practicability	375
14.5	Conclusion	376
	References	377

15	The Relation Between Right to Claim for Tort and Right to Claim for Restitution of Unjust Enrichment	379
15.1	Introduction	379
15.2	The Concept of “Obligation”: Logic Start of Research on the Relationship of the Two	380
15.3	Relationship of the Two in Other Jurisdictions	382
15.3.1	France	383
15.3.2	Germany	384
15.3.3	Comments	385
15.4	Theories, Lawmaking and Practices Concerning the Relationship of the Two in China	387
15.4.1	Relationship Between the Two: Theoretical Perspectives	387
15.4.2	Relationship Between the Two: Legislative Regulation and Judiciary Practice	389
15.4.3	Relationship Between the Two: Summary and Concluding Opinions	391
15.5	Constitutive Elements and Situations of the Concurrence of the Two	393
15.5.1	Constitutive Elements of the Concurrence of the Two	394
15.5.2	Situations of the Concurrent of the Two	398
15.6	The Concurrence of the Two and “Border-Crossing of Rights of Claim”	401
15.7	Conclusion	405
	References	406
16	On the Compensation for Death Caused by Torts	407
16.1	Current Provisions and Relevant Comments in China	408
16.1.1	Current Provisions	408
16.1.2	The Developing Track, Stable Trends and Problems of the Current Provisions	408
16.2	On Tort Compensation for Death	421
16.2.1	Evolution and Trend of Compensation System for Death Caused by Tort	421
16.2.2	The Nature of Right to Life and the Limitations of Private Laws on Death Compensation	422
16.2.3	The Subject of Right to Claim Compensation for Death Caused by Tort and Compensation Coverage	423
16.3	Compensation for the Loss of Relevant Property of Victim	425
16.3.1	Concept and Content of the Loss of Relevant Property of Victim	425
16.3.2	The Necessity of Constructing a Statutory Right to Claim Directly	426

16.4	Compensation for the Mental Damage of Deceased's Close Relatives (Mental Damage Solatium)	428
16.4.1	The Foundation for Deceased's Close Relatives to Receive Mental Damage	428
16.4.2	Establishing a Generally Considerable Compensation for the Whole People	430
16.5	The Living Expenses of the Dependants Victim's Dependents and Compensation for Death	431
16.5.1	The Living Expenses of the Victim's Dependents	431
16.5.2	Compensation for Death	431
16.5.3	The Relationship Between the Living Expenses of the Dependent and Death for Compensation	434
16.5.4	The Claiming Right Basis for the Death Compensation of the Close Relatives	435
16.6	Conclusion	435
	References	437
17	On the Compensation for Mental Damage Due to Death by Infringement	439
17.1	Introduction	439
17.2	Review on the Current Provisions	439
17.2.1	From the General Principles of Civil Law to the Judicial Interpretation [2001] No. 7	439
17.2.2	From the Regulation on the Handling of Medical Accidents to the Judicial Interpretation [2003] No. 20	440
17.3	The Victim and Claimant in China's Cases Concerning Death by Infringement	442
17.3.1	Status of the Deceased in the Case of Death by Infringement	442
17.3.2	Close Relatives as Claimants	442
17.3.3	People Beyond Close Relatives	444
17.4	The Application of Mental Damage Compensation in Cases of Death by Infringement	445
17.4.1	The Application of Civil Compensation for Mental Damage in the Cases of Death by Infringement and Special Provisions	445
17.4.2	Cases of Death Caused by Criminal Behavior	446
17.4.3	Cases Concerning General Compensation	446
17.4.4	Cases Applied to the Principle of Non-fault Liability: Positive and Negative Positions on the Compensation for Mental Damage Caused by Death	447

17.5	Mental Damage Suffered by Victims Before Death	449
17.5.1	Conception and Constitutive Elements	449
17.5.2	Remedy for Mental Damage Suffered by Victims Before Death	450
17.6	Compensation Standard of Mental Damage in Cases Concerning Death Caused by Infringement	452
17.6.1	Establishing the Same Compensation for People	453
17.6.2	Factors for Reference: Application and Exclusiveness	453
17.7	Conclusion	455
	References	456
18	Pure Economic Loss and Its Compensation Rules	459
18.1	Introduction	459
18.2	Definition and Features of Pure Economic Loss	459
18.2.1	Definition of Pure Economic Loss	459
18.2.2	Features of Pure Economic Loss	460
18.2.3	The Relationship Between Pure Economic Loss and Related Losses	462
18.3	Categories of Pure Economic Loss	463
18.3.1	Reflection Loss	463
18.3.2	Transferred Loss	463
18.3.3	Loss for the Closure of Public Infrastructure	464
18.3.4	Loss for Reliance on Negligent Misrepresentation	464
18.3.5	Loss for Reliance on Negligent Performance of a Service	464
18.4	The Theoretical Foundation for the Rule of No-Compensation for Pure Economic Loss and Its Technical Significance	465
18.4.1	Theoretical Foundation	465
18.4.2	Technical Tool	466
18.5	Exceptive Remedy for Pure Economic Loss	467
18.5.1	Intentional Infringements	467
18.5.2	The Situation is not Related to Uncertain Liability	467
18.5.3	The Loss Caused by Relying on the Contents Disclosed by Special Information	468
18.6	Problems Concerning Related Institutional Construction	468
18.6.1	Article 106(2) of the General Principles of Civil Law	468
18.6.2	Relevant Provisions in Administrative Regulations	469
18.6.3	Relevant Provisions in Judicial Interpretations	470
18.6.4	Relevant Provisions in the Securities Law	471
18.7	Conclusion	472
	References	473

19	On Legislation of Punitive Damages	475
19.1	Introduction	475
19.2	Basic Theories and Practices of Punitive Damages	476
19.2.1	Concepts and Characteristics of Punitive Damages	476
19.2.2	Controversies About Existence or Abolishment of Punitive Damages and Corresponding Reasons	479
19.3	Punitive Damages and Mental Damages	481
19.3.1	General Questions of Mental Damages	481
19.3.2	The Comparison Between Punitive Damages and Mental Damages	482
19.4	Choice Between Passion and Reason	485
19.4.1	The Development Trend of the System of Mental Damages	485
19.4.2	Mental Damages as Primary Means and Punitive Damages as Exception	486
19.5	Conclusion	492
	References	492
20	Assumption of Compensation Liability by the Motor Vehicle: On the Compensation Obligor in Road Traffic Accidents	495
20.1	Introduction	495
20.2	Confirmation Standard of the Compensation Obligor	496
20.2.1	Risk Liability and Its Theoretical Basis	496
20.2.2	Halter	497
20.3	Confirmation of the Compensation Obligor Under Certain Special Situations	498
20.3.1	The Compensation Obligor in Situations of Assignment Without Ownership Transfer Registration	498
20.3.2	The Compensation Obligor in Situations Concerning Borrowed Motor Vehicle	500
20.3.3	The Compensation Obligor in Situations Concerning Rented Motor Vehicle	501
20.3.4	The Compensation Obligor in Situations Concerning Stolen or Robbed Motor Vehicle	502
20.3.5	The Compensation Obligor in Situations Concerning Affiliation Operation	503
20.4	Compensation Obligation of the Commercial Insurer of the Third Party Liability Insurance	505
20.5	Conclusion	508
	References	508

Part IV Controversial Issues

21	On Negative Theory of “News (Or Media) Infringements”	511
21.1	Introduction	511
21.2	Scholars’ Proposal Drafts of the Tort Liability Law:	
	Introducing the Question	511
21.2.1	Two Different Views	511
21.2.2	Brief Review	512
21.3	Literal Analysis on “News Infringement” or “Media Infringement”	513
21.3.1	General Literal Analysis and Professional Definition	513
21.3.2	Brief Comments	515
21.4	On Other Jurisdictions	516
21.4.1	Provisions in Civil Codes of Other Countries	516
21.4.2	Brief Review	517
21.5	From Legal Provisions to Judicial Interpretation: Official Attitude and Comments	518
21.5.1	Relevant Legal Provisions and Judicial Interpretations	518
21.5.2	Brief Review	520
21.6	Conclusion	521
	References	523
22	Inappropriateness of the Stipulation of Specific Tort Liability in Administrative Regulations	525
22.1	Introduction	525
22.2	Relevant Provisions in the Constitution, Laws and Administrative Regulations and Understanding	526
22.3	Situations of Stipulating Tort Liability in Administrative Regulations	528
22.4	Extension of the Problem	531
22.4.1	Judicial Difficulty for the Court and Damage of the Dignity of Legal System	532
22.4.2	Waste of Administrative Resources	533
22.4.3	Expansion of Administrative Power	533
22.4.4	Infringement on Interests of the Victim	533
22.5	Analysis on Reasons	534
22.5.1	Protection of Unfair Interests by Special Industries	534
22.5.2	Old Administrative Thinking Mode	534
22.5.3	Misunderstanding of the Theory of Sources of Civil Law	535

22.5.4	Absence of the Civil Code	535
22.5.5	Ineffective Supervision on Legislation	535
22.5.6	Insufficient Self-Supervision of Administrative Organs	536
22.6	Conclusion	536
	References	538
	Erratum to: Legislation of Tort Liability Law in China	E1