

# Detailed Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxxvii
<i>Table of Treaties, Legislation and Other Instruments</i>	xciii
<i>List of Contributors</i>	cxii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	cxiii

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. Overview of EU Antitrust Procedure: Legal Framework, Institutional Design, and Decision-Making Process	3
<i>Monica Cunningham, Damien Gerard, and Ekaterina Rousseva</i>	
1 Purpose and scope	1.1
2 Main features of procedural laws exemplified in the EU procedural rules	1.4
2.1 Features of procedural laws in general	1.4
2.2 Main features of EU antitrust procedure	1.8
2.2.1 Sources of law and the evolution of the legal framework	1.8
2.2.2 Main actors in the EU enforcement system and their interaction	1.23
3 Enforcement at EU level	1.29
3.1 The European Commission as an antitrust enforcement agency	1.29
3.1.1 Integrated agency with investigative, legislative, and adjudicative powers	1.29
3.1.2 The internal organization of the Commission for EU antitrust enforcement	1.34
3.2 Overview of the stages of the antitrust procedure and decision-making process before the European Commission	1.45
3.2.1 Investigative stage: inception of an investigation	1.48
3.2.2 Investigative stage: gathering evidence	1.63
3.2.3 Priority assessment	1.80
3.2.4 Formal opening of proceedings	1.82
3.2.5 Inter partes stage	1.84
3.3 Independence and accountability of the Commission as an antitrust enforcer	1.140
3.4 The role of the EU Courts	1.153
3.5 Compliance with fundamental rights and principles	1.157
3.5.1 The debate in a nutshell	1.157

3.5.2	Guarantees for the exercise of fundamental rights in the context of EU antitrust enforcement	1.163
3.5.3	Increasing powers of the Hearing Officer	1.164
3.5.4	Transparency of the administrative proceedings	1.167
3.5.5	Internal checks and balances	1.169
3.5.6	The role of the EU Ombudsman	1.176
3.5.7	Effect and limitations of the internal checks and balances	1.185
3.5.8	Judicial review: the response of the judiciary	1.187
3.5.9	Continuing debate	1.192
4	EU antitrust enforcement at national level	1.194
4.1	NCA's	1.195
4.2	National courts as complementary enforcers	1.207
5	The commission as a global player in cooperation with authorities outside the EU	1.211
6	The rest of this book	1.217

## II. ANTITRUST INVESTIGATIONS

2.	Requests for Information and Sector Inquiries	65
	<i>Manuel Kellerbauer and Konstantina Strouvali</i>	
1	Introduction	2.1
2	Types of requests for information and importance in practice	2.5
2.1	Simple requests for information	2.5
2.2	Decisions requesting information	2.8
2.3	Requests for information in the context of sector inquiries	2.11
3	Substantive requirements for requests for information	2.14
3.1	Overview	2.14
3.2	Requests for information by decision	2.16
3.2.1	The necessity of information for the pursuit of a legitimate aim	2.18
3.2.2	The existence of initial evidence	2.26
3.2.3	The sufficiency of the statement of reasons	2.32
3.3	Simple requests for information	2.40
3.4	Requests for information addressed to governments and competition authorities of Member States	2.43
4	Procedural requirements for requests for information	2.45
4.1	Addressees	2.45
4.2	Transmission and notification	2.49
4.3	Language and form	2.52
4.4	Duty to inform NCAs	2.55
5	Response to a request for information and duties of the addressees	2.56
5.1	Obligation to cooperate actively	2.56
5.2	Obligation to submit complete information	2.59
5.3	Obligation to provide the information with the set time limit	2.64

5.4 Submission of economic evidence	2.67
5.5 Submission of a non-confidential version	2.69
6 The rights of addressees	2.74
6.1 Overview	2.74
6.2 Right against self-incrimination	2.78
6.3 Right to legal professional privilege	2.82
6.4 Right to request an extension of the time limit to reply	2.84
6.4.1 Factors to determine whether a time limit is overly short	2.84
6.4.2 How undertakings may react if a time limit is overly short	2.89
7 Fines and penalty payments	2.91
7.1 Incorrect or misleading information	2.91
7.2 Incomplete or belated information	2.92
8 Judicial review of requests for information	2.94
3. Inspections	93
<i>Jan Nuijten</i>	
1 Introduction	3.1
2 Types of inspection	3.4
2.1 Unannounced vs announced inspections	3.4
2.2 Inspections of business premises vs private home searches	3.8
3 Inspection decision	3.13
3.1 Requirements	3.13
3.1.1 Formal requirements	3.15
3.1.2 Substantial requirements	3.28
3.2 Judicial review	3.38
4 Commission powers	3.47
4.1 Access	3.50
4.2 Examination	3.70
4.3 Taking copies	3.86
4.4 Sealing	3.98
4.5 Ask for explanation	3.101
5 Duties of the undertakings	3.107
5.1 Submitting to an inspection	3.108
5.2 Active cooperation	3.111
6 Safeguards and limitations to the power to inspect	3.121
6.1 Documents of a non-business nature: privacy and data protection	3.127
6.2 The right to legal representation and the confidentiality of lawyer–client correspondence	3.133
6.3 Protection against self-incriminating questions	3.158
6.4 The principle of respect for rights of defence and the principle of good administration	3.162
7 Cooperation with other competition authorities	3.173
7.1 Within the EU	3.177



7.2 With EFTA	3.186
7.3 With authorities in other jurisdictions	3.189
4. Power to Take Statements	130
<i>Annemarie ter Heegde and Ekaterina Rousseva</i>	
1 Introduction	4.1
2 What is an interview?	4.6
3 Initiation and preparation of an interview	4.12
4 Procedure for conducting an interview	4.16
4.1 Communication tools for interviewing and location of the interview	4.16
4.2 Informing other competition authorities	4.18
4.3 Interviewers	4.21
4.4 Interviewee	4.22
4.5 Taking the statement	4.24
4.5.1 Information to be given to the interviewee	4.24
4.5.2 Recording the statement and approval of the record	4.28
5 Adding the statement to the file and preparing a non-confidential version	4.32
6 The power to take statements compared to other investigative tools	4.33
6.1 Requests for information	4.33
6.2 Explanations during inspections	4.41
6.3 Leniency corporate statements	4.45
6.4 Other exchanges	4.49
6.5 Interviews envisaged under the ECN+ Directive	4.51
5. Leniency and Whistle-Blowers	143
<i>Sari Suurnäkki and Paolo Tomassi</i>	
1 Introduction	5.1
2 Historical development of the leniency policy in the EU	5.6
3 Immunity and leniency from fines	5.11
3.1 Who can apply for leniency?	5.11
3.1.1 What behaviour is covered by the EU leniency policy?	5.11
3.1.2 Identity and types of applicants	5.16
3.1.3 Joint applications	5.20
3.2 Thresholds and rewards	5.24
3.2.1 Immunity thresholds	5.24
3.2.2 Reduction of fines thresholds and rewards	5.36
3.2.3 Partial immunity	5.50
3.3 How to apply for leniency	5.53
3.3.1 Pre-application contacts and application procedure	5.53
3.3.2 Markers allowing an immunity applicant to complete its application	5.59
3.3.3 Oral and written evidence submissions	5.69
3.3.4 Protection from disclosure	5.72
3.4 Immunity and leniency conditions	5.84
3.4.1 Evidence and interview obligations	5.94



3.4.2 Continuous cooperation	5.96
3.4.3 Excluded applicants	5.102
4 Cooperation in the ECN	5.107
4.1 Parallel competences and convergence: from Model Leniency Programme to ECN+ Directive	5.107
4.2 Case allocation and summary applications	5.113
4.3 Protection of leniency applicants when cooperating in investigations	5.115
5 Whistle-blowers	5.119

### III. OUTCOMES OF AN INVESTIGATION

6. Ordinary Procedure: From Initiation of Proceedings to the Adoption of a Final Decision	185
<i>Viktor Bottka, Lukas Repa, and Ekaterina Rousseva</i>	
1 Introduction	6.1
2 Initiation of proceedings	6.8
2.1 Decision to initiate proceedings	6.8
2.2 Legal consequences	6.16
3 The statement of objections: content	6.19
3.1 Purpose and legal effects	6.19
3.2 Structure	6.34
3.3 Description of the facts and their legal and economic assessment	6.37
3.4 Possible fines and remedies	6.50
3.5 Operative part	6.52
4 The statement of objections: procedure	6.53
4.1 Formal aspects	6.53
4.2 Language regime	6.57
4.3 Drafting the statement of objections	6.58
4.4 Inter-service consultation	6.59
4.5 Adoption	6.63
4.6 Notification and transmission	6.64
4.7 Reply to the statement of objections, information on access to the file, and oral hearing	6.71
5 Altering the case after the statement of objections	6.78
5.1 Supplementary SO	6.79
5.2 Letter of facts	6.83
5.3 Withdrawal of objections	6.87
5.4 Consequences of a breach of the rights of defence	6.89
6 The prohibition decision: content	6.92
6.1 Purpose, scope and structure	6.92
6.2 Effects	6.97
6.3 Finding a past infringement	6.98
6.4 Remedies	6.100

6.4.1	Principles of proportionality and effectiveness	6.100
6.4.2	Cease and desist order	6.102
6.4.3	Behavioural remedies	6.110
6.4.4	Structural remedies	6.112
6.5	Fines	6.113
6.6	Periodic penalty payments	6.118
7	The prohibition decision: procedure	6.119
7.1	Inter-service consultation	6.120
7.2	Advisory Committee	6.121
7.3	Language regime	6.122
7.4	Adoption	6.123
7.5	Procedural steps following the adoption	6.124
7.5.1	Notification	6.124
7.5.2	Transmission	6.125
7.5.3	Publication	6.126
7.6	Possible alterations: annulment, amendment, withdrawal, re-adoption.	6.127
8	The decision closing proceedings	6.129
8.1	Content and adoption	6.131
8.2	Notification and publication	6.133
8.3	Consequences of closure of the proceedings	6.135
8.4	Distinction from administrative closure of the file	6.138
7.	Cartel Settlements and Antitrust Cooperation	222
	<i>Aleko Bogdanov and Thomas Köster</i>	
1	Introduction	7.1
2	Legislative amendments to the cartel settlements procedure	7.9
3	Key steps in the cartel settlement procedure	7.12
3.1	Investigative phase	7.14
3.2	Screening cases suitable for settlement	7.16
3.3	Initiation of proceedings	7.19
3.4	Settlement discussions	7.21
3.5	Streamlined access to documents in the file	7.24
3.6	Technical discussions	7.27
3.7	Reaching a common understanding	7.29
3.8	Internal Commission decision on range of fine	7.30
3.9	Communication of range of fines	7.32
3.10	Settlement submissions by the parties	7.34
3.11	Settlement statement of objections and parties' confirmations	7.36
3.12	Settlement decision	7.38
3.13	The post-SO settlement procedure	7.40
4	Key features of the settlement procedure	7.43
4.1	No negotiation of infringement or fine	7.44
4.2	Confidential nature of the settlement procedure	7.45
4.3	Streamlined fast-track procedure	7.49

4.4 Streamlined access to documents in the file	7.50
4.5 Streamlined SO and decision	7.51
4.6 Paucity of proceedings before the EU Courts	7.52
5 Hybrid settlement proceedings	7.55
5.1 Commission's preference for complete settlements	7.56
5.2 Types of hybrid settlement procedure	7.59
5.3 Fall-back procedure: reversion to the ordinary procedure	7.62
5.4 Impact of the <i>ICAP</i> and <i>Pometon</i> judgments on future hybrid settlements	7.63
6 Judicial review of settlement decisions	7.71
6.1 Parties' right to challenge a settlement decision	7.72
6.2 Judgments upholding the settlement procedure	7.75
6.3 An action for annulment by a settling party: the <i>Envelopes</i> case	7.83
7 Statistics on settlement decisions	7.84
8 The emergence of cooperation procedure in antitrust	7.88
Annex	251
8. Commitments	254
<i>Ulrich von Koppenfels and Flavien Christ</i>	
1 Introduction	8.1
2 Historical development	8.10
2.1 Informal settlements under Regulation 17	8.10
2.2 The rise of Article 9 decisions in antitrust enforcement	8.15
3 The relative advantages and disadvantages of commitment decisions over prohibition decisions	8.21
3.1 The advantages	8.23
3.2 The disadvantages	8.30
4 The procedural framework	8.35
4.1 Preliminary steps	8.35
4.1.1 Initiative	8.35
4.1.2 Commitment discussions	8.37
4.2 The Commission's preliminary assessment	8.43
4.2.1 Content and purpose of the preliminary assessment	8.43
4.2.2 Steps following the preliminary assessment	8.49
4.3 The market test notice	8.53
4.3.1 Content and purpose of the market test notice	8.53
4.3.2 Steps following publication of the market test notice	8.60
4.4 The final decision	8.65
4.4.1 The adoption process	8.65
4.4.2 The legal standard applicable to Article 9 decisions	8.67
4.4.3 The legal effect of Article 9 decisions	8.70
5 Design of the commitments	8.73
5.1 Substance of the commitments	8.73
5.1.1 Behavioural commitments	8.79
5.1.2 Structural commitments	8.86



5.2	Implementation of the commitments	8.95
5.2.1	Implementation date and duration	8.96
5.2.2	Review	8.97
5.3	Monitoring	8.102
5.3.1	Reporting obligations	8.102
5.3.2	Monitoring trustee	8.103
5.4	Commission decisions during the implementation phase	8.105
6	Consequences of adoption of a commitment decision	8.106
6.1	Consequences for the parties	8.106
6.2	Consequences for third parties	8.108
6.2.1	Consequences for complainants	8.108
6.2.2	Consequences for third parties affected by commitments	8.110
6.2.3	Consequences for damages claimants	8.119
6.3	Consequences for NCAs and national courts	8.120
9.	Interim Measures	289
	<i>Gábor Gál and Konstantina Strouvali</i>	
1	Introduction	9.1
2	Interim measures in the Commission's practice prior to adoption of Regulation 1/2003	9.9
3	Interim measures under Regulation 1/2003	9.18
3.1	Article 8 of Regulation 1/2003	9.18
3.2	Substantive requirements for granting interim measures	9.20
3.2.1	Prima facie finding of an infringement	9.20
3.2.2	Urgency due to the risk of serious and irreparable harm to competition	9.23
3.3	Types of measure that can be imposed	9.34
4	Procedural requirements for adoption of interim measures	9.39
10.	Handling and Rejecting Complaints	305
	<i>Anthony Dawes and Ekaterina Rousseva</i>	
1	Introduction	10.1
2	The scope of the Commission's discretion in dealing with complaints	10.7
3	Filing a complaint and assessing its admissibility	10.11
3.1	Legitimate interest	10.11
3.2	Compliance with Form C	10.17
3.3	Compliance with other formalities	10.20
3.4	Consequences of inadmissibility	10.23
4	Registration of admissible complaints, initial assessment, and possible outcome of the assessment	10.25
5	Reallocation of complaints	10.32
6	Procedure for rejecting complaints and complainants' rights	10.34
6.1	Procedure for rejecting complaints pursuant to Article 7 of Regulation 773/2004	10.36
6.1.1	Letter pursuant to Article 7(1) of Regulation 773/2004	10.37

6.1.2	Decision rejecting the complaint under Article 7(2) of Regulation 773/2004	10.43
6.2	Practice pursuant to Article 9 of Regulation 773/2004	10.47
6.3	Mixed complaints	10.52
7	Grounds for rejection	10.54
7.1	Lack of Union interest	10.55
7.1.1	Concept of Union interest	10.55
7.1.2	Lack of substantiation	10.59
7.1.3	Low or limited likelihood of finding an infringement	10.61
7.1.4	Limited impact on the internal market	10.64
7.1.5	Extent of the investigative measures is disproportionate in the light of the low or limited likelihood of finding an infringement or of its limited impact on the internal market	10.68
7.1.6	Competence of NCAs	10.70
7.1.7	Competence of national courts	10.73
7.1.8	The infringement has already ended	10.81
7.1.9	The target of a complaint has changed its behaviour	10.83
7.1.10	Rejecting complaints when a commitment decision is adopted	10.84
7.2	Rejection of complaints on substantive grounds	10.86
7.3	NCAs are dealing or have dealt with the same case	10.88
7.3.1	Scope and the meaning of the first paragraph of Article 13: another competition authority is dealing with the same practice	10.91
7.3.2	Scope of the second paragraph of Article 13: another authority has already dealt with the same case	10.99
7.3.3	Scope of Article 13 when another authority is dealing or has dealt with the same case on the basis of national competition law	10.104
8	Difference between rejecting a complaint and a finding of inapplicability of the competition rules pursuant to Article 10 of Regulation 1/2003	10.107

#### IV. THE RIGHTS OF DEFENCE

11.	An Overview of the Rights of Defence in EU Antitrust Proceedings	337
	<i>Andreas Scordamaglia-Tousis</i>	
1	Introduction	11.1
2	Sources of the rights of defence in EU law	11.9
2.1	Rights of defence in EU primary legislation	11.11
2.2	Rights of defence in EU secondary legislation and soft law	11.19
3	Safeguards for the rights of defence at the investigative phase of EU antitrust proceedings	11.23
3.1	The duty to state reasons	11.27
3.1.1	Requests for information (RFIs)	11.31
3.1.2	Inspection decisions	11.43



3.1.3 Interviews pursuant to Article 19 of Regulation 1/2003	11.57
3.2 Right to privacy (Art. 7 of the Charter)	11.58
3.2.1 Legitimate limitations under Article 52(1) of the Charter and Article 8(2) ECHR	11.60
3.2.2 Respect of the right to privacy in Commission inspections	11.61
3.3 The principle against self-incrimination	11.74
3.3.1 Evolution of the right in the EU and ECHR legal orders	11.75
3.3.2 The current state of protection under EU law	11.80
3.3.3 Application in EU competition proceedings	11.83
3.4 Right of access to a lawyer	11.99
3.4.1 Legal assistance during inspections	11.102
3.4.2 Legal assistance in response to requests for information	11.106
3.4.3 Legal assistance during interviews pursuant to Article 19 of Regulation 1/2003	11.107
3.5 Right to protection of business secrets and confidential information	11.108
3.6 The principle of protection of legal professional privilege	11.112
3.6.1 The two conditions of application of LPP	11.117
3.6.2 The application of LPP in antitrust proceedings	11.128
3.7 Right to a reasonable time limit to reply to RFIs	11.148
3.8 Right to an oral hearing during the investigative phase?	11.151
3.8.1 No right to request an oral hearing with regard to Article 18(3) decisions or Article 20 inspection decisions	11.151
3.8.2 No right to request a hearing of witnesses in the conduct of interviews during the investigative phase	11.153
3.9 Admissibility of evidence obtained in disregard of safeguards for the rights of defence at the investigative phase	11.155
3.9.1 Before the Commission and the EU Courts	11.155
3.9.2 Before the NCAs—Article 12(1) of Regulation 1/2003	11.161
4 Application of the rights of defence in administrative proceedings before the European Commission	11.164
4.1 Right to be heard	11.165
4.2 Right to know the objections (the statement of objections)	11.166
4.3 Right of access to the file	11.170
4.4 Right to a reasonable time to constitute defence	11.174
4.5 Right to have proceedings completed within a reasonable time	11.175
12. Access to the File in Antitrust Proceedings Before the European Commission	411
<i>Henry Abbott and Wouter Wils</i>	
1 Introduction	12.1
2 Legal basis and main provisions	12.3
2.1 Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union	12.3
2.2 Regulation 1/2003	12.5



2.3	Regulation 773/2004	12.6
2.4	Decision 2011/695/EU on the terms of reference of the Hearing Officer	12.7
2.5	Notices and Best Practices	12.8
3	Guiding principles	12.9
3.1	A means to ensure respect for the rights of the defence	12.9
3.2	Not an absolute right	12.13
3.3	A right that can be waived by its beneficiary	12.17
4	Beneficiaries	12.19
4.1	Only the addressees of the SO	12.19
4.2	The distinct right of access to information by complainants whose complaint the Commission intends to reject	12.22
4.3	The distinct right of public access to documents under the Transparency Regulation 1049/2001	12.29
5	Scope	12.34
5.1	The investigation file	12.34
5.1.1	Extent of the investigation file	12.34
5.1.2	Significance of the SO in antitrust proceedings	12.38
5.2	Non-accessible documents: confidential information	12.42
5.2.1	Notion of 'confidential information'	12.42
5.2.2	Striking a balance with the right to be heard	12.58
5.3	Non-accessible documents: internal documents	12.62
5.4	Documents elsewhere in the Commission's possession	12.66
5.5	Documents not in the Commission's possession	12.70
6	Timing	12.74
6.1	After the notification of the SO	12.74
6.2	In a timely manner to allow the effective exercise of the rights of the defence	12.77
6.3	Access to other parties' replies to the SO	12.83
6.4	Supplementary statements of objections and letters of fact	12.88
6.5	Until the stage of the consultation of the Advisory Committee	12.92
7	Methods of provision of access to the file	12.94
7.1	Electronic storage devices as the default method	12.94
7.2	Access to leniency corporate statements and settlement submissions at Commission premises	12.96
7.3	Data room procedures	12.99
7.4	Confidentiality rings	12.105
8	Procedure	12.108
8.1	Preparation of the accessible part of the file	12.108
8.1.1	Onus on information providers to claim confidentiality	12.108
8.1.2	Confidentiality discussions	12.112
8.1.3	The procedure under Article 8 of Decision 2011/695/EU	12.115
8.1.4	Index of the file	12.119
8.2	Requests for additional access	12.121
8.3	Judicial review	12.126

9	Access to the file in antitrust settlement procedures	12.134
10	Use of information obtained through access to the file	12.140
13.	Oral Hearing	454
	<i>Wouter Wils</i>	
1	Introduction	13.1
2	The nature and purpose of the oral hearing	13.7
2.1	The purpose of the oral hearing	13.7
2.2	Administrative proceedings	13.8
2.3	Primarily written proceedings	13.10
2.4	Hearing primarily of the addressees of the SO	13.12
2.5	Not an investigative hearing	13.14
3	Who can request an oral hearing, and when or how?	13.16
3.1	Only addressees of an SO	13.16
3.2	Request in the written reply to the SO	13.21
3.3	Second oral hearing	13.24
4	Who attends or can attend the oral hearing?	13.27
4.1	Oral hearings are not public	13.27
4.2	Addressees of an SO	13.29
4.2.1	Hearings in the presence of other addressees of an SO	13.30
4.2.2	Who can appear on behalf of an addressee of an SO?	13.37
4.3	Commission	13.43
4.3.1	Hearing Officer	13.43
4.3.2	Commission services	13.44
4.4	Other (competition) authorities	13.49
4.5	Complainants	13.54
4.6	Interested third persons	13.64
4.7	Other third persons	13.74
5	Who speaks at the oral hearing?	13.76
5.1	Presentations	13.76
5.2	Questions	13.84
6	Some further issues	13.88
6.1	Timing	13.88
6.2	Language	13.90
6.3	Recording	13.92
6.4	Obligation to provide accurate information	13.93
6.5	Use of information obtained at the oral hearing	13.94
6.6	New documents	13.95
7	After the oral hearing	13.96
7.1	Further written comments	13.96
7.2	Interim report and observations by the Hearing Officer	13.97
7.3	State of play meeting	13.99

8 State of play meetings and other ways of hearing parties orally	13.100
9 Why request an oral hearing?	13.106

## V. FINES AND PERIODIC PENALTIES

14. Fines Imposed for Substantive Infringements of Articles 101 and 102 TFEU	481
<i>Tatiana López Garrido and Alexandros Papanikolaou</i>	
1 Introduction	14.1
2 Methodology for setting fines	14.8
2.1 The basic amount	14.10
2.1.1 The value of sales	14.11
2.1.2 The gravity percentage	14.26
2.1.3 The duration multiplier	14.34
2.1.4 The 'entry fee'	14.39
2.2 Adjustments to the basic amount	14.42
2.2.1 Aggravating circumstances	14.45
2.2.2 Mitigating circumstances	14.58
2.2.3 Specific increase for deterrence	14.78
3 Adjustments to the fine	14.85
3.1 The legal maximum	14.86
3.2 Application of the Leniency Notice (cartels)	14.98
3.3 Application of the Settlements Notice (cartels)	14.104
3.4 Inability to pay	14.105
3.5 Symbolic fines	14.109
3.6 Ability to depart from the general methodology (Fining Guidelines, point 37)	14.112
3.7 Limitation periods	14.123
4 Judicial review of Commission decisions imposing fines	14.128
15. Fines for Procedural Infringements and Periodic Penalties	515
<i>Tatiana López Garrido and Alexandros Papanikolaou</i>	
1 Introduction	15.1
2 Procedural infringements	15.6
2.1 Failure to adequately reply to requests for information issued by the Commission following Article 17, 18(2) or 18(3)	15.9
2.2 Obstruction of inspection, incorrect answer to questions during inspection, and broken seals of inspection premises	15.13
2.3 Failure to comply with a decision ordering interim measures or with a commitment made binding pursuant to Article 9	15.31
3 Periodic penalty payments	15.40
4 Limitation periods	15.60
5 Judicial review	15.61



## VI. OTHER PROCEDURES RELATED TO THE APPLICATION OF THE ANTITRUST RULES

16. Infringements of the Antitrust Rules by the EU Member States	529
<i>Eliška Mamdaniová</i>	
1 Introduction	16.1
2 Article 106 TFEU in the EU enforcement system	16.9
2.1 Article 106 TFEU in conjunction with antitrust rules	16.9
2.1.1 Article 106(1) TFEU	16.9
2.1.2 Article 106(2) TFEU	16.18
2.1.3 Article 106(3) TFEU	16.23
2.2 Article 106 TFEU proceedings compared to antitrust proceedings enforcing Articles 101 and 102 TFEU	16.39
2.3 Article 106 TFEU and state aid rules	16.43
2.4 Article 106 TFEU and Article 4(3) TEU	16.47
2.5 Article 106 TFEU and infringements under Article 258 TFEU	16.49
2.6 Article 106 TFEU and national authorities	16.61
3 Start of investigation	16.64
3.1 <i>Ex officio</i>	16.64
3.2 Complaints	16.67
3.3 Investigative measures	16.79
3.4 Infringement IT applications	16.84
4 Initiation of formal proceedings under Article 106(3) TFEU	16.86
4.1 Letter of formal notice: characteristics	16.86
4.2 Adoption of a letter of formal notice	16.91
4.3 Access to documents through a statement of facts	16.97
4.4 Interim measures	16.103
5 Rights of parties	16.110
5.1 Member States	16.110
5.2 Privileged undertakings	16.116
6 Closure of proceedings by finding an infringement or by administrative closure	16.121
6.1 Decision finding an infringement	16.121
6.1.1 Structure and content of the decision	16.121
6.1.2 Remedies	16.127
6.1.3 Adoption	16.134
6.2 Administrative closure	16.139
7 Non-compliance with Article 106 TFEU decision	16.145
7.1 Steps following the adoption of a decision	16.145
7.2 Follow-up infringement proceedings under Article 258 TFEU	16.149
8 Review by the EU Courts	16.156
9 Handling of complaints	16.165
9.1 Rights of complainants	16.165

9.2 Registration	16.170
9.3 Investigation of a complaint	16.176
9.4 Closure without Article 106(3) TFEU decision	16.180
9.4.1 Withdrawal of a complaint	16.186
9.4.2 Closure on substantive grounds	16.189
9.4.3 Closure on priority grounds	16.190
9.4.4 Limited likelihood of establishing an infringement	16.193
9.5 Mixed complaints	16.195
9.6 EU Courts review	16.207
10 Procedure for finding an infringement of Article 4(3) TEU in combination with Article 101 or 102 TFEU	16.220

## VII. STEPS FOLLOWING THE ADOPTION OF A COMMISSION DECISION

17. Publication of Antitrust Decisions and Other Documents	575
<i>Annemarie ter Heegde and Kristina Todorova-Milanova</i>	
1 Introduction	17.1
2 Scope of publication	17.7
2.1 Publication of decisions listed in Article 30 of Regulation 1/2003 in the Official Journal	17.7
2.2 Publication of other documents in the Official Journal	17.11
2.3 Publication on the DG Competition website	17.12
2.4 Distinction between publication in the Official Journal and web publication	17.16
2.5 What cannot be published	17.18
2.5.1 Confidential information	17.21
2.5.2 Personal data	17.29
2.5.3 Presumption of innocence	17.34
2.5.4 Verbatim quotations from leniency statements and settlement submissions	17.38
2.5.5 Other information jeopardizing Commission investigations	17.49
2.6 Distinction from access to file	17.51
2.7 Distinction from Regulation 1049/2001	17.53
3 Procedure for preparation of publication	17.55
3.1 Timing of the publication	17.55
3.2 Preparation of a provisional version	17.58
3.3 Identifying confidentiality claims	17.59
3.4 Publication of a more complete non-confidential version	17.64
3.5 The role of the Hearing Officer	17.65
3.6 Translations	17.69
3.7 Corrigenda	17.70

18. Judicial Review of Commission Decisions and Judicial Protection	591
<i>Alexander Kornezov</i>	
1 Introduction	18.1
2 Action for annulment	18.7
2.1 Subject matter	18.9
2.1.1 The concept of a reviewable act	18.9
2.1.2 Specific application in EU antitrust law	18.11
2.2 <i>Locus standi</i> and interest in bringing the proceedings	18.36
2.2.1 <i>Locus standi</i>	18.38
2.2.2 Interest in bringing proceedings	18.54
2.3 Time limits	18.60
2.4 Grounds for annulment	18.63
2.4.1 Lack of competence	18.66
2.4.2 Infringement of an essential procedural requirement	18.67
2.4.3 Infringement of the Treaty or of any rule of law relating to its application	18.70
2.4.4 Misuse of powers	18.71
2.4.5 Pleas raised by the Court of its own motion	18.72
2.5 Burden and standard of proof	18.78
2.5.1 Burden of proof	18.79
2.5.2 Standard of proof	18.81
2.5.3 Rules on evidence	18.83
2.5.4 Conclusion	18.93
2.6 Scope and standard of judicial review	18.94
2.6.1 Scope of judicial review	18.94
2.6.2 Standard of judicial review	18.96
2.6.3 The right to effective judicial protection	18.106
2.6.4 Standard of review of substantive legality	18.121
2.6.5 Review of procedural requirements	18.165
2.6.6 Challenges ahead	18.175
3 The Court's unlimited jurisdiction	18.181
3.1 Legal basis and scope	18.181
3.2 Role in the judicial review of Commission decisions	18.184
3.3 Review of legality vs unlimited jurisdiction	18.186
3.4 Main characteristics	18.190
3.4.1 The <i>non ultra petita</i> rule	18.190
3.4.2 The dimensions of the Court's unlimited jurisdiction	18.195
3.4.3 The 'wide discretion' of the Commission for setting the amount of the fine	18.205
3.4.4 Power to take account of all elements	18.207
3.4.5 Conclusion	18.221
4 Action for failure to act	18.222
4.1 <i>Locus standi</i>	18.223



4.2	Invitation to act and time limits	18.227
4.3	The institution defines its position in the meantime	18.229
4.4	Actionable omissions	18.231
4.5	Consequences	18.238
5	Objection of illegality	18.239
6	Action for damages	18.246
6.1	Admissibility and limitation period	18.246
6.2	Substantive conditions	18.251
6.3	Non-contractual responsibility of the Union for excessive length of the procedure	18.261
7	Interim measures	18.270
7.1	General	18.270
7.2	Types of measure	18.272
7.3	Ancillary nature of the proceedings for interim measures	18.275
7.4	Procedural aspects	18.279
7.4.1	Form and content of the application	18.279
7.5	Conditions	18.284
8	Preliminary references	18.299
8.1	Preliminary references in antitrust cases	18.299
8.2	The Court's jurisdiction and the admissibility of the preliminary reference	18.306
8.3	Preliminary questions on the interpretation of EU law	18.318
8.4	Preliminary questions on the validity of EU law	18.321
8.5	Consequences	18.333
9	Procedure before the General Court	18.338
9.1	Jurisdiction	18.338
9.2	Legal basis and the reform of the General Court	18.340
9.3	Representation	18.343
9.4	Written part of the procedure	18.346
9.5	New pleas in law, evidence, and modification of the application	18.356
9.6	Confidential treatment	18.360
9.7	Language of the case, translations, annexes	18.364
9.8	Measures of organization of procedure and measures of inquiry	18.367
9.8.1	MOP	18.369
9.8.2	MI	18.371
9.9	Hearings	18.387
9.9.1	The report for the hearing	18.389
9.9.2	Conduct of the hearing	18.391
9.10	Intervention	18.397
9.11	Joinder of cases	18.402
9.12	Duration and the expedited procedure	18.404
9.13	Costs	18.407
9.14	Food for thought	18.413

10	Appeals before the Court of Justice	18.418
10.1	Main characteristics	18.418
10.2	Admissibility	18.422
10.3	Some procedural aspects	18.430

## VIII. COOPERATION IN ANTIRUST ENFORCEMENT

19.	Cooperation Within the ECN and Strengthening of National Competition Authorities	689
	<i>Elisavet Arsenidou, Jeroen Capiu, Ailsa Sinclair, and Jurga Stanciute</i>	
1	Introduction	19.1
2	European Competition Network	19.3
2.1	ECN members and differences in their institutional set-up	19.7
2.2	ECN governance	19.11
2.3	Work sharing	19.19
2.4	Effective enforcement	19.28
2.5	Coherent enforcement	19.33
2.6	Professional secrecy and transparency	19.38
3	The ECN+ Directive	19.42
3.1	The legislative process	19.42
3.2	Subject matter, scope, and definitions	19.48
3.3	Independence and resources	19.51
3.3.1	Independence	19.52
3.3.2	Resources	19.56
3.4	Investigative powers	19.61
3.4.1	Inspections of business premises	19.62
3.4.2	Inspections of non-business premises	19.64
3.4.3	Requests for information	19.66
3.4.4	Interviews	19.69
3.5	Decision-making powers	19.70
3.5.1	Prohibition decisions	19.71
3.5.2	Interim measures	19.73
3.5.3	Commitment decisions	19.74
3.5.4	Safeguards	19.76
3.6	Fines and periodic penalty payments	19.78
3.6.1	Fines on undertakings and associations of undertakings	19.79
3.6.2	Calculation of fines	19.86
3.6.3	Maximum amount of the fine	19.88
3.6.4	Periodic penalty payments	19.89
3.7	Leniency	19.91
3.7.1	Immunity from fines	19.96
3.7.2	Reduction of fines	19.98
3.7.3	General conditions for leniency	19.99

3.7.4	Form of leniency statements	19.103
3.7.5	Markers for applications for immunity from fines	19.104
3.7.6	Summary applications	19.106
3.7.7	Interplay between applications for immunity from fines and sanctions on natural persons	19.110
3.8	Mutual assistance	19.114
3.8.1	Enhanced cooperation between NCAs	19.115
3.8.2	Cross-border notification of measures and enforcement of fines and periodic penalties	19.118
3.9	Limitation periods and general provisions	19.122
3.9.1	The role of national administrative competition authorities before national courts	19.124
3.9.2	Admissibility of evidence before NCAs	19.126
20.	Cooperation Between the Commission and the Courts of the EU Member States	742
	<i>Johannes Holzwarth</i>	
1	Introduction	20.1
2	The legal framework and the means of cooperation pursuant to Regulation 1/2003	20.9
2.1	Direct application and principle of effectiveness	20.12
2.2	Independence of the judiciary and the definition of Member State courts	20.13
3	National courts' requests for information	20.19
3.1	Scope of the request for information	20.19
3.2	Potential duties to request information	20.21
3.3	Circumstances in which the Commission can refuse to transmit information	20.23
3.3.1	Professional secrecy	20.24
3.3.2	Overriding public interest and the protection of leniency programmes	20.26
3.3.3	Practicalities	20.30
4	National courts' requests for the Commission's opinion	20.32
4.1	Scope of the request for an opinion	20.33
4.2	Relation to preliminary references	20.36
4.3	Publication practice	20.38
5	Amicus curiae observations to national courts	20.40
6	Member States' duty to forward copies of national courts' written judgments to the Commission	20.47
7	Consistent application of EU competition law	20.50
7.1	Sequential proceedings	20.52
7.2	Parallel proceedings	20.59
8	Relationship between national courts and the Commission in the context of private enforcement of EU competition law	20.63



8.1	The Damages Action Directive—its goals and relevance for cooperation between public and private enforcers	20.63
8.2	Cooperation in follow-on and stand-alone actions for damages	20.66
8.2.1	In the context of establishing the infringement	20.66
8.2.2	In the context of the quantification of harm	20.69
8.2.3	Relevance of suspension of limitation periods	20.71
8.3	Disclosure of evidence	20.72
9	Other means for interaction	20.82
21.	Cooperation Between the Courts of the EU Member States and the Court of Justice: The Preliminary Reference Procedure	775
	<i>Martin Farley</i>	
1	Introduction	21.1
2	The preliminary reference procedure and EU antitrust law	21.4
3	Sources of procedural rules governing the preliminary reference procedure	21.11
3.1	Treaty provisions	21.12
3.2	The Statute of the Court of Justice	21.13
3.3	The Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice	21.16
3.4	The Recommendations to national courts and tribunals	21.18
3.5	The Practice Directions	21.20
4	The stages of the preliminary ruling procedure	21.21
4.1	Lodging of the order for reference and its effects	21.23
4.2	Written part of the procedure	21.27
4.3	Oral part of the procedure	21.30
4.4	Ruling by the Court of Justice	21.33
4.4.1	The procedures for responding to a request for a preliminary ruling	21.35
5	Rules governing requests for a preliminary reference	21.44
5.1	Who can make a preliminary reference?	21.46
5.2	When will a court or tribunal have a discretion to make a reference to the Court of Justice and when will it be under an obligation to do so?	21.52
5.2.1	Situations in which a court or tribunal has discretion to make a preliminary reference	21.53
5.2.2	Obligation on courts or tribunals of last instance to refer	21.56
5.3	Consequences for not complying with an obligation to refer	21.59
5.3.1	Exceptions to the duty to refer	21.61
5.4	In what type of situations can references be made?	21.63
5.4.1	A question of Union law must be raised in the main proceedings	21.64
5.4.2	The preliminary ruling must be necessary to give judgment	21.67
5.5	At what point in the national procedure should a preliminary reference be made?	21.73
5.6	Procedural requirements governing the content of the reference	21.75
5.7	Who may participate in preliminary reference proceedings?	21.77

5.8 Jurisdiction of the Court of Justice and the subject matter referred	21.81
5.8.1 The 'Treaties'	21.82
5.8.2 'Institutions, bodies, offices and agencies of the Union'	21.85
5.8.3 What is an 'act' for the purposes of Article 267 TFEU?	21.87
5.8.4 Issues falling outside the Court of Justice's jurisdiction	21.88
6 Implications of the Court of Justice's ruling for the national proceedings	21.93
7 Concluding remarks	21.95
22. International Cooperation	804
<i>Eddy De Smijter, Philipp Gasparon, and Pascal Berghe</i>	
1 Introduction	22.1
2 The legal framework	22.6
2.1 International law	22.6
2.2 EU law	22.12
2.3 Relations with the United Kingdom	22.16
3 The Commission's jurisdiction	22.19
3.1 Subject matter jurisdiction	22.25
3.1.1 Implementation doctrine	22.26
3.1.2 Effects doctrine	22.27
3.2 Enforcement jurisdiction	22.33
4 International case cooperation: exchange of information	22.44
4.1 Cooperation on the basis of the EEA Agreement	22.50
4.2 Cooperation on the basis of Cooperation Agreements	22.65
4.2.1 The Cooperation Agreement with Switzerland	22.66
4.2.2 Cooperation on the basis of the EU's other cooperation agreements	22.82
5 Technical cooperation between competition authorities	22.88
6 Cooperation with foreign courts	22.93
6.1 Legal basis for the Commission's representation before foreign and international courts in competition law-related cases	22.94
6.2 The Commission's interventions before US courts	22.102
6.3 The Commission's interventions before the EFTA Court	22.105
6.4 The Commission's intervention before UK courts after Brexit	22.110
6.5 Intervention of foreign competition authorities before the EU Courts	22.112