

# European Competition Law Review

2020 Volume 41 Issue 2

ISSN: 0144-3054

## Table of Contents

### Editorial

ALEXANDER M. WAKSMAN

**Editorial** 61

### Articles

KURT HAEGEMAN & MARA  
GHIORGHIES

**European competition law enforcement: time to settle our differences** 63

The current “unstructured” framework of non-cartel settlements fails to achieve its full potential as an essential enforcement tool. We call on the European Commission to harmonise the approach to settlement in non-cartel cases across all EU Member States, and to ensure a consistent and transparent approach to co-operation in all antitrust investigations.

GERGELY CSURGAI-HORVÁTH

**An old concept for an old-new type of abuse of dominance in the digital sector: Self-preferencing** 68

There is a shift of the most successful business model from the transnational companies to multi-business corporate ecosystems. The shift to this “new” business model and thus the emergence of multi-business corporate ecosystems raises the relevance of the long-existing antitrust doctrine, leveraging market power and its new forms “self-preferencing” and “killer acquisitions” according to various reports and statements of competition authorities. The aim of this article in particular is to investigate whether self-preferencing is conceivable under EU law as a new type of abuse of dominance. I discuss different types of abuses and cases in this regard in the EU as well as in the US and I discuss who bears the burden of proof and to what extent. The questions raised in this article concern, furthermore, the concept of competing on merits, the concept of fairness, level playing field and in a broader perspective the freedom of enterprise and the competitiveness of the EU and the EEA. I conclude that leveraging market power is an underlying theory in many cases and in different types of abuses and thus self-preferencing as a novel type of abuse of dominance is likely conceivable under EU competition law. The recent emphasis on fairness and a level playing field also supports this conclusion. I conclude furthermore that self-preferencing is becoming a tool that competition law enforcers will actively pursue to tackle the competition concerns against the conduct of corporate ecosystems operating as platforms and acting as regulators while hosting rivals.

ARTTU MENTULA & RISTO RIIKONEN

**Recent private enforcement cases in Finland** 75

The European Court of Justice gave a preliminary ruling in the Finnish *Asphalt Cartel* case related to the applicability of the economic continuity test in antitrust damages cases. The decision of the Court is very interesting since the principle of economic continuity has so far only been applied in public enforcement competition law cases regarding fines. In a predatory pricing follow-on damages case concerning Valio Ltd, the Helsinki District Court had to take a stand on whether Valio was in a dominant position and whether it had infringed competition law rules. The District Court also reviewed economic evidence and its relevance regarding the claims made.

NICOLAE BARA & ANA CACHO  
LACARRA

**State aid and sport** 84

The application of competition law rules to sport has long been recognised and confirmed through the jurisprudence of the EU courts and decisional practice of the Commission. With sport playing a significant economic role in the EU and with sport clubs developing activities in many markets, public support to them may distort competition between Member States and hence is under close scrutiny by the Commission. This article presents the application of State aid rules to sport and outlines recent State aid investigations concerning football clubs in Spain.

YI SHIN TANG

**Excessive pricing in the pharmaceutical sector: recent impacts of the “CD Pharma” case in Denmark** 93

Pharmaceutical markets have displayed certain features that generally challenge the application of general competitive conditions. Thus, excessive pricing has become a more challenging issue in this sector. Following recent important cases in Italy and the UK, this article discusses the latest decision by the Danish Competition Council on CD Pharma and its impacts for the issue of excessive pricing in the pharmaceutical industry.

ANIK BHADURI

### **Tackling collusion in the digital marketplace: Is the Competition Act enough? 99**

Concerns about how e-commerce poses a serious threat to the existing competition law regime has been raised by scholars as well as by regulatory authorities across the world, and the possibility of using algorithms to induce or facilitate tacit collusion has emerged as a serious issue. The Indian competition law, like the law in the US or the EU, requires some human element in the form of an agreement in order to hold the enterprises liable for engaging in concerted practice, but this legal framework is now facing a challenge—as algorithms digitally fix the prices without any interaction between the executives of the enterprises, it has become impossible to prove the existence of any anti-competitive agreement or anti-competitive intent on the part of the firms. Although this issue has not been debated much in the Indian legal context, the ongoing inquiry by the CCI into allegations of algorithmic price-fixing in the airlines industry has made it imperative to ask whether collusion using algorithms can be prosecuted under the existing laws in India. This article explores the challenge posed by pricing algorithms to the existing legal framework in India and evaluates whether the existing restriction on horizontal restraints in Indian law are enough to deal with a tacit collusion facilitated by algorithms.

LENA HORNKOHL

### **The protection of confidential information during the disclosure of evidence according to the Damages Directive 107**

Disclosure of evidence in private enforcement was the main achievement of the 2014 EU Damages Directive. As competition law litigation is characterised by an information asymmetry, it is appropriate to ensure that claimants are afforded the right to obtain the disclosure of evidence relevant to their claim. However, the documents that must be disclosed often contain confidential information, especially business secrets. This article analyses how the Damages Directive intends to protect confidential information during disclosure. Furthermore, this article suggests effective procedural measures that can be used in practice and such measures that parties can provide themselves.

## **Book Review**

OLES ANDRIYCHUK

### **The Roles of Innovation in Competition Law Analysis 112**

## **National Reports**

### **Portugal**

#### **MERGER**

**Heating sector N-15**

### **Turkey**

#### **ANTI-COMPETITIVE AGREEMENTS**

**Air conditioning sector N-16**