
CONTENTS

<i>List of Contributors</i>	xi
-----------------------------------	----

1. Introducing the Idea of Relative Authority	1
<i>Joana Mendes and Ingo Venzke</i>	
I. Authority in Global Governance	1
II. Towards Relative Authority: Comparative Institutional Assessments	7
III. Normative Traction: Towards a Framework for Assessment	11
A. Legitimacy Claims	11
B. The Separation of Powers: Dividing Governmental Functions	13
C. Limits of the Separation of Powers and its Potential	15
IV. Iterations in Supra- and International Practices	18
V. Relative Authority in European and International Law	22

Part I: Empirical and Normative Traction

2. Democratic Legitimacy and Executive Rule-making: Positive Political Theory in Comparative Public Law	29
<i>Susan Rose-Ackerman</i>	
I. Positive Political Theory	31
A. Presidential Systems	33
B. Parliamentary Systems	35
II. Judicial Review and the Democratic Legitimacy of Executive Rule-making: Four Cases	37
A. The United States	38
B. South Africa and Germany	39
i. Constitutional Checks on Rule-making Processes	40
a. South Africa	40
b. Germany	43
C. The European Union	46
III. Review for Democratic Legitimacy—Old Habits and New Challenges	48
3. In Search of a New Model of Checks and Balances for the EU: Beyond Separation of Powers	53
<i>Eoin Carolan and Deirdre Curtin</i>	
I. Introduction	53
II. Reconsidering the European Union's Institutional Balance	55

A.	Institutional Separation: Where are we Now?	55
B.	Institutional Separation: Where are we Going?	57
i.	The Broad Case for the Separation of Powers.....	57
ii.	The Claim to Effectiveness.....	58
iii.	The Claim to Legitimacy.....	60
C.	Separated Powers: Where should we Go?	63
D.	What Values Could a System of Separation Promote Within the EU?.....	63
III.	Autonomy and Accountability in the EU's System of Separated Powers	66
A.	The Impact of Informal Practices on Formal Autonomy	67
B.	The Impact of Informal Practices on Formal Checks	69
C.	The Potential of Informal Practices	72
IV.	A Procedural Model of Checks and Balances?	74
4.	Bolstering Authority by Enhancing Communication: How Checks and Balances and Feedback Loops can Strengthen the Authority of the European Court of Human Rights	77
	<i>Mikael Rask Madsen</i>	
I.	Theoretical Framework: Public Authority as Object of Empirical Inquiry	79
II.	Empirical Analysis: Instances of Controversy and Defiance of the ECtHR	82
III.	Pathways to Legal Diplomacy: The <i>Cyprus</i> Case.....	84
IV.	Head-On Collisions: Judicial Adaptations in France.....	87
V.	Irreconcilable Norms: Prison Votes and the Impossibility of Agreement.....	89
VI.	'Constitutional Politics': The Brighton Declaration and its Consequences	92
VII.	Strengthening Authority by Enhancing Communication and Participation	94
A.	Different Role for the Committee of Ministers.....	96
B.	Increased Participation before the Court	96
C.	Allowing for Appeals to the Grand Chamber.....	97
VIII.	Conclusion.....	98
5.	Authority Monism in International Organisations: A Historical Sketch	99
	<i>Jochen von Bernstorff</i>	
I.	The Authority Configuration in the First International Organisations.....	100
II.	The Evolution of the Authority-configuration in the Twentieth Century	106
III.	Conclusion—Controls through those 'Affected' by IO Policies.....	109

6. No Institution is an Island: Checks and Balances in Global Governance	115
<i>Andreas von Staden</i>	
I. Introduction	115
II. Types of Checks and Balances in Global Governance	118
A. Conceptualising 'Checks' and 'Balances'	118
B. Different Types of Checks and Balances	120
i. Horizontal versus Vertical Checks and Balances	120
ii. Intra- versus Inter-organisational Checks and Balances	121
iii. Specific versus General Checks and Balances	121
III. Examples of Select Types of Checks and Balances in Global Governance	121
A. Horizontal Checks and Balances in Global Governance	122
i. Intra-organisational Arrangements	122
ii. Inter-organisational Arrangements	126
B. Vertical Checks and Balances	130
i. Veto Power	131
ii. (Threatening) Exit	131
iii. Treaty Amendment and Renegotiation	132
iv. Non-compliance	133
v. Minimalist Compliance	134
IV. Explaining the Scarcity of Horizontal Checks and Balances beyond the State	134
A. Lack of Consequential Decision-making Authority	135
B. Lack of Incentives for Executives to Install Horizontal Checks	136
C. Different Characteristics of Principal	137
V. Conclusion	138

Part II: Iterations in Practice

7. The Role of the Court of Justice in Shaping the Institutional Balance in the EU	143
<i>Bruno De Witte</i>	
I. Introduction	143
II. Justification of Institutional Variation: The Treaties and Nothing But the Treaties	145
III. Drawing the Borderline between Legislative and Administrative Action	149
IV. Umpiring Disputes between the Political Institutions	151
V. Legitimising 'Creative' Institutional Practice	153
VI. Conclusion	156
8. Refining Relative Authority: The Judicial Branch in the New Separation of Powers	159
<i>Joseph Corkin</i>	
I. Conceptualising Law-making's Diffusion	161

II.	What of the Elected Hierarchy?	164
III.	Blending Horizontal and Vertical Accountabilities.....	168
IV.	The Role of the Judicial Branch	170
V.	As Operationalised by the Court of Justice	175
VI.	Concluding Remarks	180
9.	Judicial Review of EU Administrative Discretion: How Far Does the Separation of Powers Matter?.....	183
	<i>Dominique Ritleng</i>	
I.	Judicial Review of Administrative Discretion and Separation of Powers Between the Legislature and the Executive	188
A.	Before Lisbon: Administrative Discretion Equated with Legislative Discretion	190
B.	After Lisbon: Nothing New Under the Sun.....	196
i.	Incentive to Differentiated Judicial Review	196
ii.	Disappointment	198
C.	Should There Be Any Difference at All?	201
II.	Judicial Review of Administrative Discretion and Separation of Powers Between the Judge and the Administration	203
A.	General or Individual Acts and Judicial Review of Administrative Discretion	205
B.	Decision-maker, Enactment Procedures and Judicial Review of Administrative Discretion	208
i.	Delegated and Implementing Acts	209
ii.	Legal Acts of EU Agencies.....	211
iii.	Legal Acts of the European Central Bank	214
III.	Conclusion	215
10.	First or Second Best? Judicial Law-making in European Private Law.....	217
	<i>Chantal Mak</i>	
I.	European Courts in Times of Societal Change	217
II.	Institutional Constraints: Dividing Powers among Legislatures and Judiciaries.....	219
A.	Constitutionalism and Private Law in Europe.....	219
B.	Democratic Legitimacy in a Multi-level Order.....	221
C.	Input and Output Legitimacy.....	223
III.	Institutional Realism: Judicial Law-making in European Private Law	225
A.	On Spanish Mortgages	225
B.	<i>Aziz</i>	226
C.	<i>Sánchez Morcillo</i>	229
D.	<i>Unicaja Banco</i> and <i>Caixabank</i>	230
E.	Social Reality and Democratic Ideals	231
IV.	Institutional Imagination: Rethinking the Role of the Judiciary	231

A.	Democracy and European Private Law Adjudication	231
B.	Democratic Experimentalism.....	234
C.	Experiments in European Private Law.....	235
D.	First or Second Best?	237
V.	Realists and Visionaries.....	240
11.	Relative Authority in Global and EU Financial Regulation: Linking the Legitimacy Debates.....	241
	<i>Maurizia De Bellis</i>	
I.	Global Regulation, the EU, and the New Separation of Powers	241
II.	A Preliminary Caveat: Global Financial Standards between Soft and Hard Law.....	245
III.	The Fragmentation of Powers in the Global Financial Regulatory Architecture	247
A.	The Evolution of Global Financial Regulators and the Separation of Powers before the Crisis	247
B.	Concentrating Powers: The FSB as the Centre of Global Financial Governance	249
C.	The Limits of Concentration: Assessing the G20 Role Vis-à-vis the FSB	252
IV.	The Distribution of Powers in the EU Financial Regulatory Architecture	254
A.	The ESFS, the EBU, and the Division of Regulation, Supervision, and Resolution.....	255
B.	The Blurring Division of Competences	257
C.	How 'Relative' is EU Authority in Financial Matters? The Impact of Global Standards on EU Regulation.....	258
V.	Global Financial Governance and Legitimacy Concerns.....	260
A.	The Limits to a Clear Articulation of Competences.....	261
B.	The Legitimacy of Global Financial Regulation: Perspectives and Research Agenda	263
VI.	The EU Financial Regulatory Architecture and the Global Financial Architecture: Source of Inspiration or Part of the Solution?	265
A.	Lessons from the EU Architecture for the Global One?	265
B.	EU Participation in Global Regulation: Addressing the Legitimacy Deficit of EU Agencies' Relative Authority	267
VII.	Concluding Remarks.....	269
12.	Relative Authority and Institutional Decision-making in World Trade Law and International Investment Law.....	271
	<i>Diane A Desierto</i>	
I.	Public Authority in Trade and Investment Rule-making.....	271
II.	Trade and Investment Rules, Decisions, and Authoritative Decision-makers.....	274

III. Legitimacy Assets, Accountability, and Reform in Trade and Investment	282
A. Internal Checking Functions and External Constraints on Trade Rule-making	284
B. Internal Checking Functions and External Constraints on Investment Rule-making	286
IV. Conclusion	290
<i>Index</i>	291