| Fo | rewo | rd | ix |
|----|------------|--|----------------------|
| Pr | eface | the state of the s | xiii |
| 1 | Intr | oduction | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Context and Premises | 1 |
| | | 1.1.1 The Benchmarking of Default Prospects Remains Deeply Rooted in Business Analysis | 1 |
| | | 1.1.2 Credit Ratings Play a Unique Role in Overcoming Information Asymmetries on the Information Exchanges | 9 |
| | | 1.1.3 Under the Spotlight as Unique Infomediaries, the CRAs became Strictly Regulated | 13 |
| | 1.2 | Book Chapters | 17 |
| | 1.3 | Supporting Materials | 18 |
| | | | |
| PA | RT A | A CREDIT RATING FOUNDATIONS | 21 |
| 2 | Cree | dit Ratings | 23 |
| | 2.1 | The World of Corporate Defaults | 24 |
| | | 2.1.1 What are Corporate Defaults? | 27 |
| | | 2.1.2 The Drivers of Corporate Defaults | 35 |
| | | 2.1.3 Recovery Rates from Defaults | 39 |
| | 2.2 | Credit Rating Scales | 40 |
| | | 2.2.1 Fundamental Ordinal Credit Rating Scales | 43 |
| | | 2.2.2 Rating Scales and Observed Bond Market Credit Spreads | 64 |
| | | 2.2.3 Market-Implied Cardinal Rating Scales and Default Probabilities | 70 |
| | | | 72 |
| | 2.3 | The Interpretation of Credit Ratings | 74 |
| | 2.3 | The Interpretation of Credit Ratings 2.3.1 Interpreting from the Scale | 74 75 |
| | 2.3 | The Interpretation of Credit Ratings 2.3.1 Interpreting from the Scale 2.3.2 Correctly Interpreting versus Misinterpreting Ratings | 74 75 78 |
| | | The Interpretation of Credit Ratings 2.3.1 Interpreting from the Scale 2.3.2 Correctly Interpreting versus Misinterpreting Ratings 2.3.3 Special Issues | 74 75 78 85 |
| | 2.3 2.4 | The Interpretation of Credit Ratings 2.3.1 Interpreting from the Scale 2.3.2 Correctly Interpreting versus Misinterpreting Ratings | 74 75 78 |
| 3 | 2.4 | The Interpretation of Credit Ratings 2.3.1 Interpreting from the Scale 2.3.2 Correctly Interpreting versus Misinterpreting Ratings 2.3.3 Special Issues | 74 75 78 85 |

Contents _____

| vi | | | the second s | Contents |
|-----|------|--------|--|----------|
| | | 3.1.1 | Principals: Issuers/Borrowers | 91 |
| | | 3.1.2 | Principals: Fixed Income Investors | 99 |
| | | 3.1.3 | Prescribers | 104 |
| 3. | .2 | Credit | Ratings as a Solution to Information Asymmetry: Economic | |
| | | Analy | sis | 111 |
| | | 3.2.1 | Economics of Ratings: Intuition | 111 |
| | | 3.2.2 | Economics of Ratings: Analysis | 113 |
| | | 3.2.3 | The Economic Analysis of Ratings: Summary and Implications | 124 |
| 3. | .3 | Credit | Rating Segments – or Scale and Scope of the Rated Universe | 126 |
| | | 3.3.1 | Industry Segments or Type of Rated Issuers | 126 |
| | | 3.3.2 | Product Segments or Types of Rated Issues | 138 |
| | | 3.3.3 | Geographical Segments or Location of the Obligor | 149 |
| 3. | .4 | Summ | | 158 |
| 3. | .5 | | ical Appendix | 158 |
| | | | | |
| H | low | to Ob | tain and Maintain a Credit Rating | 161 |
| 4. | .1 | The R | Rating Preparation | 161 |
| | | 4.1.1 | The Issuer Client | 163 |
| | | 4.1.2 | The Rating Adviser-Intermediary | 165 |
| | | 4.1.3 | The Credit Rating Agency Supplier | 168 |
| 4 | .2 | The R | | 170 |
| т. | .2 | 4.2.1 | The Rating Action | 170 |
| | | 4.2.2 | Rating Follow-Up | 174 |
| | | 4.2.3 | The Rating Agreement | 179 |
| 1 | .3 | | ty of the Rating Process | 187 |
| 4. | | 4.3.1 | Objectivity | 187 |
| | | 4.3.1 | | 189 |
| | | 4.3.2 | Diligence | 105 |
| | | 4.3.3 | Transparency | 191 |
| PAR | т в | CR | EDIT RATING ANALYSIS | 195 |
| T | he | France | e Telecom Credit Rating Cycle: 1995–2004 | 197 |
| | .1 | | Sovereign Status to Near Speculative Grade (1995–2002) | 198 |
| 5. | .1 | | How Sovereign Aaa Status of June 1995 Adjusts to Corporate Aal | |
| | | 5.1.1 | | 198 |
| | | 510 | in July 1996 | |
| | | 5.1.2 | A Company that went Public on Aa1 Status in October 1997 is | |
| | | F 1 2 | Downgraded to Aa2 in December 1999 | 200 |
| | | 5.1.3 | Rapid Extension of FT's Reach and Two Notches Downgrade to | |
| | | | A1 in September 2000 | 202 |
| | | 5.1.4 | A Wake-up Call: the Orange IPO and Surprise Two Notches Down- | |
| | | | grade to A3 in February 2001 | 211 |
| | | 5.1.5 | The Slide Downward to Baa1 in September 2001 | 219 |
| | 1912 | 5.1.6 | Looming Crisis and Two Notches Downgrade to Baa3 in June 2002 | |
| 5 | .2 | | ng Point and Rating Recovery (Fall 2002–Winter 2004) | 229 |
| | | 5.2.1 | Improvement in Outlook in September 2002 | 229 |
| | | 5.2.2 | A New Start in October 2002 and Recovery Actions | 238 |

| ~ | | | S | | |
|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| | 0 | n | to | n | to |
| ~ | U | н | | 11 | ts |
| - | ~ | | | | |

| | | 5.2.3 | Recovery Implementation and the Sequence of Rating Upgrades | |
|----|------|----------|--|-----|
| | | | through February 2005 | 244 |
| | 5.3 | Analy | sis and Evaluation | 247 |
| | | 5.3.1 | Risk Shifting at France Telecom and its Fundamental and Market- | |
| | | | Implied Ratings | 248 |
| | | 5.3.2 | The Restraint of the CRAs during the 2002 Crisis | 253 |
| | | 5.3.3 | The Value of Ratings to Issuers | 254 |
| 6 | Cree | dit Rati | ing Analysis | 257 |
| | 6.1 | Funda | mental Corporate Credit Ratings | 257 |
| | | 6.1.1 | Corporate Credit Risk ² | 257 |
| | | 6.1.2 | Credit Risk of Corporate Debt Instruments | 260 |
| | | 6.1.3 | Putting it All Together: the Rating ⁸ | 265 |
| | 6.2 | Corpo | rate Ratings Implied by Market Data | 274 |
| | | 6.2.1 | The Concept | 274 |
| | | 6.2.2 | Mechanics of Extracting Default Probabilities from Market Prices ²¹ | 276 |
| | | 6.2.3 | Market-Implied Ratings | 284 |
| | 6.3 | Specia | al Sector Ratings | 286 |
| | | 6.3.1 | Sovereign Ratings | 286 |
| | | 6.3.2 | Financial Strength Ratings | 291 |
| | | 6.3.3 | Structured Finance Instruments Ratings | 296 |
| | 6.4 | Techn | ical Appendix | 304 |
| | | 6.4.1 | Step 1: Computing the Implied Market Value of Assets and Asset | |
| | | | Volatility | 305 |
| | | 6.4.2 | Step 2: Computing the Distance to Default | 305 |
| | | 6.4.3 | Step 3: Calculating the Default Probability Corresponding to the | |
| | | | Distance to Default | 306 |
| 7 | Cree | dit Rati | ing Performance | 307 |
| | 7.1 | Releva | ance: Ratings and Value | 307 |
| | | 7.1.1 | Structural Relevance: Rating and Credit Spreads | 310 |
| | | 7.1.2 | Impact Relevance: Rating Actions and Security Price Changes | 325 |
| | | 7.1.3 | Evaluation: How Relevant are Fundamental Credit Ratings? | 331 |
| | 7.2 | Preven | nting Surprise in Defaults: Rating Accuracy and Stability | 332 |
| | | 7.2.1 | Metrics of Accuracy and Stability | 334 |
| | | 7.2.2 | Analysis of the Prevention of Surprise in Defaults | 353 |
| | | 7.2.3 | Summary, Evaluation and Conclusion | 356 |
| | 7.3 | Efficie | ency Enhancement: Stabilization in Times of Crisis? | 356 |
| | | 7.3.1 | The Asian Macroeconomic Financial Crisis | 356 |
| | | 7.3.2 | The Western Microeconomic Equity Crisis | 363 |
| | | 7.3.3 | The Subprime Mortgage Related Crisis | 364 |
| | | 7.3.4 | Criticisms: the Ratings in Crisis? | 369 |
| PA | RT (| с тн | E CREDIT RATING BUSINESS | 373 |
| 8 | The | Credit | Rating Industry | 375 |
| | 8.1 | The R | ise of the Credit Rating Agencies | 375 |

vii

| 8.1.1Origins3758.1.2Macroeconomic Forces Shaping the Current Industry3788.1.3The Current Structure of the Industry3848.2Industry Specifics and How They Affect Competition4078.2.1Agencies Compete for the Market rather than in the Market4078.2.2The Business Model and Profit Drivers4118.2.3Some Dynamic Aspects of Competition among CRAs: A Small Number of Players can be Consistent with Intense Rivalry4188.3Industry Performance4198.3.1Performance for CRA Shareholders4198.3.2Performance of Ratings as a Public Good4208.3.3Performance for Investors4278.4Conclusion4289Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry4299.1The Regulatory Uses of Ratings430 |
|--|
| 8.1.2Macroeconomic Forces Shaping the Current Industry3788.1.3The Current Structure of the Industry3848.2Industry Specifics and How They Affect Competition4078.2.1Agencies Compete for the Market rather than in the Market4078.2.2The Business Model and Profit Drivers4118.2.3Some Dynamic Aspects of Competition among CRAs: A Small Number of Players can be Consistent with Intense Rivalry4188.3Industry Performance4198.3.1Performance for CRA Shareholders4198.3.2Performance of Ratings as a Public Good4208.3.3Performance for Investors4278.3.4Performance for Investors4278.4Conclusion4289Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry429 |
| 8.1.3The Current Structure of the Industry3848.2Industry Specifics and How They Affect Competition4078.2.1Agencies Compete for the Market rather than in the Market4078.2.2The Business Model and Profit Drivers4118.2.3Some Dynamic Aspects of Competition among CRAs: A Small Number of Players can be Consistent with Intense Rivalry4188.3Industry Performance4198.3.1Performance for CRA Shareholders4198.3.2Performance of Ratings as a Public Good4208.3.3Performance for Investors4278.4Conclusion4289Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry429 |
| 8.2 Industry Specifics and How They Affect Competition 407 8.2.1 Agencies Compete for the Market rather than in the Market 407 8.2.2 The Business Model and Profit Drivers 411 8.2.3 Some Dynamic Aspects of Competition among CRAs: A Small Number of Players can be Consistent with Intense Rivalry 418 8.3 Industry Performance 419 8.3.1 Performance for CRA Shareholders 419 8.3.2 Performance of Ratings as a Public Good 420 8.3.3 Performance for Investors 427 8.4 Conclusion 428 9 Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry 429 |
| 8.2.1Agencies Compete for the Market rather than in the Market4078.2.2The Business Model and Profit Drivers4118.2.3Some Dynamic Aspects of Competition among CRAs: A Small Number of Players can be Consistent with Intense Rivalry4188.3Industry Performance4198.3.1Performance for CRA Shareholders4198.3.2Performance of Ratings as a Public Good4208.3.3Performance for Issuers4278.4Conclusion4289Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry429 |
| 8.2.2The Business Model and Profit Drivers4118.2.3Some Dynamic Aspects of Competition among CRAs: A Small Number of Players can be Consistent with Intense Rivalry4188.3Industry Performance4198.3.1Performance for CRA Shareholders4198.3.2Performance of Ratings as a Public Good4208.3.3Performance for Issuers4278.4Conclusion4289Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry429 |
| Number of Players can be Consistent with Intense Rivalry4188.3Industry Performance4198.3.1Performance for CRA Shareholders4198.3.2Performance of Ratings as a Public Good4208.3.3Performance for Issuers4278.3.4Performance for Investors4278.4Conclusion4289Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry429 |
| 8.3Industry Performance4198.3.1Performance for CRA Shareholders4198.3.2Performance of Ratings as a Public Good4208.3.3Performance for Issuers4278.3.4Performance for Investors4278.4Conclusion4289Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry429 |
| 8.3.1Performance for CRA Shareholders4198.3.2Performance of Ratings as a Public Good4208.3.3Performance for Issuers4278.3.4Performance for Investors4278.4Conclusion4289Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry429 |
| 8.3.2Performance of Ratings as a Public Good4208.3.3Performance for Issuers4278.3.4Performance for Investors4278.4Conclusion428 Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry 429 |
| 8.3.3Performance for Issuers4278.3.4Performance for Investors4278.4Conclusion4289Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry429 |
| 8.3.4 Performance for Investors4278.4 Conclusion4289 Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry429 |
| 8.4 Conclusion4289 Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry429 |
| 9 Regulatory Oversight of the Credit Rating Industry 429 |
| |
| |
| |
| 9.1.1 Prudence 435 |
| 9.1.2 Market Access 436 |
| 9.1.3 Investor Protection 439 |
| 9.2 The Regulation of the Industry 440 |
| 9.2.1 The Regulatory Options 440 |
| 9.2.2 Worldwide Regulatory Initiative: the 2004 IOSCO Code of |
| Conduct 443 |
| 9.2.3 The 2005 European Union Policy on Credit Rating Agencies 444 |
| 9.2.4 The US 'Credit Rating Agency Reform Act of 2006' 448 |
| 9.3 Analysis and Evaluation 455 |
| 9.3.1 The EU and the US Approaches Compared 455 |
| 9.3.2 The Positions of the Main Stakeholders 461 |
| 9.3.3 Comments and Evaluation on Regulatory Options 466 |
| 10 Summary and Conclusions 469 |
| 10.1 The Challenges Facing Rating Agencies Today469 |
| 10.1.1 From Regulatory Legitimacy to Market Legitimacy 469 |
| 10.1.2 Financial Innovation 472 |
| 10.1.3 Growth and Globalization 473 |
| 10.2 Conclusion 473 |
| References 475 |
| Index 495 |