
CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	<i>xxvii</i>
1. Introduction.....	1
I. Evidence Matters in the Spotlight.....	1
II. A Simple Question, an Unclear Answer: What Standards Govern the Evaluation of Evidence?	4
III. Purpose, Approach and Scope	5
A. The Purpose and Approach in this Book	5
B. The Scope of the Book	7
IV. Structure.....	9
2. Evidence Standards in EU Competition Enforcement: Autonomous, But Not Independent.....	12
I. Introduction	12
II. A Primer on Evidence Law and Theory.....	12
III. Evidence Standards and EU Competition Enforcement	16
A. The Contextual Dependency of Evidence Standards.....	16
B. The Specific Features of EU Competition Enforcement.....	17
IV. Conclusion.....	31
3. The Burden of Proof in EU Competition Enforcement.....	32
I. Introduction	32
II. The Concept of the Burden of Proof and its Significance	32
III. The Burden of Proof in Antitrust Cases.....	34
A. The Burden of Proof in Article 101 TFEU Cases	34
B. The Burden of Proof in Article 102 TFEU Cases	39
C. Taking a Closer Look at the Allocation of the Legal Burden in Antitrust Cases	43
IV. The Burden of Proof in Merger Cases.....	60
A. The EUMR and the Horizontal and Non-Horizontal Merger Guidelines.....	61
B. The EU Courts' Jurisprudence.....	63
C. Taking a Closer Look at the Allocation of the Legal Burden in Merger Cases	64
V. Conclusion.....	69

4. The Standard of Proof in EU Competition Enforcement	72
I. Introduction	72
II. The Concept of the Standard of Proof and its Significance	72
III. The Main Reasons for the Ambivalence Over the Applicable Standard of Proof.....	74
A. The Legislative Silence and the Vagueness of the 'Requisite Legal Standard'	75
B. The 'Probabilities v Inner Conviction' Dilemma.....	76
C. The Tendency to Conflate the Standard of Proof with Substantive Legal Tests.....	78
IV. The Regulation of the Standard of Proof in Antitrust and Merger Cases	81
A. The Standard of Proof in Articles 101 and 102 TFEU Cases	81
B. The Standard of Proof in Merger Cases.....	89
V. The Discharge of the Standard of Proof in EU Competition Enforcement.....	95
VI. Conclusion.....	99
5. Principles and Problems of Evidence Admissibility and Evaluation	102
I. Introduction	102
II. Principles Governing Evidence Admissibility and Evaluation in EU Competition Enforcement.....	102
A. The Principle of Unfettered Production of Evidence: The <i>Relevance</i> Test	102
B. The Principle of Unfettered Evaluation of Evidence: The <i>Reliability</i> Test.....	104
III. Potential Shortcomings in the EU Courts' Approach to Evidence Admissibility	106
A. Rules of Evidence <i>Inadmissibility</i>	106
B. The Right to Cross-Examine and Call Witnesses in Antitrust Cases	111
C. Admissibility of Economic Evidence	116
IV. Potential Shortcomings in the EU Courts' Approach to Evidence Evaluation.....	121
A. The Probative Value of Documentary Evidence.....	122
B. The Probative Value of Statements.....	123
C. The Probative Value of Opinion Evidence	130
D. The Probative Value of Economic Evidence	133
E. A de Facto 'Hierarchy' of Evidence	137
V. Conclusion.....	139

6. Presumptions in EU Competition Enforcement	142
I. Introduction	142
II. The Concept and Significance of Presumptions	142
III. Distinguishing Presumptions from Similar Devices in EU Competition Enforcement.....	145
IV. The Main Presumptions in EU Competition Enforcement	149
A. No Presumption of Concertation Based on Evidence of Parallel Behaviour	150
B. The Presumptions of Participation in a Collusive Arrangement	152
C. The Presumption of Continuity	156
D. The Presumption of Actual Exercise of Decisive Influence Over the Conduct of a Wholly Owned Subsidiary	161
E. The Presumption of Capability of Practices Which Lack Plausible Redeeming Virtues to Restrict Competition.....	166
V. The Implications of the Presumptions Used in EU Competition Enforcement.....	168
VI. Conclusion.....	172
7. Evidence Standards and Standards of Judicial Review	173
I. Introduction	173
II. Standards of Judicial Review and Fairness Concerns	173
A. <i>Full</i> and <i>Marginal</i> Review.....	173
B. The Fairness Concerns against Marginal Review.....	175
III. The Interplay between Evidence Standards and Standards of Judicial Review.....	180
A. Evidence-Related Pleas before the EU Courts.....	180
B. Evidence Standards, Marginal Review and Complex Economic Evaluations.....	183
IV. Conclusion.....	194
8. Reflections.....	196
I. Introduction	196
II. Some Connotations for the Enforcement System's Risk Proclivity	197
III. The Implications for Fairness.....	198
A. The Fairness of the Applicable Evidence Standards.....	198
B. Evidence Standards as a Remedy for the 'Fairness Deficit' of the Administrative Model of Enforcement.....	202
IV. The Connotations for the Application of the Substantive Rules	203
A. Evidence Standards and the 'More Economic' Approach	203
B. The Symbiosis of Evidence Standards and Substantive Rules.....	204

V.	The Connotations for the EU Institutions.....	208
A.	The Connotations for the Commission.....	208
B.	The Connotations for the EU Courts.....	211
VI.	The Implications for National Procedural Autonomy.....	213
A.	The Operation of Divergent Evidence Standards at the National Level.....	213
B.	The Capacity of the EU Evidence Standards to Pave the Way for Further Procedural Convergence.....	215
VII.	Conclusion.....	219
	<i>Bibliography</i>	221
	<i>Index</i>	237