

CONTENTS

Foreword	xii
Geert Hofstede	
Introduction	1
PART I: UNDERSTANDING "CULTURE"	7
1. The Concept of Culture	9
1.1. The "Unpackaging" of Culture	10
1.2. Meaning of the Word <i>Culture</i> and Definitions of the Concept	10
1.3. Culture <i>As Is</i> Versus Culture <i>As It Would Be</i>	12
1.4. Classifications of the Concepts of Culture	13
1.4.1. Subjective Culture: Mental Software	13
1.4.2. Objective Culture: Institutions and Artifacts	14
1.4.3. Culture as a System of Behaviors	14
1.4.4. Culture as a Set of Meanings	14
1.4.5. Culture as an Independently Existing Phenomenon	15
1.4.6. Culture as a Subjective Human Construct	16
1.5. Conclusions About the Conceptualization of Culture	16
2. Main Characteristics of Culture	19
2.1. Sharedness	20



Los Angeles | London |
Singapore | Washington DC

FOR INFORMATION

SAGE Publications, Inc.

2455 Teller Road

Thousand Oaks, California 91320

E-mail: order@sagepub.com

SAGE Publications

1 Oliver's Yard

55 City Road

London, EC1Y 1SP

United Kingdom

SAGE Publications India PVT. LTD.

B-11/1 Mahan Cooperative Industrial Area

Mahabub Road, New Delhi 110 044

India

SAGE Publications Asia-Pacific Pte. Ltd.

8 Church Street

#10-01 Samsung Hub

Singapore 049403

ix

i

10

10

12

13

13

14

14

14

12

16

16

19

19

20

2.2. Normalcy	22
2.3. Integration, Functionality, Rationality, and Logic	22
2.4. Stability and Changeability	23
2.5. Transmittability	24
2.6. Complexity	24
2.6.1. National Culture	25
2.6.2. National Culture Versus Organizational Culture	27
2.6.3. National Culture Versus Religious Denomination Culture	28
2.7. Diffuseness	29
2.8. Uncontrollability	31
2.9. Predictability	32
3. The Elements of Culture	38
3.1. Particular Elements of Culture	39
3.2. Universal Elements of Culture	40
3.2.1. Self-Reports	40
3.2.1.1. Values	40
3.2.1.2. Norms and Ideologies	42
3.2.1.3. Values for Children	44
3.2.1.4. Beliefs	44
3.2.1.5. Behavioral Intentions	45
3.2.1.6. Self-Reported Behaviors	45
3.2.1.7. Attitudes	45
3.2.1.8. Self-Descriptions	45
3.2.2. Reports of Impressions of Others	46
3.2.2.1. Peer Reports	46
3.2.2.2. Idealistic Reports	46
3.2.2.3. Stereotypes	46
3.2.3. Mental Skills and Knowledge	51
3.2.3.1. General Intelligence and Related Domains	51
3.2.3.2. Perception Characteristics	52
3.2.4. Cognitive Patterns	52
3.2.5. Observable Behaviors	52
3.2.5.1. Direct Observation of Behaviors	52
3.2.5.2. National Statistics	53
3.2.6. Statistical Products	53
3.2.7. What Else Can Be Studied by Cross-Cultural Analysts?	54
PART II: STUDYING CULTURE	59
4. Types of Cross-Cultural Studies: Merits and Pitfalls	61
4.1. Studies of Culture Versus Studies of Something Else	62
4.2. Qualitative Versus Quantitative Studies	62
4.3. Idiographic Versus Nomothetic Studies	62
4.4. Insiders' Versus Outsiders' Studies	63

4.5. Studies Comparing Variables Versus Studies Comparing Cases	64
4.6. Structure-Oriented Versus Level-Oriented Studies	65
4.7. Synchronic Versus Diachronic (or Longitudinal) Studies	65
4.8. Deductive Versus Inductive Studies	66
4.9. Paper-and-Pencil Versus Observational Studies	66
4.10. Studies Using Primary Data Versus Studies Using Secondary Data	67
4.11. Studies Across Individuals First Versus Studies Directly Across Societies	68
5. Theoretical Versus Empirical Perspectives	72
5.1. Theory Before Empiricism	73
5.2. Empiricism Before Theory	75
5.3. The Goal of Culturology and the Other Social Sciences: Theory or Empiricism?	78
5.4. Defining Constructs Empirically	79
5.4.1. A Note on Operationalism as a Method of Defining Constructs in Culturology or Other Domains	79
5.5. A Search for Truth Versus a Search for What Works	80
6. Cross-Cultural Comparability	84
6.1. Etic Versus Emic Approaches	85
6.2. Incomparable Phenomena	87
6.3. Criteria for the Cross-Cultural Transferability of Etic Individual-Level Constructs and the Instruments for Their Measurement	87
6.4. Criteria for the Applicability of Etic Approaches to Studies at the Societal Level	89
6.5. Are Etic Tests Preferable to Emic Ones?	90
7. Paper-and-Pencil Studies	93
7.1. Selecting Samples of Respondents: Nationally Representative Samples Versus Matched Samples	94
7.2. Types of Items in Noncognitive Paper-and-Pencil Studies	95
7.2.1. Likert Scales	96
7.2.2. Free-Choice Items	96
7.2.3. Forced-Choice Items	96
7.2.4. Issues Associated With Likert Scales	97
7.2.4.1. The Reference Group Effect	97
7.2.4.2. Potential Meanings of Some Positions on a Likert Scale in Cross-Cultural Analysis	98
7.2.4.3. Extracting Societal Information From Items on a Likert Scale	98
7.2.4.4. Response Style	99
7.2.4.4.1. Detection of Response Style	100
7.2.4.4.2. Treatment of Response Style: Undesirable Bias or Normal Style?	101

4.5. Studies Comparing Variables Versus Studies Comparing Cases	64
4.6. Structure-Oriented Versus Level-Oriented Studies	65
4.7. Synchronic Versus Diachronic (or Longitudinal) Studies	65
4.8. Deductive Versus Inductive Studies	66
4.9. Paper-and-Pencil Versus Observational Studies	66
4.10. Studies Using Primary Data Versus Studies Using Secondary Data	67
4.11. Studies Across Individuals First Versus Studies Directly Across Societies	68
5. Theoretical Versus Empirical Perspectives	72
5.1. Theory Before Empiricism	73
5.2. Empiricism Before Theory	75
5.3. The Goal of Culturology and the Other Social Sciences: Theory or Empiricism?	78
5.4. Defining Constructs Empirically	79
5.4.1. A Note on Operationalism as a Method of Defining Constructs in Culturology or Other Domains	79
5.5. A Search for Truth Versus a Search for What Works	80
6. Cross-Cultural Comparability	84
6.1. Etic Versus Emic Approaches	85
6.2. Incomparable Phenomena	87
6.3. Criteria for the Cross-Cultural Transferability of Etic Individual-Level Constructs and the Instruments for Their Measurement	87
6.4. Criteria for the Applicability of Etic Approaches to Studies at the Societal Level	89
6.5. Are Etic Tests Preferable to Emic Ones?	90
7. Paper-and-Pencil Studies	93
7.1. Selecting Samples of Respondents: Nationally Representative Samples Versus Matched Samples	94
7.2. Types of Items in Noncognitive Paper-and-Pencil Studies	95
7.2.1. Likert Scales	96
7.2.2. Free-Choice Items	96
7.2.3. Forced-Choice Items	96
7.2.4. Issues Associated With Likert Scales	97
7.2.4.1. The Reference Group Effect	97
7.2.4.2. Potential Meanings of Some Positions on a Likert Scale in Cross-Cultural Analysis	98
7.2.4.3. Extracting Societal Information From Items on a Likert Scale	98
7.2.4.4. Response Style	99
7.2.4.4.1. Detection of Response Style	100
7.2.4.4.2. Treatment of Response Style: Undesirable Bias or Normal Style?	101

7.2.4.4.3. Causes of Response Style: The Number of Points on a Likert Scale	102
7.2.4.4.4. Causes of Response Style: The Language of the Questionnaire	103
7.2.4.4.5. Causes of Response Style: The Role of Culture	103
7.2.4.4.6. Causes of Response Style: The Nature of the Items	103
7.2.4.4.7. Causes of Response Style: The Role of Intelligence and Education	104
7.2.4.4.8. Conclusions About the Causes of Response Style	104
7.2.4.4.9. Dealing With Response Style Before the Study: Choice of Items and Scales	104
7.2.4.4.10. Dealing With Response Style After the Study: Standardization of Scores	105
7.2.5. Issues Associated With Forced-Choice Items	108
7.2.6. Issues Associated With Free-Choice Items	108
7.3. Other Issues That Can Affect Data for Cross-Cultural Research	109
7.3.1. Poverty	109
7.3.2. Distance From the Researcher	109
7.3.3. Social Desirability	109
7.3.4. Taboos	110
7.3.5. Intelligibility Problems	110
7.3.6. Semantic Differences	111
7.3.7. Political Factors	112
7.4. Test-Retest Reliability of Paper-and-Pencil Studies at the National Level and Other Statistics	113
7.5. Face Validity	113
7.6. Common Method Variance and Validation	114
8. Data Analysis	123
8.1. Sample Issues	124
8.1.1. Selection of an Appropriate Sample of Societies	124
8.1.2. Galton's Problem	126
8.1.3. Missing Data Bias	127
8.2. Dimensions of Culture	127
8.2.1. The Utility of the Dimension Paradigm in Cross-Cultural Research	128
8.2.2. The Nature of Cultural and Other Dimensions	129
8.2.3. Why Dimensions Are Subjective Human Constructs	131
8.2.3.1. Subjective Selection of Samples for the Construction of Dimensions	131

8.2.3.2. Subjective Selection of Items for the Construction of Dimensions	131
8.2.3.3. Subjective Selection of the Number of Dimensions	131
8.2.3.4. Subjective Selection of the Nature of the Dimensions	132
8.2.4. Individual and Ecological Dimensions: Different Levels and Units of Analysis	132
8.2.5. Polarity	134
8.2.6. Different Versions of the Same Ecological Dimension?	135
8.2.7. Dimensions and Polythetic Classes	136
8.2.8. Data Reduction	136
8.2.8.1. Agreement and Aggregation	136
8.2.8.2. Correlations and Scales	137
8.2.8.3. Scale Reliability	139
8.2.8.4. Multidimensional Scaling	139
8.2.8.4.1. Plotting Variables on an MDS Map	140
8.2.8.4.2. Identifying Cultural Dimensions on an MDS Map	140
8.2.8.4.3. Plotting Cases on an MDS Map and Calculating Case Coordinates	144
8.2.8.4.4. Using MDS for Identifying Typologies	146
8.2.8.4.5. Issues Related to Multidimensional Scaling as a Data Reduction Technique	149
8.2.8.5. Factor Analysis	149
8.2.8.5.1. Calculation of Factor Scores	153
8.2.8.5.2. Issues Related to Factor Analysis as a Data Reduction Method	154
8.2.8.5.3. Factor Analysis as a Scale Reliability Test	156
8.2.9. How Do We Know That We Have Constructed Appropriate Dimensions?	157
8.2.10. Constructing Individual and Ecological Dimensions	164
8.3. Clustering	166
8.4. Looking for Cause-and-Effect Relationships	171
8.4.1. The Consilience Approach	172
8.4.2. Contextual Consilience	172
8.4.3. Methodological Consilience	172
8.4.4. Predictive Consilience	173
8.4.5. Exclusive Consilience	173
8.4.6. The Issue of Time Sequence	173
8.4.7. Looking for Noncultural Variables That May Be Determinants of Culture	173
8.4.8. Multiple Regression Analysis	174
8.4.8.1. Divergent Results From Different Types of MRA	175
8.4.8.2. The Excluded Variables	175

8.4.8.3. Issues Related to Samples	175
8.4.8.4. Issues Related to the Independent Variables	176
8.4.8.5. An Example of an MRA	177

PART III: MAJOR CROSS-CULTURAL STUDIES 197

9. Cultural Dimensions Across Modern Nations	199
9.1. Geert Hofstede (1980, 2001): A Study of Values, Beliefs, and Norms Across the IBM Corporation <i>Geert Hofstede</i>	201
9.2. Chinese Culture Connection (1987): A Study of National Values Based on a Chinese Questionnaire	217
9.3. Shalom Schwartz (1994): A Study of the Values of Schoolteachers and University Students	224
9.4. Peter Smith, Fons Trompenaars, and Shaun Dugan (1995): A Study of Locus of Control	232
9.5. Peter Smith, Shaun Dugan, and Fons Trompenaars (1996): A Study of the Values and Beliefs of Organizational Employees	238
9.6. Robert Levine and Ara Norenzayan (1999): A Study of the Pace of Life	246
9.7. Robert Levine, Ara Norenzayan, and Karen Philbrick (2001): A Study of Helping Strangers	251
9.8. Ashleigh Merritt (2000): An Attempt to Replicate Hofstede's Four Dimensions	255
9.9. Ronald Inglehart and Wayne Baker (2000): An Analysis of the World Values Survey	261
9.10. Ulrich Schimmack, Shigeiro Oishi, and Ed Diener (2002): A Study of Personal Emotional Dialecticism and Frequencies of Pleasant and Unpleasant Emotions	268
9.11. Peter Smith, Mark Peterson, and Shalom Schwartz (2002): A Study of Managers' Sources of Guidance	272
9.12. Evert van de Vliert and Onne Janssen (2002): A Study of Performance Motives	279
9.13. Robert McCrae (2002): A Comparison of Mean National and Ethnic Personality Traits (Self-Reports)	284
9.14. Robert McCrae and Antonio Terracciano (2005): A Study of Mean National or Ethnic Personality Traits (Peer Reports)	291
9.15. David Schmitt, Juri Allik, Robert McCrae, and Veronica Benet-Martinez (2007): A Study of the Geographic Distribution of the Big Five Personality Traits (Self-Reports)	297
9.16. Michael Bond, Kwok Leung, and Associates (2004): A Study of Social Axioms	305

9.17. Project GLOBE (2004): A Study of National Stereotypes and Ideologies	310
9.18. Project GLOBE (2004): A Study of Culturally Endorsed Leadership Profiles	330
9.19. Eva Green, Jean-Claude Deschamps, and Dario Paez (2005): A Study of Beliefs and Values	337
9.20. David Schmitt (2005): A Study of Sociosexuality	341
9.21. Peter Kuppens, Eva Ceulemans, Marieke Timmerman, Ed Diener, and Chu Kim-Prieto (2006): A Study of Positive and Negative Emotions	345
9.22. Christian Welzel (2010): An Analysis of the World Values Survey	350
9.23. Michael Minkov (2009a): A Study of Social Polarization in Social Opinions and Life-Quality Judgments	358
9.24. Michael Minkov (2011): A Study of Values Related to National Economic Growth and Educational Achievement	364
9.25. Michael Minkov (2011): A Study of National Homicide Rates and Their Correlates	377
9.26. Michael Minkov and Geert Hofstede (2012a): An Analysis of the World Values Survey Replicating Two Dimensions of the Chinese Values Survey	390
9.27. Geert Hofstede, Bram Neuijen, Denise Daval Ohayv, and Geert Sanders (1990): A Study of Organizational Cultures Across 20 Danish and Dutch Organization Units	397
<i>Geert Hofstede</i>	

PART IV: A SUMMARY OF THE OBSERVED CULTURAL DIFFERENCES ACROSS THE GLOBE

407

10. Cultural Differences Between Rich and Developing Countries	409
11. Cultural Differences Across Rich Countries	417
12. Cultural Differences Between Eastern Europe and Latin America	420
13. Cultural Differences Between East Asia and the Arab World	425
14. Cultural Differences Between the Arab World and Sub-Saharan Africa	430
Appendix	434
References	442
Index	470
About the Author	481