

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xvii

Introduction

Johannes KEILER and David ROEF	1
1. The general purpose of the book	1
2. A comparative approach	2
3. The three penal systems	4
4. The changing contours of criminal law	6
5. The structure of the book	7

Chapter I. Theories of Punishment

Jacques CLAESSEN	11
1. Introduction	11
2. The concept of punishment	13
3. The influence of the Enlightenment on theories of punishment	16
3.1. Philosophy of criminal law during the Enlightenment	16
3.2. Enlightenment views on mankind and the world	17
3.3. The Enlightenment as a dialectic process	18
4. Different theories of punishment	19
4.1. Retributive theories of punishment	19
4.1.1. Who should be punished?	19
4.1.2. Why should one be punished?	20
4.1.3. How severely should one be punished?	20
4.1.4. Possible criticism of retributive theories of punishment	21
4.2. Utilitarian or consequentialist theories of punishment	22
4.2.1. Who should be punished?	22
4.2.2. Why should one be punished?	23
4.2.3. How severely should one be punished?	23
4.2.4. Possible criticism of utilitarian theories of punishment	24
4.3. Hybrid or mixed theories of punishment	25
4.3.1. Two types of mixed theories	25
4.3.2. Possible criticism of mixed theories	25

5.	Goals of punishment in criminal politics: World War II onwards	26
5.1.	Penal welfarism: focus on resocialisation and re-integration of offenders (1945–1975)	26
5.2.	‘Nothing works’ and the re-invention of retribution and the prison (1975–1985)	27
5.3.	Crime fighting, risk management and ‘negative’ prevention (1985–2001)	28
5.4.	Criminal law as a primary instrument of security politics (2001–present)	29
5.5.	The return of the victim in criminal law and the revival of restorative justice.	31
6.	Concluding remarks.	34

Chapter II. Principles of Criminalisation and the Limits of Criminal Law

	Johannes KEILER and David ROEF	35
1.	Introduction	35
2.	Criminalisation and shifting criminal policies	37
2.1.	From welfare state to risk society	37
2.2.	From penal welfarism to a culture of control	39
2.3.	Some consequences for criminalisation.	42
3.	The principle of individual autonomy.	44
4.	The principle of welfare	46
5.	The harm principle.	48
5.1.	An exclusionist versus an inclusionist version of the harm principle.	49
5.2.	Harm prevention versus harmful conduct	52
5.3.	What counts as harm?	54
5.4.	The role of wrongfulness	55
5.5.	Public wrongs	58
6.	Criminalising violations of morality: legal moralism	60
7.	Criminalising remote harms	63
8.	Protecting legal goods (<i>Rechtsgüter</i>)	66
8.1.	The conceptual difference from the harm principle.	67
8.2.	The goals and function of the doctrine of legal goods.	68
8.3.	Problems of the theory of legal goods	69
9.	Criminalisation and human rights	74
10.	The ultima ratio principle: criminalisation as the last resort	76
10.1.	Why should criminal law be the last resort?	77
10.2.	Ultima ratio and the functions of criminal law	80
11.	Concluding remarks.	82

Chapter III. The Principle of Legality

Christina PERISTERIDOU	85
1. Introduction	85
2. The four aspects of the legality principle	86
2.1. <i>Lex scripta</i>	86
2.2. <i>Lex certa</i>	87
2.3. <i>Lex stricta</i>	87
2.4. <i>Lex praevia</i>	88
3. The rationale of the principle of legality	89
3.1. Historical roots	89
3.2. Individual liberty, autonomy and human dignity	90
3.3. Rule of Law	91
3.4. Separation of powers	92
4. The legality principle in the national systems	93
4.1. A comparative view of the legality principle	93
4.1.1. The traditional difference	93
4.1.2. A convergence of traditions?	94
4.1.3. Application of <i>lex certa</i>	96
4.2. The influence of the ECtHR on national systems	97
5. Modern challenges: two judgments	99
5.1. The German Constitutional Court and the definition of coercion	99
5.2. The ECtHR and the definition of rape	101
5.3. What we can learn from both cases: a comparative analysis	102
6. Concluding remarks	104

Chapter IV. Actus Reus and Mens Rea: The Elements of Crime and the Framework of Criminal Liability

Johannes KEILER	107
1. Introduction	107
2. Actus reus and mens rea	109
2.1. Actus reus	109
2.2. Mens rea	112
3. The framework of criminal liability: the bipartite and tripartite system	114
4. Concluding remarks	119

Chapter V. Commission versus Omission

Johannes KEILER	121
1. Introduction	121

2.	Offences of commission: the theory of conduct and the baseline of criminal liability.	121
2.1.	Different theories of conduct.	122
2.1.1.	The causal theory of action, or the ghost in the machine.	122
2.1.2.	The teleological theory of action: human conduct is 'seeing', not blind.	124
2.1.3.	The social theory of action: the context in which the act occurs is significant.	124
2.2.	The conduct requirement in practice.	125
2.2.1.	Loss of physical control.	127
2.2.2.	Impaired consciousness.	129
2.2.3.	The pitfalls of the conduct requirement.	130
2.3.	Concluding remarks regarding the conduct requirement.	132
3.	Omission liability.	133
3.1.	Introduction.	133
3.2.	Statutory duties of care (or proper crimes of omission).	134
3.3.	Commission by omission (or improper crimes of omission).	135
3.3.1.	Duties of care in modern criminal law.	136
3.3.2.	Commission by omission: a short comparative overview.	138
3.3.2.1.	England.	138
3.3.2.2.	The Netherlands.	139
3.3.2.3.	Germany.	139
3.3.3.	The different categories of duties of care.	140
3.3.3.1.	Duties based on a special relationship to the victim.	140
3.3.3.2.	Undertaken duties.	143
3.3.3.3.	Duties based on specific qualities of the offender.	145
3.3.3.4.	Duties based on ownership of or responsibility for a source of danger.	148
3.3.3.5.	Duties based on the creation of a dangerous situation.	150
4.	Concluding remarks.	151

Chapter VI. Causation

	Johannes KEILER.	153
1.	Introduction.	153
2.	The field of application of causation.	154
3.	Approaches to causation.	155
3.1.	The <i>conditio sine qua non</i>	156
3.2.	The theory of proximate cause.	157
3.3.	The theory of adequate causation.	158

3.4. Other factors bearing on causation.	158
3.4.1. The role of the underlying offence.	159
3.4.2. The role of criminal policy and blameworthiness	159
4. Causality compared	160
4.1. Contributory causes	160
4.2. Intervening causes	164
4.2.1. Naturally occurring interventions	164
4.2.2. Conduct of the victim breaking the chain of causation	165
4.2.3. Medical interventions	170
4.3. Causal uncertainties in the modern risk society	172
5. Concluding remarks.	175

Chapter VII. Forms and Aspects of Mens Rea

Jeroen BLOMSMA and David ROEF	177
1. Introduction	177
2. Different fault elements compared.	178
2.1. Direct intent or <i>dolus directus</i>	179
2.2. Indirect intent or <i>dolus indirectus</i>	180
2.3. Conditional intent or <i>dolus eventualis</i>	182
2.3.1. Meaning and rationale	182
2.3.2. Awareness of a risk.	183
2.3.3. Acceptance of the risk.	186
2.4. Recklessness	189
2.4.1. A subjective test	190
2.4.1.1. <i>Caldwell</i> recklessness.	190
2.4.1.2. <i>R v G</i> recklessness.	191
2.4.2. An unreasonable risk.	192
2.4.3. Recklessness in HIV cases	193
2.5. Negligence or <i>culpa</i>	195
2.5.1. Conscious negligence	196
2.5.2. Unconscious negligence	197
3. Demarcation from premeditation and motive.	200
4. Strict liability.	202
5. Concluding remarks.	204

Chapter VIII. Justifications and Excuses

Jeroen BLOMSMA and David ROEF	207
1. Introduction	207
2. Rationale of the dichotomy.	208
2.1. Communicative difference.	208
2.2. Personal and universal application.	209

3.	Self-defence	210
3.1.	Rationales	210
3.2.	Criteria.....	211
3.2.1.	Interrelationship of the criteria	212
3.2.2.	Wrongful and imminent attack.....	212
3.2.3.	Legitimate interests	214
3.2.4.	Necessity	215
3.2.5.	Proportionality.....	216
3.2.6.	The 'reasonableness' requirement in England.....	218
4.	Self-defence-excess.....	221
4.1.	Intensive and extensive excess.....	222
4.2.	State of mind caused by the attack	223
4.3.	Partial defences in England	224
4.3.1.	Loss of control	224
4.3.2.	Diminished responsibility	225
5.	Necessity	226
5.1.	Rationale and history	227
5.2.	Criteria.....	228
5.2.1.	Imminent danger of legitimate interest	228
5.2.2.	Subsidiarity.....	230
5.2.3.	Proportionality.....	231
6.	Duress.....	234
6.1.	Rationale	235
6.2.	Criteria.....	235
6.2.1.	Legitimate interests	236
6.2.2.	Imminent danger.....	236
6.2.3.	Subsidiarity.....	237
6.2.4.	Proportionality.....	238
7.	Insanity	240
7.1.	Rationale	240
7.2.	Criteria.....	241
7.2.1.	Mental disorder	241
7.2.2.	Impaired capacities	243
7.2.3.	Attribution and prior fault.....	244
7.3.	Diminished capacity	245
8.	Intoxication	246
8.1.	Effects on fault (mens rea)	246
8.2.	Intoxication as an excuse	248
9.	Concluding remarks.....	250

Chapter IX. Inchoate Offences: Attempt and Preparation

Johannes KEILER and David ROEF	253
1. Introduction	253
2. Two patterns of criminality: two ways of looking at inchoate offences.....	254
3. Preparation	255
3.1. Attempt versus preparation	255
3.2. Offences criminalising specific preparatory conduct	256
3.3. Conspiracy	256
3.4. The Serious Crime Act 2007.....	257
3.5. Preparation as a general doctrine: the Dutch example	258
3.5.1. The history and rationale of Article 46 DCC.....	258
3.5.2. The actus reus of preparatory acts.....	259
3.5.3. The mens rea of preparatory acts.....	263
4. Attempt	263
4.1. Introduction	263
4.2. Different rationales for punishing attempts	264
4.3. An overview of the legal provisions on attempt	265
4.3.1. Germany	265
4.3.2. The Netherlands.....	266
4.3.3. England and Wales	267
4.4. The actus reus of attempt: drawing the line between attempt and preparation	267
4.4.1. The German approach.....	267
4.4.2. The Dutch approach	269
4.4.3. The English approach	270
4.5. The mens rea of attempt	272
4.5.1. The fault element in the Netherlands and Germany	272
4.5.2. The English fault element	273
4.6. Impossible attempts.....	274
4.6.1. Impossibility in the Netherlands: an objective approach ...	275
4.6.2. Impossibility in England and Wales: a subjective approach	275
4.6.3. Impossibility in Germany: a mixed approach.....	277
4.7. Voluntary withdrawal.....	278
4.7.1. The common law versus civil law approach.....	278
4.7.2. Preconditions for withdrawal: the need for an (in)complete attempt	279
4.7.3. The voluntariness requirement	281
5. Concluding remarks.....	283

Chapter X. Forms of Participation

Johannes KEILER	285
1. Introduction	285
2. Terminology and some conceptual challenges	287
2.1. Terminology	287
2.2. The equivalence of perpetrators and accomplices	287
2.3. The derivative nature of complicity	288
2.4. Mens rea and deviations from the original plan.	290
3. Participation in Germany	290
3.1. Introduction	290
3.2. Distinguishing perpetrators from accomplices	292
3.3. Direct perpetration	293
3.4. Perpetration by means	293
3.5. Co-perpetration	295
3.5.1. General requirements	295
3.5.2. Deviation from the common plan.	296
3.6. Forms of complicity: instigation and aiding	298
3.6.1. General requirements	298
3.6.2. Instigation	299
3.6.3. Aiding	301
4. Participation in the Netherlands	302
4.1. Introduction	302
4.2. Direct perpetration: from a restrictive to a functional approach	303
4.3. Co-perpetration	305
4.3.1. Conscious, complete and close cooperation	305
4.3.2. Is physical presence necessary?	306
4.3.3. Is physical presence sufficient?	307
4.3.4. The Supreme Court gives an overview	309
4.3.5. Deviation from the common plan.	310
4.4. Perpetration by means	312
4.5. Instigation	312
4.5.1. Means of instigation	313
4.5.2. Mens rea of instigation	314
4.5.3. Deviation from the instigator's plan.	314
4.5.4. Attempt to instigate	314
4.6. Aiding	315
5. Participation in England and Wales	317
5.1. Introduction	317
5.2. Perpetration	317
5.3. Perpetration through innocent agency	318
5.4. Accomplice liability	319

5.4.1. The actus reus of accomplice liability	319
5.4.1.1. Aiding	319
5.4.1.2. Abetting	320
5.4.1.3. Counselling	321
5.4.1.4. Procuring	321
5.4.2. The mens rea of accomplice liability	322
5.4.3. The rise and fall of parasitic accessorial liability/ the doctrine of joint criminal enterprise	325
6. Concluding remarks	328

Chapter XI. Corporate Criminal Liability

David ROEF	333
1. Introduction	333
2. Approaches to corporate wrongdoing: a conceptual overview	335
2.1. The nominalist approach	336
2.2. The organisational approach	337
2.3. The alternative approach of administrative liability	339
2.4. Concurring liability of individuals	340
3. Corporate liability in England and Wales	341
3.1. The doctrine of vicarious liability	342
3.2. Qualified vicarious liability: the Bribery Act 2010 and the Criminal Finance Act 2017	344
3.3. The identification doctrine	346
3.3.1. The directing mind and will	347
3.3.2. Shortcomings of the identification doctrine	349
3.4. The Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Act 2007	352
3.4.1. The relevant duty of care	353
3.4.2. The role of senior management	354
4. Corporate liability in the Netherlands	357
4.1. Corporate (and individual) liability pursuant to Article 51 DCC	357
4.2. The attribution criteria developed by the Supreme Court	359
4.3. Attribution of mens rea to corporations	363
5. Administrative corporate liability in Germany	365
5.1. Corporate liability according to §30 of the Administrative Offences Act	365
5.2. Breach of a duty to take supervisory measures	368
6. Corporate liability in European legal instruments	370
7. Concluding remarks	372

<i>About the Authors</i>	375
--------------------------------	-----