

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>General Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1	Setting the Problem	1
2	Laying Out the Structure	4
3	<i>Incurse: EU-US</i>	5
3.1	Typology of the Existing Agreements	5
3.2	Status of International Agreement in the US Legal Order	6
3.2.1	The Place and the Effect of Treaties in the Law of the US	6
3.2.2	The Place and the Effect of Congressional-Executive Agreements in the Law of the US	7
3.2.3	The Place and the Effect of Sole Executive Agreements in the Law of the US	7
3.2.4	Limitations on the International Obligations Which the US Has Assumed	8
<b>2</b>	<b>The Court's Case Law on Direct Effect of International Agreements (Free Trade Associations, Accession Associations, Development Associations and EEA) and Status of WTO Law</b>	<b>9</b>
1	Introduction	9
2	Theoretical Framework	10
2.1	The Concept of Direct Effect	10
2.1.1	Constitutional Situation in Each Member State	10
2.1.2	The Union's Dualistic/vs. Monistic Philosophy Towards International Law ( <i>Haegeman</i> )	11
2.1.3	Direct Effect vs. Direct Applicability	13
2.2	The Formula for Direct Effect	14
3	Asymmetry (Variance): The Court's Different Approaches to Direct Effect	15
3.1	The (Absence of) Direct Effect of GATT/WTO Law in the Community Legal Order	16



3.1.1	<i>International Fruit Company</i> Line of Reasoning (GATT 1947) . . . . .	16
3.1.1.1	Why Are Provisions of GATT Special in Nature? . . . . .	17
3.1.2	Transition from GATT 1947 to WTO Agreement and GATT 1994 . . . . .	18
3.1.2.1	Characteristics of the WTO System . . . . .	18
3.1.2.2	Different Views on the Legal Effects . . . . .	20
3.1.2.3	<i>Portugal v Council</i> Line of Reasoning . . . . .	22
3.1.2.4	Grounds for Denying Direct Effect in the Case of WTO/GATT . . . . .	24
3.1.2.5	Continuation of the <i>Portugal v Council</i> Line of Reasoning . . . . .	27
3.1.3	Rulings by the WTO Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) . . . . .	31
3.2	The Possible Direct Effect of Provisions of FTAs (EFTA/Non-EFTA), Accession/Development Associations and the GC's Case Law on the EEA Agreement . . . . .	33
3.2.1	Effects of FTAs: <i>Kupferberg</i> Line of Reasoning (FTAs with EFTA Countries) . . . . .	35
3.2.2	Continuation of the <i>Kupferberg</i> Line of Reasoning (FTAs with Non-EFTA Countries, Accession/Development Associations and the GC's Case Law on the EEA Agreement) . . . . .	38
3.2.2.1	Direct Effect of Provisions of Non-EFTA Free Trade Agreements . . . . .	39
3.2.2.2	Direct Effect of Provisions of Accession and Development Associations . . . . .	40
3.2.2.3	Direct Effect of Provisions of the EEA Agreement . . . . .	43
3.3	Concluding Remarks on the Apparent Asymmetry . . . . .	43
4	Symmetry (Invariance): The Demarcation Between Relevant and Irrelevant Parameters for the Recognition of Direct Effect . . . .	44
4.1	Intention of the Contracting Parties in Absence of an Express Provision in the Agreement . . . . .	45
4.2	'Wording, Purpose and Nature' Component: Relevant and Irrelevant Parameters for the Recognition of Direct Effect . . . .	46
4.2.1	Similarity of Terms . . . . .	46
4.2.2	Imbalance Between Obligations (Non-reciprocity) and the Ability of the Contracting Parties to Preserve and Pursue Interests . . . . .	47
4.2.3	Degree of Integration . . . . .	48
4.2.4	Safeguard Clauses . . . . .	48



4.3	Concluding Remarks on the Demarcation Between Relevant and Irrelevant Parameters for the Recognition of Direct Effect . . . . .	49
5	The Court's Case Law on Direct Effect: A Symmetrical Phenomenon . . . . .	50
6	<i>Incurse</i> : Technical Aspects of Indirect Effects and Limitations . . . .	52
7	Conclusion . . . . .	61
3	<b>General Issues and Practice on EU International Agreements (CFSP and PJC (by Now FSJ) Agreements)</b> . . . . .	63
1	Introduction . . . . .	63
2	International Legal Personality . . . . .	64
3	Legal Practice . . . . .	67
4	Direct Effect . . . . .	79
4.1	The Area of CFSP and Direct Effect . . . . .	79
4.1.1	Pre-Lisbon Arguments for and Against Direct Effect . . . . .	79
4.1.2	Post-Lisbon Arguments for and Against Direct Effect . . . . .	82
4.2	The Area of FSJ and Direct Effect . . . . .	86
4.2.1	Pre-Lisbon Arguments for and Against Direct Effect . . . . .	86
4.2.2	Post-Lisbon Arguments for and Against Direct Effect . . . . .	87
4.3	Contractual Exclusions of Direct Effect . . . . .	89
5	Indirect Effect . . . . .	91
5.1	Indirect Effects: The Significance of the Doctrine of Consistent Interpretation . . . . .	91
5.2	Indirect Effects of EU Agreements (CFSP and PJC (by Now FSJ) Agreements) . . . . .	95
6	Conclusion . . . . .	96
4	<b>Jurisdiction of the Court on EU International Agreements</b> . . . . .	97
1	Introduction . . . . .	97
2	Jurisdiction of the Court on EC (by Now EU) Agreements Before and After the Lisbon Treaty Reforms . . . . .	98
2.1	Control <i>ex ante</i> of Legality of EC (by Now EU) Agreements . . . . .	98
2.2	Control <i>ex post</i> of Legality of EC (by Now EU) Agreements . . . . .	99
2.2.1	The Court's Jurisdiction in Infringement Proceedings . . . . .	100
2.2.2	The Court's Jurisdiction in Annulment Proceedings . . . . .	102
2.2.2.1	Lack of Competences . . . . .	103



2.2.2.2	Breach of Essential Procedural Requirements . . . . .	104
2.2.2.3	Infringement of the Treaties or of Any Rule of Law Relating to Their Application . . . . .	112
2.2.3	The Court's Jurisdiction in Preliminary Rulings Proceedings . . . . .	117
2.2.3.1	The Interpretative Jurisdiction of the Court of Justice Over Provisions of International Agreements Concluded Without the Participation of Member States . . . . .	117
2.2.3.2	The Interpretative Jurisdiction of the Court of Justice Over Provisions of International Agreements Concluded with the Participation of Member States . . . . .	118
3	Jurisdiction of the Court on CFSP and PJC (by Now FSJ) Agreements . . . . .	123
3.1	Institutional Scheme of the Treaties and Limitations on Review Before the Lisbon Treaty Reforms . . . . .	123
3.2	Institutional Scheme of the Treaties and Limitations on Review After the Lisbon Treaty Reforms . . . . .	129
3.2.1	Control <i>ex ante</i> of Legality of CFSP and FSJ Agreements . . . . .	129
3.2.2	Control <i>ex post</i> of Legality of CFSP and FSJ Agreements . . . . .	130
4	The Standard of Assessment . . . . .	135
4.1	National Judicatures . . . . .	135
4.2	Union Judicature (Intensity of Judicial Review) . . . . .	137
5	Conclusion . . . . .	140
5	General Conclusion . . . . .	143
Appendices: EU Agreements (CFSP and PJC (by Now FSJ) Agreements) Pre-TL . . . . .		149
Descriptive Repository . . . . .		159
Select Bibliography . . . . .		199