

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Introduction: The Case of <i>Maronier v. Larmer</i>	2
1.2	Background: Free Movement of Judgments in the European Union	3
1.3	Relevance	6
1.4	Aims of This Book	8
1.5	Structure	9
1.6	Case Law	10
	References	10
 <b>Part I Evolution of Free Movement of Civil Judgments in Europe and the Role of Fair Trial</b>		
<b>2</b>	<b>The Evolution of Free Movement of Civil Judgments in the European Union</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	Introduction	16
2.2	Free Movement of Civil Judgments in the EU: Historical and Political Background	17
2.2.1	Free Movement of Judgments and the Internal Market	17
2.2.2	The Introduction of Mutual Recognition	21
2.2.3	The Role of Mutual Recognition and Mutual Trust	27
2.2.4	Practical Arguments for Increased Free Movement	31
2.2.5	Concluding Remarks	36
2.3	Recognition and Enforcement Under Current EU Legislation	36
2.3.1	Features of Recognition and Enforcement in EU Civil Justice Cooperation	36
2.3.2	Grounds for Refusal	44
2.3.3	The Brussels I bis Regulation	48
2.3.4	Complete Free Movement of Judgments: The Maintenance Regulation	51

2.3.5	Automatic Enforcement with Minimum Standards Under the Brussels II bis Regulation . . . . .	52
2.3.6	Automatic Enforcement with Minimum Standards Under the European Enforcement Order . . . . .	55
2.3.7	Automatic Enforcement on the Basis of Common Rules in the Uniform Procedures . . . . .	56
2.3.8	Interim Conclusion: The Significance of the Reforms for Fundamental Rights Protection . . . . .	58
2.4	Conclusion . . . . .	59
	References . . . . .	59
<b>3</b>	<b>Protection of Fundamental Rights by EU Instruments on Free Movement of Civil Judgments . . . . .</b>	<b>63</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	64
3.2	The Role of the Public Policy Exception in the Protection of Fundamental Rights . . . . .	65
3.2.1	The Concept of Public Policy . . . . .	66
3.2.2	Public Policy and the European Convention on Human Rights . . . . .	68
3.2.3	The Inclusion of a Public Policy Exception in Instruments of EU Civil Procedure . . . . .	70
3.2.4	Substantive and Procedural Public Policy . . . . .	71
3.2.5	Interpretation by the Court of Justice of the European Union . . . . .	73
3.2.6	Application of the Public Policy Exception in European Union Instruments by Member State Courts . . .	79
3.2.7	Conclusion: The Value of the Public Policy Exception . . . .	87
3.3	The Role of Other Grounds for Refusal in the Protection of Fundamental Rights . . . . .	90
3.3.1	The Protection of the Defendant in Default Proceedings . . .	90
3.3.2	Special Jurisdiction (Article 35 Brussels I, 45(1)(e) Brussels I bis) . . . . .	93
3.3.3	Hearing of the Child (23(b) Brussels II bis) or Other Interested Person (23(d) Brussels II bis) . . . . .	95
3.4	The Protective Function of Control by the Member State Addressed . . . . .	96
3.4.1	The Value of a Cross-Border Check . . . . .	96
3.4.2	Replacement of Refusal Grounds with Minimum Standards . . . . .	98
3.4.3	Alternative Remedies: Appeal to the European Court of Human Rights . . . . .	101
3.4.4	Interim Conclusion . . . . .	102
3.5	Fundamental Rights Protection in the Absence of Refusal Grounds: The <i>Zarraga</i> Case . . . . .	103
3.6	Conclusion . . . . .	110
	References . . . . .	111

Conclusion to Part I

Part II The Framework for the Protection of the Right to a Fair Trial in Europe

4 The Right to a Fair Trial in Civil Cases . . . . . 123

4.1 Introduction . . . . . 124

4.2 The Right to a Fair Civil Trial in the European Treaties . . . . . 126

4.2.1 Article 6(1) of the European Convention on Human Rights . . . . . 126

4.2.2 EU Law and Article 47 of the EU Charter on Fundamental Rights. . . . . 129

4.2.3 Relationship and Interplay . . . . . 130

4.2.4 Structure, Internal Connections, and Interpretation . . . . . 131

4.3 The Right to a Fair Civil Trial in the Case Law of the European Courts. . . . . 133

4.3.1 Elements of the Right to a Fair Civil Trial . . . . . 133

4.3.2 The Right to a Fair Hearing . . . . . 136

4.3.3 Right to a Public Trial and Public Pronouncement of the Judgment . . . . . 153

4.3.4 The Right to Adjudication by an Impartial and Independent Tribunal. . . . . 155

4.3.5 The Right to a Trial Within a Reasonable Time . . . . . 157

4.3.6 The Right to Enforcement . . . . . 159

4.3.7 Consequences of a Failure to Observe the Right to a Fair Trial . . . . . 161

4.4 Theoretical Foundations for the Right to a Fair Trial in Civil Cases . . . . . 165

4.4.1 Introduction: The Need to Delve Further into the Right to a Fair Trial . . . . . 165

4.4.2 The Fair Civil Trial as a Means to an End . . . . . 166

4.4.3 The Fair Civil Trial as an End in Itself. . . . . 169

4.4.4 Is It Possible to Distinguish a ‘Core’ and a ‘Periphery’ Within Article 6(1)? . . . . . 172

4.5 Conclusion . . . . . 173

References . . . . . 173

5 Free Movement of Judgments and the European Convention on Human Rights. . . . . 177

5.1 Introduction . . . . . 178

5.2 The Scope of Application of *Pellegrini* . . . . . 179

5.2.1 Application of *Pellegrini* to Situations Where Both States are Party to the ECHR. . . . . 179

5.2.2 Application of *Pellegrini* to Situations Governed by EU Law . . . . . 182

5.3 A Different Frame of Reference for Intra-European Union Situations: The *Bosphorus* Test. . . . . 183



5.3.1	Review by the ECtHR of Matters Relating to EU Law Prior to <i>Bosphorus</i> .....	183
5.3.2	<i>Bosphorus</i> : Facts and Procedure .....	185
5.3.3	<i>Bosphorus</i> : Impact and Open Questions .....	188
5.4	Applying <i>Bosphorus</i> to Free Movement of Civil Judgments: The <i>Povse</i> Decision .....	191
5.4.1	<i>Povse</i> : Background to the Decision .....	192
5.4.2	General Comments .....	196
5.4.3	The Presumption of Equivalent Protection: Abstract or Concrete? .....	200
5.4.4	Equivalent Protection: The Importance of the Preliminary Ruling Mechanism .....	202
5.4.5	The Matter of Discretion and the Applicability of <i>Povse</i> to Regulations Which Contain Grounds for Refusal .....	208
5.4.6	A Manifest Deficiency? .....	215
5.5	The <i>Bosphorus</i> Doctrine After European Union Accession to the European Convention on Human Rights .....	221
5.6	Conclusion .....	223
5.6.1	Conclusions for Legislation .....	223
5.6.2	Conclusions for Enforcing Courts or Authorities .....	225
5.6.3	Final Remarks .....	226
	References .....	227
<b>6</b>	<b>Free Movement of Civil Judgments and European Union Fundamental Rights Law</b> .....	231
6.1	Introduction .....	232
6.2	Protection of Fundamental Rights in the European Union Legal Order .....	233
6.2.1	The Position of Fundamental Rights in EU Law .....	233
6.2.2	The Member States Courts' Task in the Protection of EU CFR Rights .....	236
6.2.3	The Role of the CJEU in the Protection of EU Fundamental Rights .....	239
6.2.4	Conclusion: The System of Fundamental Rights Protection in the EU .....	241
6.3	'Systemic Deficiencies' in Fundamental Rights Protection: <i>N.S. and M.E. and Others</i> .....	242
6.3.1	The Rule: Mutual Recognition Entails a Presumption that Fundamental Rights Are Protected .....	242
6.3.2	The Exception: Presumption Can Be Rebutted in Case of <i>Systemic Deficiencies</i> .....	244
6.3.3	Can This Exception Be Applied in the Field of Civil Procedure? .....	248

6.4 The Court of Justice of the European Union’s *Melloni* Judgment: Member State Fundamental Rights and Mutual Recognition . . . . . 253

6.4.1 The CJEU’s Judgment in *Melloni* . . . . . 254

6.4.2 Application of the *Melloni* Doctrine to EU Civil Procedure Law. . . . . 258

6.5 Conclusion . . . . . 266

References . . . . . 267

**Conclusion to Part II**

**Part III How to Effectively Protect Fair Trial in the Context of Free Movement of Civil Judgments**

**7 Towards an ‘Emergency Brake’ in EU Instruments on Free Movement of Civil Judgments . . . . . 279**

7.1 Introduction: The Need for an Emergency Brake . . . . . 280

7.2 The Nature of the Emergency Brake: Procedural Public Policy or Fair Trial . . . . . 283

7.2.1 Introduction . . . . . 283

7.2.2 The Right to a Fair Trial as a Fundamental Principle. . . . . 285

7.2.3 The Suitability of the Right to a Fair Trial as a Yardstick for Refusing Enforcement . . . . . 287

7.2.4 Are Procedural Public Policy and Fair Trial Identical in Scope? . . . . . 294

7.2.5 Substantive Public Policy and Fundamental Rights Other Than Fair Trial . . . . . 302

7.2.6 Interim Conclusion. . . . . 304

7.3 The Conflict Between Debtor’s and Creditor’s Rights. . . . . 307

7.3.1 Guidance of the ECtHR for Solving Conflicts. . . . . 308

7.3.2 Methods for Resolving Conflicts Between Fundamental Rights . . . . . 309

7.3.3 Whether the Exercise of the Right Is Made Utterly Impossible . . . . . 314

7.3.4 Whether Other Rights Are Also Implicated . . . . . 316

7.3.5 Cumulative Effect. . . . . 316

7.3.6 Other Factors to Consider. . . . . 317

7.3.7 Application to Conflicts Between Specific Elements of Fair Trial. . . . . 319

7.3.8 Conclusion: The Threshold for Refusing Recognition or Enforcement. . . . . 333

7.4 Protection of the Defaulting Defendant and the Special Jurisdiction Grounds . . . . . 334

7.5 The Need for an ‘Emergency Brake’ for Return and Access Orders . . . . . 336



7.5.1	Refusal of Enforcement of Return Orders . . . . .	336
7.5.2	Automatic Enforcement of Judgments Granting Rights of Access . . . . .	339
7.6	Conclusion . . . . .	340
	References . . . . .	341
<b>8</b>	<b>Facilitating Enforcement of Civil Judgments Across European Union Member States . . . . .</b>	<b>345</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	346
8.2	How to Organize Judgment Import in European Union Regulations . . . . .	348
8.2.1	Requirements That Can Be Derived from ECtHR Case Law . . . . .	349
8.2.2	Implications for the Design of the Procedure for Obtaining Permission for Enforcement . . . . .	352
8.2.3	Other Recommendations for the Design of an Effective Enforcement Procedure . . . . .	353
8.2.4	Assessment: How Should Judgment Import or Export Be Organized? . . . . .	355
8.2.5	Conclusion on Judgment Export in Brussels I bis . . . . .	360
8.3	The Maintenance Regulation . . . . .	360
8.4	The European Enforcement Order . . . . .	361
8.4.1	Service of the Document Instituting the Proceedings . . . . .	362
8.4.2	Impartiality of the Judge Who Issues the EEO Certificate. . . . .	368
8.4.3	Right to a Fair Hearing Before a Judgment Is Declared Enforceable . . . . .	374
8.4.4	The Right to Appeal (Non-)Certification . . . . .	375
8.4.5	Conclusion on the European Enforcement Order. . . . .	378
8.5	The Uniform European Procedures . . . . .	378
8.5.1	The European Order for Payment Procedure . . . . .	379
8.5.2	The European Small Claims Procedure . . . . .	383
8.5.3	The Uniform Procedures and the Need for Grounds for Refusal . . . . .	394
8.6	Enforcement of Return Orders and Access Rights Under Brussels II bis . . . . .	397
8.6.1	Introduction: The 1980 Hague Convention . . . . .	398
8.6.2	Reforms Achieved by the Brussels II bis Regulation and Controversy . . . . .	401
8.6.3	How Should Enforcement of Return Orders Be Organized? . . . . .	403
8.6.4	Conclusion: Cross-Border Enforcement of Return Order and Access Rights . . . . .	407
8.7	Conclusion . . . . .	408
	References . . . . .	409

**9 Conclusion** ..... 413

9.1 Introduction ..... 414

9.2 The Research ..... 415

9.2.1 Summary of the Findings ..... 415

9.2.2 Limitations of the Research ..... 418

9.3 Implications of the Findings and Recommendations ..... 419

9.3.1 Implications for Academic and Political Debate ..... 419

9.3.2 Implications for Legislation ..... 420

9.4 Avenues for Further Research ..... 422

9.4.1 Convergence of Civil Procedure ..... 422

9.4.2 Best Practices in the Application of EU  
Civil Procedure Law ..... 425

9.5 Final Remarks ..... 426

References ..... 426

**List of Cases** ..... 429

**Index** ..... 445