
Table of Contents

Foreword		xxxiii
Chapter 1:	General Report: GAARs	1
	<i>Richard Krever</i>	
1.1.	Introduction	1
1.2.	Models	3
1.3.	When is the GAAR used?	5
1.4.	Taxpayer's purpose	7
1.5.	Counterfactual	11
1.6.	Abuse of the anti-abuse rule	12
1.7.	GAARs and SAARs	13
1.8.	GAARs and tax treaties	14
1.9.	GAARs and EU law	16
1.10.	Alternatives to a GAAR	17
1.11.	Looking forward	20
Chapter 2:	European Union	21
	<i>Ioanna Mitroyanni</i>	
2.1.	Introduction	21
2.2.	Requirements for applying the GAAR	23
2.2.1.	The ATP Rec	23
2.2.2.	Objective element	24
2.2.3.	Subjective element	26
2.2.4.	Taxes subject to the GAAR	27
2.2.5.	Limits to the concept of a GAAR	27
2.2.6.	Burden of proof	28

Table of Contents

2.3.	Legal consequences of applying a GAAR	29
2.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	29
2.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	30
2.5.1.	EU law and tax treaties as international agreements	30
2.5.2.	Interface between GAARs and tax treaties	32
2.5.3.	Closing observations	33
2.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	34
2.6.1.	Can the GAAR be tested against the freedoms?	34
2.6.2.	The case law of the ECJ	35
2.6.2.1.	Introduction	35
2.6.2.2.	The concept of tax abuse	36
2.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	42
Chapter 3:	Australia	45
	<i>Richard Krever and Peter Mellor</i>	
3.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	45
3.1.1.	Introduction	45
3.1.2.	Australia's early rules dealing with BEPS	48
3.1.3.	Australia's recent GAAR rules dealing with BEPS	49
3.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	49
3.2.1.	Elements of the GAAR	49
3.2.2.	Elections and options	52
3.2.3.	The GAAR panel	52
3.3.	Consequences of applying GAARs	53
3.3.1.	Cancellation of the "tax benefit"	53
3.3.2.	Penalties	54
3.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	54
3.4.1.	Defining SAARs	54
3.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	58
3.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	61

3.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	61
3.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	61
Chapter 4:	Austria	65
	<i>Viktoria Wöhrer</i>	
4.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	65
4.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	67
4.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	70
4.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	71
4.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	72
4.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	74
4.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	77
4.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	80
Chapter 5:	Belgium	83
	<i>Marc Bourgeois and Aymeric Nollet</i>	
5.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	83
5.1.1.	The principle of the legality of tax and the free choice of the least taxed way in the Belgian legal order	83
5.1.2.	The former GAARs in the Belgian legal order	87
5.1.3.	The new GAARs in the Belgian legal order	89
5.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	90
5.2.1.	The scope of the non-enforceability rule	92
5.2.2.	The objective and subjective elements of the concept of tax abuse	92
5.2.3.	The burden of proof	94
5.2.4.	The entry into force of the new GAARs	95
5.2.5.	The circular letters of the tax administration	95
5.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	96

Table of Contents

5.3.1.	The tax authorities' power to recharacterize the taxpayer's legal acts	96
5.3.2.	The Constitutional Court's decision on the new GAARs	97
5.3.3.	Advance rulings?	99
5.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	100
5.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	102
5.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	104
5.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	104
5.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	105
Chapter 6:	Brazil	109
	<i>Luís Eduardo Schoueri and Mateus Calicchio Barbosa</i>	
6.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	109
6.1.1.	The previous formalist approach	110
6.1.2.	Legislative moves towards a GAAR	112
6.1.2.1.	An awkward first step: The inaccuracy of Complementary Law no. 104/01	112
6.1.2.2.	The subsequent stumble: The rejection of Provisional Measure no. 66/02	114
6.1.2.3.	Winds from the BEPS Plan: Mandatory disclosure of tax planning	116
6.1.3.	Giving up formal legality: The CARF's recent approach to tax planning	116
6.1.3.1.	The misuse of the sham doctrine as a facade for the business purpose approach	117
6.1.3.2.	No more sham: The <i>Lupatech</i> case	120
6.1.4.	Problems of giving up legality	120
6.1.4.1.	Legal certainty and retroactivity	120
6.1.4.2.	Equality and legal reasoning	121
6.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	123
6.2.1.	Selected issues decided by the CARF	124
6.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	130

6.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	131
6.4.1.	Brazilian transfer pricing legislation: A compromise for practicability	131
6.4.2.	Brazilian thin capitalization rules: An unnecessary movement	133
6.4.3.	Transfer pricing and thin capitalization: Harmonious coexistence	134
6.4.4.	Brazilian CFC rules: A SAAR?	135
6.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	138
6.5.1.	SAARs in the Brazilian treaty framework: A growing trend	139
6.5.2.	The <i>Eagle II</i> case: Tax treaties not a lifeline for abusive “stratagems”	141
6.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	143
6.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	143
6.8.	Alternatives to GAARS	144
Chapter 7:	Canada	147
	<i>Martha O’Brien</i>	
7.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	147
7.1.1.	Introduction	147
7.1.2.	Reasons for adopting the GAAR	147
7.1.3.	Canada’s GAAR and the BEPS project	149
7.1.4.	Canadian law of tax avoidance and abuse of right	150
7.1.5.	GAARs and indirect taxation	151
7.2.	Requirements for application of GAARs	151
7.2.1.	Introduction	151
7.2.2.	“Tax benefit”	153
7.2.3.	“Avoidance transaction”	153
7.2.3.1.	“Series of transactions”	155
7.2.4.	“Abuse or misuse”	157
7.2.5.	GAAR statistics	158
7.2.6.	Constitutionality of the GAAR	159
7.2.7.	Advance disclosure of aggressive avoidance transactions	160

Table of Contents

7.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	161
7.3.1.	Denial of the tax benefit	161
7.3.2.	The GAAR Committee	162
7.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	163
7.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	165
7.5.1.	General	165
7.5.2.	GAARs and provincial taxes: A model for an international GAAR?	167
7.5.3.	The Québec shuffle and the strict view of abuse	168
7.5.4.	Ontario Fincos and Alberta's approach to tax avoidance	171
7.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	175
7.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	176
7.8.	Alternatives to GAARS	176
Chapter 8:	China	179
	<i>Bristar Mingxing Cao and Na Li</i>	
8.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	179
8.1.1.	Overview	179
8.1.2.	Legislative structure of the GAAR	181
8.1.3.	The concept of tax avoidance	183
8.1.4.	Legislative background and debate	185
8.1.5.	Tax evasion, tax avoidance and tax mitigation	186
8.1.6.	Textualism?	186
8.1.7.	Influence of international organizations and other countries	187
8.1.8.	Interaction with other non-taxation measures	188
8.1.9.	Laws similar to the GAAR in other areas	189
8.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	190
8.2.1.	Elements of the application of the GAAR	190
8.2.2.	Example of application of the GAAR	191
8.2.3.	Reporting obligation of non-residents for offshore shares transfer	193
8.2.4.	Application of the GAAR beyond the CIT Law	194

8.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	195
8.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	196
8.4.1.	SAARs in China	196
8.4.1.1.	Transfer pricing regime	196
8.4.1.2.	CFC regime	197
8.4.1.3.	Thin capitalization regime	198
8.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	198
8.5.1.	Relationship between the GAAR and tax treaties	198
8.5.2.	Application of the GAAR to counter treaty shopping	199
8.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	199
8.7.	GAARS and recent European developments	200
8.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	200
8.8.1.	Substance over form principle	200
8.8.2.	Certainty of GAAR application	201
8.8.3.	GAAR Procedure Rule	202
Chapter 9:	Croatia	205
	<i>Nataša Žunić Kovačević, Stjepan Gadžo, Sabina Hodžić and Irena Klemenčić</i>	
9.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	205
9.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	208
9.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	208
9.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	209
9.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	211
9.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	211
9.7.	GAARS and recent European developments	214
9.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	217

Table of Contents

Chapter 10:	Czech Republic	219
	<i>Danuše Nerudová and Lukáš Moravec</i>	
10.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	219
10.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	221
10.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	224
10.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	225
10.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	227
10.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	227
10.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	229
10.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	231
Chapter 11:	Denmark	237
	<i>Søren Friis Hansen</i>	
11.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	237
11.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	240
11.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	240
11.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	242
11.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	242
11.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	242
11.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	245
11.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	245

Chapter 12:	Finland	247
	<i>Marjaana Helminen</i>	
12.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	247
12.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	248
12.2.1.	The provision	248
12.2.2.	Typical situations in which the GAAR is applied	249
12.2.3.	Tax avoidance purpose	250
12.2.4.	Reasons other than tax reasons	251
12.2.5.	Rule of law principle	251
12.2.6.	International aspects	253
12.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	255
12.3.1.	Tax consequences	255
12.3.2.	Advance rulings	256
12.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	256
12.4.1.	SAARs under Finnish tax law	256
12.4.2.	Methods for the elimination of double taxation	258
12.4.3.	Relation between SAARs and GAARs	258
12.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	259
12.5.1.	When can domestic GAARs be applied in tax treaty situations?	259
12.5.2.	Case law	262
12.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	263
12.6.1.	Relevance of the TFEU freedoms	263
12.6.2.	Tax avoidance as a justification for restricting the TFEU freedoms	265
12.6.3.	Directives	267
12.6.4.	Other than artificial arrangements	267
12.6.5.	Relations with third countries	269
12.6.6.	May the application of the Finnish domestic GAAR restrict the TFEU Freedoms?	270
12.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	272
12.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	273

Table of Contents

Chapter 13:	France	275
	<i>Thomas Dubut</i>	
13.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	275
13.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	277
13.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	279
13.4.	GAARs and SAARs (special anti-avoidance rules)	280
13.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	281
13.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	283
13.7.	GAARS and recent European developments	284
13.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	285
Chapter 14:	Germany	287
	<i>Klaus-Dieter Driën</i>	
14.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	287
14.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	290
14.2.1.	Abuse of tax law	290
14.2.1.1.	Construction leading to a tax benefit	291
14.2.1.2.	Intention of abuse	291
14.2.1.3.	Reduction of taxes	291
14.2.2.	Burden of proof	292
14.2.2.1.	First step: Argumentation regarding abuse by the tax administration	292
14.2.2.2.	Second step: Invalidation by the taxpayer	293
14.2.2.3.	Relevance of non-tax motives	293
14.2.3.	Domestic examples of situations in which GAARs must be applied	293
14.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	295
14.4.	GAARs and SAARs	296
14.4.1.	Sequence tests	298
14.4.1.1.	First step	298

14.4.1.2.	Second step	298
14.4.2.	Restrictive effect, where the single prerequisites of the corresponding SAAR are not fulfilled	298
14.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	300
14.5.1.	Relationship between GAARs and tax treaties – Debate among scholars and judicial opinions	300
14.5.2.	Examples of the treatment of coexisting GAARs and tax treaties in Germany – Basis for negotiation for agreements for the avoidance of double taxation	301
14.5.3.	Prevention of abuse of tax treaties by GAARs and SAARs – Treaty shopping	302
14.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	303
14.6.1.	EU law requirements	303
14.6.2.	Consequences for the application of the domestic GAAR	303
14.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	304
14.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	305
Chapter 15:	Hungary	307
	<i>Borbála Kolozs and Richard Krever</i>	
15.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	307
15.1.1.	The meaning of tax avoidance in Hungary	307
15.1.2.	The history of the Hungarian GAARs	307
15.2.	Application of the GAARs	310
15.2.1.	The “form and substance” GAAR	310
15.2.2.	The “proper use of rights” GAAR	312
15.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	314
15.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	315
15.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	317
15.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	318

Table of Contents

15.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	319
15.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	320
Chapter 16:	India	321
	<i>Nilesh M. Kapadia</i>	
16.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	321
16.2.	Requirements for application of the GAAR	326
16.2.1.	Requirements	326
16.2.2.	Onus on the assessing officer	326
16.2.3.	Safeguard	327
16.2.4.	Constitutionality	328
16.2.5.	Clarifying examples on where the GAAR should apply	329
16.3.	Legal consequences of applying a GAAR	332
16.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	334
16.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	337
16.6.	GAARs and EU law	339
16.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	340
16.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	340
Chapter 17:	Ireland	345
	<i>Gerardine Doyle and Emer Hunt</i>	
17.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	345
17.1.1.	The Irish GAAR	345
17.1.2.	History of the Irish GAAR	345
17.1.3.	Irish GAAR challenges “tax avoidance” transactions	347
17.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	350
17.2.1.	Requirements	350
17.2.2.	Activity by Revenue Commissioners under the Irish GAAR	352

17.2.3.	Irish GAAR supplemented by Mandatory Disclosure Regime	353
17.3.	Legal consequences of applying the GAAR	353
17.3.1.	Legal consequences	353
17.3.2.	Mechanics of the Irish GAAR	354
17.3.3.	Revenue's discretion as to recharacterization of the transaction	355
17.3.4.	Judicial consideration of the GAAR	357
17.3.5.	Uncertainties unresolved by the judgment	359
17.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	360
17.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	362
17.6.	GAARs and EU legal requirements	363
17.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	364
17.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	365
Chapter 18:	Italy	369
	<i>Paolo Piantavigna</i>	
18.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	369
18.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	374
18.2.1.	The teleological element	375
18.2.2.	The objective element	375
18.2.3.	The subjective element	376
18.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	380
18.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	383
18.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	385
18.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	386
18.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	390
18.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	392

Table of Contents

Chapter 19:	Liechtenstein	397
	<i>Martin Wenz, Patrick Knörzer and Simon Busch</i>	
19.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	397
19.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	398
19.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	401
19.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	401
19.4.1.	SAAR on adequate salary of managing shareholders	402
19.4.2.	SAAR on restructurings	403
19.4.3.	SAAR on write-downs and value adjustments of shares	404
19.4.4.	SAAR on low-interest shareholder loans	405
19.4.5.	Summary	405
19.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	406
19.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	407
19.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	408
19.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	409
Chapter 20:	Mexico	411
	<i>Daniel Fuentes Hernández and César Alejandro Ruiz Jiménez</i>	
20.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	411
20.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	419
20.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	423
20.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	424
20.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	431
20.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	431

20.7.	GAARS and recent European developments	431
20.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	431
Chapter 21:	Netherlands	435
	<i>Sigrid Hemels</i>	
21.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	435
21.1.1.	The Netherlands statutory GAAR	435
21.1.2.	Fraus legis	437
21.1.3.	Impact of BEPS on Netherlands GAARs	437
21.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	438
21.2.1.	Requirements for applying <i>fraus legis</i>	438
21.2.2.	Taxes to which <i>fraus legis</i> can be applied	440
21.2.3.	Application in the case of an arrangement known to be available	442
21.2.4.	Application of GAARs in practice	443
21.2.5.	Burden of proof	445
21.2.6.	GAARs and the legality principle	445
21.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	446
21.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	447
21.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	449
21.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	450
21.7.	GAARS and recent European developments	452
21.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	455
Chapter 22:	New Zealand	457
	<i>Craig Elliffe and Andrew Smith</i>	
22.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	457
22.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	459
22.2.1.	Introduction	459
22.2.2.	Income tax GAAR – Section BG 1 of the ITA 2007	459
22.2.3.	GST (VAT) GAAR – Section 76 of the GSTA 1985	464

Table of Contents

22.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	464
22.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	467
22.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	467
22.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	470
22.7.	GAARS and recent European developments	470
22.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	470
Chapter 23:	Norway	473
	<i>Ingebjørg Vamråk</i>	
23.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	473
23.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	474
23.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	476
23.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	477
23.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	478
23.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	480
23.7.	GAARS and recent European developments	481
23.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	483
Chapter 24:	Poland	485
	<i>Hanna Filipczyk and Agnieszka Olesińska</i>	
24.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	485
24.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	489
24.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	493
24.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	496
24.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	497

24.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	500
24.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	504
24.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	507
Chapter 25:	Portugal	515
	<i>Gustavo Lopes Courinha</i>	
25.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	515
25.1.1.	Overview	515
25.1.2.	The need for (predictable) tools to counter tax avoidance	515
25.1.3.	The introduction of a GAAR and the view of the legal system as a whole	517
25.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	518
25.2.1.	The elements of the GAAR	518
25.2.2.	The use of the GAAR and the role of the legislature	520
25.2.3.	Elements of the GAAR as interpreted by the courts	521
25.2.3.1.	The result element – The tax advantage	522
25.2.3.2.	The means element – The abuse of legal forms	523
25.2.3.3.	The intellectual element – The tax motivation	524
25.2.3.4.	The normative-systematic element – Existence of <i>fraus legis</i>	525
25.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	526
25.3.1.	Mere tax effects	526
25.3.2.	Rulings and the use of the GAAR	526
25.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	527
25.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	528
25.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	529
25.7.	GAARs and recent EU developments	530
25.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	530
25.8.1.	Overview	530
25.8.2.	The use of the GAAR – The past and perspectives	530
25.8.3.	The economic interpretation	531

Table of Contents

Chapter 26:	Romania	533
	<i>Madalina Cotrut</i>	
26.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	533
26.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	535
26.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	537
26.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	538
26.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	539
26.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	540
26.7.	GAARS and recent European developments	541
26.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	541
Chapter 27:	Russia	543
	<i>Vladimir Tyutyuryukov</i>	
27.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	543
27.1.1.	GAARs in the Russian legal environment	543
27.1.2.	Tax avoidance, tax evasion and non-tax regulations	545
27.1.3.	Public morality as a GAAR?	546
27.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	551
27.2.1.	Legal background	551
27.2.2.	Burden of proof	551
27.2.3.	Disclosing tax planning?	552
27.2.4.	Statistics	553
27.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	553
27.3.1.	General consequences	553
27.3.2.	GAAR application – some evidence from court practice	554
27.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	557
27.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	559

27.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	562
27.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	563
27.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	564
Chapter 28:	Serbia	569
	<i>Svetislav Kostic</i>	
28.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	569
28.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	571
28.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	573
28.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	576
28.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	578
28.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	578
28.7.	GAARS and recent European developments	579
28.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	580
Chapter 29:	Slovak Republic	583
	<i>Lubica Adame</i>	
29.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	583
29.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	584
29.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	586
29.3.1.	Judgments of the Supreme Court of the Slovak Republic	587
29.3.2.	Tax rulings	588
29.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	589
29.4.1.	CFC rules and thin capitalization rules	589
29.4.2.	Loss carry-forward limitation	589
29.4.3.	Transfer pricing	590
29.4.4.	Payments for services to non-treaty jurisdictions	590

Table of Contents

29.4.5.	Limitation of benefits	591
29.4.6.	Beneficial ownership	592
29.4.7.	Look-through approach	592
29.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	592
29.5.1.	The Supreme Court's view of treaty shopping	593
29.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	594
29.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	594
29.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	595
Chapter 30:	Slovenia	597
	<i>Lidija Hauptman and Sabina Taškar Beloglavec</i>	
30.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	597
30.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	601
30.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	602
30.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	602
30.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	605
30.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	606
30.7.	GAARS and recent European developments	606
30.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	609
Chapter 31:	South Africa	611
	<i>Annet Wanyana Oguttu</i>	
31.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	611
31.1.1.	The previous GAAR and why it was repealed	611
31.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	613
31.2.1.	There must be an “arrangement”	613
31.2.2.	Arrangement must constitute an “avoidance arrangement”	614

31.2.3.	Sole or main purpose to obtain a tax benefit	615
31.2.4.	Avoidance arrangement constitutes an impermissible avoidance arrangement	617
31.2.4.1.	In the context of business	617
31.2.4.2.	In a context other than business	622
31.2.4.3.	In any other context	623
31.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	626
31.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	628
31.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	629
31.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	630
31.7.	GAARS and recent European developments	631
31.8.	Alternatives to GAARS	631
31.8.1.	Common law doctrine as an alternative	631
31.8.2.	Recommendations and concluding remarks	632
Chapter 32:	South Korea	633
	<i>Hyejung Byun and Soo Jean Park</i>	
32.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	633
32.1.1.	Do GAARs exist in Korea?	633
32.1.2.	Sham transaction doctrine in the Civil Act	634
32.1.3.	Introduction of GAARs?	635
32.1.4.	Influence of the international debate	637
32.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	638
32.2.1.	Requirements for the application of a GAAR:	
	General	638
32.2.2.	Scope of GAARs	639
32.2.3.	Situations in which GAARs are applicable	639
32.2.3.1.	Application of GAARs in case law	639
32.2.3.2.	Applicable to double non-taxation?	642
32.2.3.3.	Applicable to tax advantages provided on purpose?	642
32.2.4.	Relationship between GAARs and the Constitution	643
32.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	644
32.3.1.	Legal consequences of applying the current rules	644
32.3.2.	Relationship between GAARs and rulings	644

Table of Contents

32.4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance provisions)	645
32.4.1.	SAARs	646
32.4.1.1.	Denial of unreasonable transactions	646
32.4.1.2.	Transfer pricing rules	646
32.4.1.3.	Thin capitalization rules	646
32.4.1.4.	Controlled foreign company rules	647
32.4.2.	SAARs in tax treaties	647
32.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	650
32.6.	GAARs and EU law requirements	652
32.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	652
32.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	652
Chapter 33:	Spain	655
	<i>Juan José Zornoza and Andrés Báez</i>	
33.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	655
33.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	657
33.2.1.	The scope of the GAAR	657
33.2.2.	The legal requirements of the GAAR	659
33.2.2.1.	The existence of a tax saving	659
33.2.2.2.	A tax saving obtained through artificial or improper arrangements	661
33.2.2.2.1.	The infrequency or non-usual character of an arrangement is excluded from the concept of artificiality	662
33.2.2.2.2.	The meaning of clearly artificial or improper	662
33.2.3.	The burden of proof in the Spanish GAAR	666
33.3.	Legal consequences of applying the GAAR	667
33.4.	GAARs and SAARs	669
33.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	673
33.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	675

33.7.	GAARS and recent European developments (CCCTB, recommendation of the Commission)	677
33.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	679
Chapter 34:	Sweden	683
	<i>Stefan Olsson</i>	
34.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	683
34.1.1.	Introduction	683
34.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	688
34.2.1.	Introduction	688
34.2.2.	Which taxes are subject to the GAAR?	689
34.2.3.	Requirements for the current GAAR	691
34.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	694
34.4.	GAARs and SAARs (special anti-avoidance rules)	695
34.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	697
34.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	698
34.7.	GAARS and recent European developments (CCCTB, recommendation of the Commission)	698
34.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	698
Chapter 35:	Switzerland	701
	<i>Peter Hongler</i>	
35.1.	GAARs – an emerging trend in the tax landscape	701
35.1.1.	The Swiss GAAR between tax avoidance and tax planning	701
35.1.2.	Development of the GAAR	701
35.1.2.1.	Blumenstein and the German origin of the Swiss GAAR	703
35.1.2.2.	The subsequent discourse in the literature	703
35.1.2.3.	The tax avoidance doctrine in Article 21 of the WHTA	704
35.1.2.4.	The tax avoidance doctrine in certain cantonal income tax acts	705

Table of Contents

35.1.3.	The future of the GAAR	706
35.1.4.	The importance of the GAAR in practice	706
35.1.5.	The GAAR and tax rulings	707
35.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	707
35.2.1.	Requirements	707
35.2.1.1.	In general	707
35.2.1.2.	Unusual/inadequate (<i>insolite</i>) structure	708
35.2.1.3.	Actual tax savings	708
35.2.1.4.	Intention to save taxes	708
35.2.2.	Areas of application of the tax avoidance doctrine	708
35.2.2.1.	In general	708
35.2.2.2.	Income tax	709
35.2.2.3.	Gift and inheritance taxes	710
35.2.2.4.	Issuance stamp duty	710
35.2.2.5.	Real estate capital gains tax and real estate transfer tax	711
35.2.2.6.	VAT	711
35.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	712
35.3.1.	In general	712
35.3.2.	Examples	713
35.3.2.1.	Triangular gift	713
35.3.2.2.	International transposition	713
35.3.2.3.	VAT airplane cases	714
35.4.	GAARs and SAARs	715
35.4.1.	Preliminary remarks	715
35.4.2.	SAARs with an explicit legal basis	715
35.4.2.1.	Transposition	715
35.4.2.2.	The Swiss thin cap rule	716
35.4.3.	SAARs without a legal basis	717
35.4.3.1.	Old reserve doctrine (<i>Altreservenpraxis</i>)	717
35.4.3.2.	Interaction between a written SAAR and an unwritten SAAR – Transfer of shell companies	718
35.4.4.	Relation between SAARs and tax treaties	719
35.4.4.1.	Transfer of shell companies	719
35.4.4.2.	The old reserve doctrine	720
35.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	720
35.5.1.	Application of the Swiss GAAR in a treaty context	720
35.5.1.1.	Overview	720

35.5.1.2.	Exception – Explicit reservation in a tax treaty?	721
35.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	722
35.7.	GAARS and recent European developments (CCCTB, recommendation of the Commission)	722
35.8.	Alternatives to GAARS	722
35.8.1.	Preliminary remarks	722
35.8.2.	Substance-over-form – A possible alternative to the GAAR?	722
35.8.3.	Are more precise SAARS an alternative to the Swiss GAAR?	723
35.8.4.	Are other alternatives to a GAAR feasible?	723
Chapter 36:	Turkey	725
	<i>Ege Berber Villeneuve</i>	
36.1.	GAARS – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	725
36.2.	Requirements of the application of the GAAR	726
36.2.1.	Elements of tax veiling	728
36.2.1.1.	Legal form	728
36.2.1.2.	Economic result	728
36.2.1.3.	Abuse of a legal form	729
36.2.1.4.	Intention of abuse	730
36.2.2.	Proof	730
36.3.	Legal Consequences of applying the GAAR	731
36.4.	GAARS and SAARS	732
36.4.1.	The Scope of SAARS	732
36.4.1.1.	Transfer pricing rules	732
36.4.1.2.	Controlled foreign company rules	733
36.4.1.3.	Thin capitalization rules	734
36.4.1.4.	Withholding requirement on payments to low-tax jurisdictions	735
36.4.1.5.	Miscellaneous SAARS	735
36.5.	GAARS and tax treaties	736
36.6.	GAARS and EU law requirements	737

Table of Contents

36.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	737
36.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	737
Chapter 37:	United Kingdom	741
	<i>Judith Freedman</i>	
37.1.	GAARs – an emerging trend in the tax landscape	741
37.1.1.	Introduction	741
37.1.2.	The UK GAAR and the BEPS Action Plan	742
37.1.3.	Development of the judicial ‘Ramsay’ principle into a rule of statutory interpretation	743
37.1.4.	Introduction of a statutory GAAR	745
37.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	748
37.2.1.	Definitions and the double reasonableness test	748
37.2.2.	Rules of evidence, the GAAR Advisory Panel and statutorily recognized guidance	750
37.2.3.	Disclosure rules and the GAAR	753
37.2.4.	The GAAR as a trigger for other powers and penalties	753
37.2.5.	GAAR and the Rule of Law	754
37.2.6.	Examples of application of the GAAR	754
37.3.	Applying GAARs: Process and legal consequences	755
37.3.1.	Opinion of the Panel on reasonableness and application of counteraction powers	755
37.3.2.	Rulings	757
37.4.	GAARs and SAARs (Special Anti-Avoidance Rules)	758
37.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	759
37.6.	GAARS and European Union law requirements (the Freedoms, directives)	760
37.7.	GAARS and recent European developments (CCCTB, recommendation of the Commission)	761
37.8.	Alternatives to GAARs	762

Chapter 38:	United States	765
	<i>Shay Menuchin and Yariv Brauner</i>	
38.1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape	765
38.2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	767
38.3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	778
38.4.	GAARs and SAARs	779
38.5.	GAARs and tax treaties	785
38.6.	GAARs and European Union law requirements	788
38.7.	GAARs and recent European developments	788
38.8.	An alternative to GAAR	788
Appendix:	Questionnaire	789
1.	GAARs – An emerging trend in the tax landscape and in the political debate of many countries	789
2.	Requirements for the application of GAARs	790
3.	Legal consequences of applying GAARs	791
4.	GAARs and SAARs (specific anti-avoidance rules)	791
5.	GAARs and tax treaties	792
6.	GAARs and EU law requirements (the freedoms; directives)	792
7.	GAARS and recent European developments (CCCTB; recommendation of the Commission)	793
8.	Alternatives to GAARs	794
List of Authors		795