

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Methodological Underpinnings	4
1.3	Structure of the Book	6
	References	9
2	Plethora of Comparative Studies	11
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Labyrinth of Comparative Studies: A Theoretical Perspective	12
2.3	Approaches of Comparative Law	16
2.3.1	Comparative Law and Culture, and Ethnocentrism	17
2.3.2	Comparative Law and Economics	20
2.4	Rationale for Comparative Studies	22
2.4.1	Comparative Studies and Procedural Law	23
2.4.2	Comparative Competition Studies and Ethnocentrism	24
2.5	Comparative Law and Technicalities	28
2.5.1	The ‘Law as Rules’ and Contextual Approaches	28
2.5.2	How to Compare? Functionality	29
2.5.3	Similarities and Differences	31
2.6	Technique of Comparative Inquiry	32
	References	34
3	EU Conceptual Framework of Harmonisation: Setting the Scene	39
3.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Theoretical Framework of Harmonisation: Setting the Scene	40
3.2.1	Harmonisation as an Intended Result	41
3.2.2	EU Harmonisation as an Ongoing Process	42
3.2.2.1	Vertical Level	42
3.2.2.1.1	EU Level: Overview of the Decision-Making Process	42
3.2.2.1.2	EU Level: The Preparatory Stage	44

3.2.2.1.3	EU Level: Decision-Making Process and Balancing Powers	45
3.2.2.1.4	Different Sources of Harmonisation . . .	48
3.2.2.1.5	Comparative Elements from 'Outsiders'	49
3.2.2.1.6	The European Jurisprudence: A Comparative Discourse	51
3.2.2.2	Horizontal Level	52
3.2.2.3	Horizontal-Vertical Interactions	54
3.2.2.3.1	Overview	54
3.2.2.3.2	The Preliminary Ruling Procedure to Facilitate Harmonisation	55
3.2.2.3.3	Subject Specific Tools for Ensuring Consistent Application of EU Law . . .	58
3.2.3	United in Diversity: Categorisation of the Member States of the EU	58
3.2.3.1	CEE Countries: Overview	59
3.2.3.2	CEE Countries: Small v Large Economies	61
3.3	A Blurry Conceptual Framework on Harmonisation in the EU . . .	62
3.4	Competences and Legal Basis of the EU to Harmonise Competition Law and Procedures	65
3.4.1	The Unfledged Principles of Subsidiarity and Proportionality	69
3.5	Economic Justification for Harmonisation	71
3.6	Concluding Remarks	74
	References	75
4	Development of EU Competition Law Enforcement from an Historical Perspective: A Call for Harmonisation from the EU	81
4.1	Introduction	81
4.2	A Journey of the EU Integration	82
4.3	Internal Market: An Overarching Objective for the EU's Integration	85
4.3.1	Internal Market: A Stimulus for Harmonisation	86
4.3.2	Further Force Behind Harmonisation: The Principles to Justify Harmonisation	87
4.3.2.1	The Principle of National Procedural Autonomy vs. the Twin Principles of Effectiveness and Equivalence	87
4.3.2.2	The Principles of Effectiveness and Efficiency to Justify Harmonisation	90
4.4	Development of EU Competition Law Enforcement and EU Harmonisation Attempts	92
4.4.1	Stage I of the Enforcement Development: Regulation 17/62	93

4.4.1.1	The Spaak Report	93
4.4.1.2	Regulation 17/62	95
4.4.1.3	Setting the Pathway for the Regulation 1/2003 . .	97
4.4.1.4	The CEE Countries	99
4.4.2	Stage II of the Enforcement Development: Regulation 1/2003	101
4.4.2.1	Regulation 1/2003	101
4.4.2.2	Modernisation Package	103
4.4.2.3	The CEE Countries	105
4.4.2.4	Evaluation of the Enforcement of Regulation 1/2003	106
4.4.3	Stage III of the Enforcement Development: Turn to Private Enforcement	108
4.4.3.1	Origins of EU Private Enforcement in Competition Law	108
4.4.3.2	The 2005 Green Paper	109
4.4.3.3	The 2008 White Paper	110
4.4.3.4	A Long Way from the Drafting to Publishing the Antitrust Damages Directive	111
4.4.3.5	Collective Redress	113
4.4.3.5.1	Recommendation of Collective Redress	115
4.4.4	Stage IV of the Enforcement Development: Back to Public Enforcement	116
4.4.4.1	Consultation Process	117
4.4.4.2	The ECN+ Directive: Overview	119
4.4.4.2.1	Fining Policy	120
4.4.4.2.2	Fundamental Rights	122
4.4.4.2.3	Independence and Resources	123
4.4.4.2.4	Investigation and Decision-Making Powers and Procedures	123
4.4.4.2.5	Leniency Policy	124
4.4.4.2.6	Other Aspects	125
4.5	The EU Tools in Competition Law to Ensure Consistent Application	126
4.5.1	European Competition Network: Steering Wheel Towards Soft Harmonisation	126
4.5.2	National Courts and the Commission	128
4.6	Concluding Remarks	129
	References	131
5	Institutional Framework of the National Competition Authorities in the Central and Eastern European Countries	137
5.1	Introduction	137
5.2	Theoretical Foundation: Institutional Embeddedness	138

5.3	The Institutional Design Requirements: Regulation 1/2003	141
5.4	The Institutional Design Requirements: The ECN+ Directive	142
5.5	Introduction to the NCAs of CEE Countries	144
5.6	Independence and Accountability: Finding the Right Balance	148
5.6.1	Independence	148
5.6.1.1	Dimensions of Independence	150
5.6.2	Accountability	151
5.6.3	The CEE Countries and Their Balance Between Independence and Accountability	153
5.6.3.1	Structural Independence of the NCAs of the CEE Countries	154
5.6.3.2	Operational and Functional Independence of the NCAs: Different Models of Competition Law Enforcement	155
5.6.3.2.1	Operational and Functional Independence of NCAs of the CEE Countries	157
5.6.3.2.1.1	Large CEE Countries	157
5.6.3.2.1.2	Small CEE Countries	161
5.6.3.3	Accountability of the NCAs of the CEE Countries	164
5.7	Human and Financial Resources: Policies to Address These Resources	167
5.7.1	Human and Financial Resources of the NCAs in Large and Small CEE Countries	167
5.7.2	Prioritisation Policies of the NCAs in the CEE Countries	170
5.7.3	Single-Functional/Multi-Functional NCAs of the CEE Countries	173
5.8	Concluding Remarks	178
	References	179
6	Harmonisation of Public Enforcement: Basic Powers of the National Competition Authorities, Sanctions, and Leniency Policies	185
6.1	Introduction	185
6.2	Regulation 1/2003: Enforcement and Procedural Powers	188
6.3	The ECN+ Directive	188
6.4	Overview of the Competition Law Enforcement Systems in the CEE Countries	192
6.5	Basic Tools: Investigative Powers of the NCAs in the CEE Countries	194
6.5.1	Large CEE Countries	195
6.5.2	Small CEE Countries	197
6.6	Basic Tools: Decision-Making Powers of the NCAs in the CEE Countries	199
6.7	Leniency Policy	201

6.7.1	Overview	201
6.7.2	Leniency Programme: The CEE Countries' Experience . . .	202
6.7.2.1	Full Immunity	204
6.7.2.2	Reduction of Fines	206
6.7.2.3	Scope of the Leniency Programmes and Damages	207
6.7.2.4	New Developments	208
6.8	Fining Policy	210
6.8.1	Overview	210
6.8.2	Fining Policy: The CEE Countries' Experience	212
6.8.3	Fining Policies and Settlements in the CEE Countries	216
6.9	Mutual Assistance	217
6.10	Promotion of Competition Culture in the CEE Countries	218
6.11	Concluding Remarks	221
	References	223
7	Harmonisation of Private Enforcement in the Central and Eastern European Countries	227
7.1	Introduction	227
7.2	Overview of the Transposition of the Antitrust Damages Directive in the CEE Countries	229
7.3	Antitrust Damages Directive: Subject Matter, Scope, and Competent Courts in the CEE Countries	233
7.3.1	The Scope of the Antitrust Damages Directive	233
7.3.2	The Right to Full Compensation	236
7.3.3	Competent National Courts in the CEE Countries	239
7.4	Disclosure of Evidence and Its Limits	240
7.4.1	Disclosure of Evidence	240
7.4.2	Limits to the Disclosure of Evidence	243
7.4.2.1	Proportionality	243
7.4.2.2	Confidentiality	244
7.4.3	Failure to Disclose: Penalties	246
7.4.4	Access to Documents in the File of a Competition Authority: A Three-Grade List	249
7.5	Effect of National Decisions	251
7.6	Limitation Periods	253
7.7	Joint and Several Liability and Its Exceptions	256
7.7.1	Joint and Several Liability	256
7.7.2	Exceptions to the Joint and Several Liability	257
7.8	Passing-on Defence and Indirect Purchasers	259
7.9	Presumption of Harm and Quantification of Harm	261
7.9.1	Presumption of Harm	261
7.9.2	Quantification of Harm	262
7.10	Consensual Dispute Resolution	263
7.11	Collective Redress	265
7.12	Concluding Remarks	269
	References	270