# Contents

Acknowledgments	xi
-----------------	----

#### Introduction I

PART	ONE	FOUNDATIONS
PARI	ONL	1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1

- I. Criminal Law Basics and the Willful Ignorance Doctrine 7
  - I. Criminal Law Basics 7
  - II. The Willful Ignorance Doctrine and Its Normative Basis 11
    - A. Knowledge Crimes and Inculpatory Propositions 11
    - B. The Willful Ignorance Doctrine 12
    - C. A Brief History of the Willful Ignorance Doctrine 13
    - D. Introducing the Equal Culpability Thesis 15
  - III. The Basic Notion of Willful Ignorance 17
  - IV. Clarifying the Equal Culpability Thesis 21
  - V. The Questions to Be Answered 23

# 2. What Is Criminal Culpability? 27

- I. Why Manifestation Is Essential 32
- II. Explaining Manifestation Is Harder than You Might Think 34
  - A. The Pure Causal Approach 34
  - B. The Epistemic Approach 40
- III. Toward a Better Theory of Criminal Culpability 42
  - A. Two Notions of Criminal Culpability 44
  - B. Necessary Condition: Inadequate Repulsion from Criminality Must Be a Cause of Your Act 46
  - C. How Much Insufficient Regard Is Manifested? 50
  - D. Objections 58

E.	Further Benefits: Defer	nding the Culpability Hierarchy	7 60
F.	Concluding Remarks	63	

- IV. Moving to Normative Culpability: Culpability vs. Moral Blameworthiness
- V. Normative Arguments for a Lenity Approach to Manifestation
  - A. Legislature-Facing Considerations 67
  - B. Citizen-Facing Considerations
- VI. Clarifying the Theory: The Proper Role of Motives
  - A. Institutional Design Arguments for the Motive-Free Approach
  - B. The Pro-Defendant Argument
  - C. Summing Up

### PART TWO | FROM WILLFUL IGNORANCE TO A THEORY OF EQUAL CULPABILITY IMPUTATION

- 3. The Scope of the Willful Ignorance Doctrine (I)
  - I. The Unrestricted Equal Culpability Thesis
    - A. The Challenge for the Equal Culpability Thesis
    - B. Positive Arguments against UECT
  - II. First Restriction: Husak and Callender's Restricted-Motive Approach
  - III. Second Restriction: The Counterfactual Approach of Luban and Michaels
  - IV. Third Restriction: Hellman's Unjustified Ignorance Approach
    - A. Main Problem: Overinclusiveness
    - B. Second Problem: Objective Justification or Justifying Circumstances One Is Aware Of? 103
    - C. Another Worry: Excused Ignorance?
- 4. The Scope of the Willful Ignorance Doctrine (II): The Duty to Reasonably Inform Oneself 109
  - I. How to Properly Restrict ECT 110
  - II. There Is a Duty to Reasonably Inform Oneself 112
  - III. How the Duty to Reasonably Inform Oneself Affects Culpability
    - A. Breaching DRI Enhances Culpability 117
    - B. How Much Culpability Does Breaching DRI Confer?
  - IV. The Problem of Additivity: Preliminary Considerations
  - V. Getting Practical: Formulating Better Willful Ignorance Jury Instructions
    - A. The Simple Approach 132
    - B. The Safe Approach 135
- 5. Toward a Normative Theory of Equal Culpability Imputation
  - I. The Structure of Equal Culpability Imputation Principles 142
    - A. Imputation Principles Generally 142
    - B. The Role of Equal Culpability 143

- C. Reaching Equal Culpability through Aggregation 145
- II. The Practitioner's Problem vs. The Lawmaker's Problem
  - A. Defending Equal Culpability Imputation Generally: Legislative Intent and Purposive Arguments 148
  - B. Narrower Textualist Arguments
  - C. Statutory Reforms
- III. Answering the Lawmaker's Question: A Normative Theory of Equal Culpability Imputation
  - A. Yaffe's Account 156
  - B. A General but Precise Statement of the Same Rationale Rationale
- IV. Applications
  - A. Justifying Some Imputation Doctrines: Transferred Intent
  - B. Limiting Some Imputation Doctrines: Felony Murder, Voluntary Intoxication, and Pinkerton 163
- V. In Defense of the Willful Ignorance Doctrine

#### PART THREE | BEYOND WILLFUL IGNORANCE

- 6. Iterated Reckless Ignorance as a Substitute for Knowledge 175
  - I. The Existing Willful Ignorance Doctrine Doesn't Go Far Enough
  - II. Nonwillful Ignorance Can Be as Culpable as Knowing Misconduct
    - A. The Intuitive Case
    - B. The Theory behind the Scenes
  - III. Objections 188
    - A. Is the Required Addition Legitimate?
    - B. Is This Merely Substituting Negligence for Knowledge?
    - C. Practical Concerns

#### 7. Substituting Willful Ignorance for Purpose?

- I. Willful Ignorance Instructions in the Conspiracy Context
  - A. Willful Ignorance in the Conspiracy Context
  - B. Why the Second Circuit's No-Substitution Rule Is Correct 198
  - C. The More Interesting Question
- II. Willful Ignorance Cannot Substitute for Purpose—First Argument
  - A. Why Purposeful Misconduct Is More Culpable than the Same Willfully Ignorant Misconduct
  - B. An Objection
- III. Willful Ignorance Cannot Substitute for Purpose—Second Argument

## 8. Subwillful Motivated Ignorance

- I. Subwillful Motivated Ignorance
  - A. Introducing the Concept 214

- B. An Account of Subwillful Motivated Ignorance 219
- II. Substituting Subwillful Ignorance for Recklessness 223
  - A. Blanket Ignorance of a Foreseen Range of Risks Can Substitute for Recklessness 224
  - B. Selective Risk Recognition Could but Probably Shouldn't Substitute for Recklessness 228
- 9. Corporations Keeping Themselves in the Dark 231
  - I. The Duty to Inform Others 235
  - II. What Is Corporate Criminal Culpability? 239
    - A. Sidestepping Some Theoretical Challenges 239
    - B. Sketching an Account of Corporate Criminal Culpability 241
  - III. Constructing Corporate Culpability 243
    - A. The Starting Point: Respondeat Superior 243
    - B. Calling Respondeat Superior into Question: The Collective Knowledge Doctrine 246
  - IV. The Equal Culpability Basis for the Collective Knowledge Doctrine 249
    - A. The Insight behind the Collective Knowledge Doctrine 249
    - B. The Proper Scope of the Collective Knowledge Doctrine 250
    - C. Knowledge Aggregation beyond Willful Ignorance 252
    - D. Knowledge Generation beyond Willful Ignorance 254
    - E. An Objection 260

Conclusion 263

INDEX OF CASES AND LEGAL AUTHORITIES 267

INDEX 271