## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	V
Introduction: The International Tax Regime	1
Notes and Questions	16
PART A. General	17
CHAPTER 1. Jurisdiction to Tax and Definitions	18
1.1 Jurisdiction to Tax: In General Notes and Questions  1.2 Definitions  a. Nonresident Alien Cook v. Tait Notes and Questions  b. Foreign Corporations and Partnerships  (i) Before "Check the Box" Notes and Questions  (ii) After "Check the Box"	18 19 20 20 21 22 22 26 26 26 27
c. Foreign Trusts and Estates Notes and Questions  CHAPTER 2. The Source of Income	28 30
2.1 Introduction and Overview 2.2 Substantive v. Formal Rules Notes and Questions 2.3 To What Category Does Income Belong?  a. Royalties v. Sales Commissioner v. Wodehouse Notes and Questions b. Services vs. Royalties Karrer v. United States Notes and Questions Boulez v. Commissioner Notes and Questions	35 41 41 41 49 49
c. Services v. Interest (the Transfer of Money)	54

	United States v. Balanovski	71 77
26	Notes and Questions	77
	APTER 3. The Allocation of Deductions	79
-		70
3.1	Introduction and Overview	79
3.2	Allocation of Deductions	80 80
	Black & Decker v. Commissioner	85
	Notes and Questions	86
3.3	The Sourcing of Interest Expense (Section 864(e))	86
	a. What Prompted the Look–Through Rule of Section 864(e)(1) b. What Prompted Section 864(e)(2)	88
	The state of the s	89
	d. Attempted Avoidance of the Unfair Rule by Having U.S.	
	Corporation (D) Borrow and Relend to Foreign Subsidiary	
	(F)	90
	e. The Service's Attempted Neutralization of Avoidance of the	
	Unfair Rule	91
0.4	The Sourcing of R & D Expense (Section 864(f))	92
		0.0
PA	ART B. U.S. Income of Foreign Taxpayers	93
CF	HAPTER 4. The Taxation of Non-Business Income	94
4 1	Introduction and Overview	94
19	Taxation of Nonresident Individuals	95
1 9	R. Tayation of Foreign Corporations	97
4.4	4 "Fixed or Determinable, Annual or Periodical" Income	98
1	Fernando Barba v. United States	98
	Notes and Questions	101
4.5	5 Exceptions	102 $102$
	Notes and Questions	102
4.6	6 Collections	103
	Casa De La Jolla Park, Inc. v. Commissioner	108
	Notes and Questions	
4.'	7 Conclusion	109
		109
C	HAPTER 5. The Taxation of Business Income	
<u>C</u> 1	HAPTER 5. The Taxation of Business Income	110
5.	HAPTER 5. The Taxation of Business Income  1 Introduction and Overview	110
_	HAPTER 5. The Taxation of Business Income  1 Introduction and Overview  2 What is a U.S. Trade or Business?	110 110 110
5.	1 Introduction and Overview	110 110 110 111
5.	1 Introduction and Overview	110 110 110
5.	1 Introduction and Overview	110 110 110 111 111

Notes and Questions	14
	19
A 1' D 000	.20
Grammaget Whaling & Shinning Co. S/A v. Commissioner of	00
T. I. and Devenue	.20
Notes and Questions	.30
1 Otion through an Agent	130
T I II field a Commissioner of Internal Institute	L30
N. J. Organiana	133
The Taigei Fire and Marine Insurance Co., Liu. v. Committee	133
in or of Internal Revenue	141
77 . 1 O	141
mi Di ' I Daggargo Roguirement	142
Commissioner I Piedras Negras Droudcusting Co.	144
N-tog and Ouagtions	144 $145$
O :11 Com v North Dakota	146
Notes and Questions	147
y a What is Effectively Connected?	148
Duefita Tox	149
5.4 The Branch Profits Tax	143
a D. C. Duchlom	151
PART C. The Transfer Pricing Problem	
CHAPTER 6. The Transfer Pricing Problem	152
CHAPTER 6. The Transfer Pricing Problem	
	152
6.1 The Root of the Problem	104
TT . I at I A company and Illilled blutes dipount but	150
C States of American	1 3 7
Makes and Ougstions	152 156
1	156
6.2 A Classic Example	156 156
6.2 A Classic Example	156 156 156
E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States	156 156 156 168
E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States  Notes and Questions	156 156 156
Notes and Questions  6.3 The Problem of Comparables  Comparables  Commissioner of Internal Reverses	156 156 156 168 170
<ul> <li>E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States</li> <li>Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.3 The Problem of Comparables</li> <li>United States Steel Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Reve-</li> </ul>	156 156 156 168 170
<ul> <li>E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States</li> <li>Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.3 The Problem of Comparables</li></ul>	156 156 156 168 170 170
E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States  Notes and Questions  6.3 The Problem of Comparables  United States Steel Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  Notes and Questions	156 156 156 168 170
<ul> <li>E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States</li> <li>Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.3 The Problem of Comparables</li> <li>United States Steel Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue</li> <li>Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.4 The Interaction with Foreign Law</li> <li>6.4 The Interaction &amp; Camble Company v. Commissioner of Internal</li> </ul>	156 156 156 168 170 170 177 178
<ul> <li>E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States</li> <li>Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.3 The Problem of Comparables  United States Steel Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.4 The Interaction with Foreign Law  The Procter &amp; Gamble Company v. Commissioner of Internal</li> </ul>	156 156 156 168 170 170 177 178
<ul> <li>E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States  Notes and Questions  6.3 The Problem of Comparables  United States Steel Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  6.4 The Interaction with Foreign Law  The Procter &amp; Gamble Company v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Revenue</li> </ul>	156 156 156 168 170 177 178 178
<ul> <li>E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States</li> <li>Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.3 The Problem of Comparables  United States Steel Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.4 The Interaction with Foreign Law  The Procter &amp; Gamble Company v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.5 Conclusion: Is there a Feasible Alternative?</li> </ul>	156 156 156 168 170 177 178 178 184 185
<ul> <li>E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States</li> <li>Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.3 The Problem of Comparables  United States Steel Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.4 The Interaction with Foreign Law  The Procter &amp; Gamble Company v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.5 Conclusion: Is there a Feasible Alternative?</li> </ul>	156 156 156 168 170 177 178 178 184 185
<ul> <li>E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States</li> <li>6.3 The Problem of Comparables  United States Steel Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  6.4 The Interaction with Foreign Law  The Procter &amp; Gamble Company v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  6.5 Conclusion: Is there a Feasible Alternative?</li> <li>PART D. Foreign Income of U.S. Taxpayers</li> </ul>	156 156 156 168 170 177 178 178 184 185 187
<ul> <li>E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States</li> <li>6.3 The Problem of Comparables  United States Steel Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.4 The Interaction with Foreign Law  The Procter &amp; Gamble Company v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.5 Conclusion: Is there a Feasible Alternative?</li> </ul> PART D. Foreign Income of U.S. Taxpayers	156 156 156 168 170 177 178 178 184 185 187
Notes and Questions  6.3 The Problem of Comparables  United States Steel Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  6.4 The Interaction with Foreign Law  The Procter & Gamble Company v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  6.5 Conclusion: Is there a Feasible Alternative?  PART D. Foreign Income of U.S. Taxpayers  CHAPTER 7. Deferral and its Limits	156 156 156 168 170 170 177 178 178 184 185 187
Notes and Questions  6.3 The Problem of Comparables  United States Steel Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  6.4 The Interaction with Foreign Law  The Procter & Gamble Company v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  6.5 Conclusion: Is there a Feasible Alternative?  PART D. Foreign Income of U.S. Taxpayers  CHAPTER 7. Deferral	156 156 156 168 170 177 178 178 184 185 187
<ul> <li>E.I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company v. United States</li> <li>6.3 The Problem of Comparables  United States Steel Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.4 The Interaction with Foreign Law  The Procter &amp; Gamble Company v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions</li> <li>6.5 Conclusion: Is there a Feasible Alternative?</li> </ul> PART D. Foreign Income of U.S. Taxpayers	156 156 156 168 170 170 177 178 184 185 185

	a. Foreign Personal Holding Corporations (FPHC, sections 551–558)	189
	b. Realization v. Receipt	189
	Eder et al. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue	189
	Notes and Questions	190
2	Notes and Questions Companies (PFIC sections 1991–	200
	c. Passive Foreign Investment Companies (PFIC, sections 1291-	190
	1298)	191
7.3	Limitations Primarily for Corporations	
	a. From Equity to Neutrality	191
	b. Subpart F (sections 951–960)	199
	1. Definition of CFC	200
	Garlock, Inc. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue	200
	Notes and Questions	206
	2. The Base Company Rule	206
	Brown Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries v. Commissioner	
	of Internal Revenue	206
	Notes and Questions	235
	3. The Branch Rule	236
	Ashland Oil, Inc. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue	236
	Notes and Questions	247
	4. The Hybrid Problem	247
	Notes and Questions	250
	Notes and Questions	251
7.4	Summary and Overview	201
CH	APTER 8. The Foreign Tax Credit	253
8.1	Introduction	253
	Introduction The Three Hoops	253 254
8.1	Introduction  The Three Hoops	253 254 254
8.1	Introduction	253 254 254
8.1	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal	253 254 254 254
8.1	Introduction The Three Hoops	253 254 254 254 263
8.1	Introduction	253 254 254 254 263 263
8.1	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue	253 254 254 254 263 263 263
8.1	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions	253 254 254 254 263 263 263 268
8.1	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  C. Was the Tax a Creditable Tax?	253 254 254 254 263 263 263 268 268
8.1	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  c. Was the Tax a Creditable Tax?  Inland Steel Company v. United States	253 254 254 254 263 263 263 269 269
8.1	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  c. Was the Tax a Creditable Tax?  Inland Steel Company v. United States  Notes and Questions	253 254 254 254 263 263 263 269 269 280
8.1 8.2	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  c. Was the Tax a Creditable Tax?  Inland Steel Company v. United States  Notes and Questions	253 254 254 254 263 263 263 269 269 280
8.1 8.2	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  c. Was the Tax a Creditable Tax?  Inland Steel Company v. United States  Notes and Questions  The Foreign Tax Credit Limitation  The Indirect Credit.	253 254 254 254 263 263 263 269 280 281 281
8.1 8.2	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  c. Was the Tax a Creditable Tax?  Inland Steel Company v. United States  Notes and Questions  The Foreign Tax Credit Limitation  The Indirect Credit.	253 254 254 254 263 263 263 269 280 281 281
8.1 8.2	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  c. Was the Tax a Creditable Tax?  Inland Steel Company v. United States  Notes and Questions  The Foreign Tax Credit Limitation  The Indirect Credit  American Chicle Co. v. United States	253 254 254 254 263 263 263 269 280 281 281 281
8.1 8.2	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  c. Was the Tax a Creditable Tax?  Inland Steel Company v. United States  Notes and Questions  The Foreign Tax Credit Limitation  The Indirect Credit  American Chicle Co. v. United States  Notes and Questions	253 254 254 254 263 263 263 263 269 280 281 281 281
8.1 8.2	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  c. Was the Tax a Creditable Tax?  Inland Steel Company v. United States  Notes and Questions  The Foreign Tax Credit Limitation  The Indirect Credit  American Chicle Co. v. United States  Notes and Questions  United States, Petitioner v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and	253 254 254 254 263 263 263 263 269 283 283 283
8.1 8.2	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  c. Was the Tax a Creditable Tax?  Inland Steel Company v. United States  Notes and Questions  The Foreign Tax Credit Limitation  The Indirect Credit  American Chicle Co. v. United States  Notes and Questions  United States, Petitioner v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Affiliates	253 254 254 263 263 263 263 263 263 283 283 283 283 283 283 283
8.1 8.2	Introduction The Three Hoops a. Was a Tax Paid? Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue Notes and Questions b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer? Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue Notes and Questions c. Was the Tax a Creditable Tax? Inland Steel Company v. United States Notes and Questions The Foreign Tax Credit Limitation The Indirect Credit American Chicle Co. v. United States Notes and Questions United States, Petitioner v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Affiliates Notes and Questions	253 254 254 263 263 263 263 263 263 283 284 285 285 286 286 286 286 286 286 286 286 286 286
8.1 8.2	Introduction The Three Hoops  a. Was a Tax Paid?  Continental Illinois Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  b. Was a Tax Paid by the Taxpayer?  Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue  Notes and Questions  c. Was the Tax a Creditable Tax?  Inland Steel Company v. United States  Notes and Questions  The Foreign Tax Credit Limitation  The Indirect Credit  American Chicle Co. v. United States  Notes and Questions  United States, Petitioner v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Affiliates	253 254 254 263 263 263 263 263 263 283 284 284 285 284 285 285 286 286 286 286 286 286 286 286 286 286

	Notes and Questions	305
8.5	The Credit "Abugo"	306
0.0	Compaq Computer Corporation and Subsidiaries v. Commissioner	
	of Internal Revenue	306
	Notes and Questions	316
	Notes and Questions	325
PA	RT E. The U.S. and the International Tax Regime	327
		328
CH	APTER 9. The Role of Treaties	540
0.1	The U.S. Model	328
9.1	Notes and Questions	353
	Notes and Questions	354
9.2	The Relationship between Treaties and the Code	364
	Notes and Questions	365
9.3	Judicial Interpretation of Treaties	
	Xerox Corporation v. United States	
	Notes and Questions	304
CF	IAPTER 10. The Future of the International Tax Regime	383
GI	obalization, Tax Competition, and the Fiscal Crisis of the Welfare	
	04	383
NI	otes and Questions	397
		202
INI	DEX	399
TIA		