

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	xi
General Introduction	1
[A] Snapshots and Stories: A Timeline of Competitive Process	2
[B] Little Interest for a Dynamic Appraisal of Firm Dominance	4
[C] In a Nutshell	6
CHAPTER 1	
The Process Paradox and Seven Conventional Origins of Firm Dominance	7
§1.01 Introduction	7
§1.02 Competition Is a Process	9
[A] The Paradox of Competition as a Process in the Case Law	9
[1] Express Considerations	9
[2] Competitive Process Through Proxies	11
[B] Competition as a Process in the Economic Literature	13
[C] The Origins of Dominance as Part of the Process of Competition	15
§1.03 Why Do the Origins of Dominance Matter?	19
[A] A Metaphor	19
[B] Diagnoses	20
[C] Remedies	22
§1.04 Seven Conventional Origins of Dominance	23
[A] Review of the Literature	23
[1] Economic Literature	24
[2] Strategic Management Literature	26
[3] Legal Scholarship	28
[B] The Seven Conventional Origins of Dominance	30
[1] Statutory Dominance	30
[2] Historical Operators	30
[3] Natural Monopolies	31
[4] Investments	31

Table of Contents

	[5] Intangible Resources	31
	[6] Accident and Luck	31
	[7] Anticompetitive Behavior on the Road to Dominance	32
§1.05	Limits of the Inquiry	32
	[A] Merger Control	32
	[B] Categorical Approach	32
CHAPTER 2		
	Statutory Dominance: The State at the Origin of Market Power	35
§2.01	Introduction	35
§2.02	A Conceptual Note	37
§2.03	Statutory Dominance: The State and the Market	39
§2.04	The Independent but Undifferentiated Application of Article 102 TFEU to Statutory Dominant Firms	43
	[A] The Independent Application of Article 102 TFEU	44
	[1] Article 106(1) TFEU and Article 102 TFEU	44
	[2] State Aid Law	47
	[B] The Undifferentiated Application of Article 102 TFEU	47
	[1] A Judicial Choice	48
	[2] The Enforcement Paradox	51
	[3] A Policy Paradox	53
	[C] Summation	54
§2.05	Opening the Black Box: Statutory Dominance Matters	55
	[A] An Initial Perspective	56
	[B] The Prospective Process	58
	[C] <i>Quid</i> of Article 345 TFEU?	60
	[D] Summation	61
§2.06	The <i>Post Danmark</i> Saga: An Incomplete Game Changer?	61
	[A] The <i>Post Danmark</i> Saga: A Turning Point and a Word of Caution	62
	[1] A Turning Point	62
	[2] A Word of Caution	64
	[B] Transposing the Principle of Equality of Opportunity as a <i>Guiding Principle</i>	65
	[1] The Common Place of Equality of Opportunity in the Case Law	65
	[2] Equality of Opportunity as a Guiding Principle in Case of Statutory Dominance	66
	[C] How to Incorporate the Statutory Origins of Firm Dominance in the Analysis of Abusive Conduct	68
	[1] Leveraging Statutory Advantages: A Cost Benchmark	69
	[2] A Proactive Approach Toward Facilitating Entry	71
	[3] Non-contestable Markets and Less Efficient Competitors	73
	[4] Reducing the Range of Objective Justifications	74
§2.07	The Application of Article 102 TFEU to Foreign Statutory Dominance	76

[A]	Geoeconomics and Global Competition	77
[B]	Abuse of Foreign Statutory Dominance	79
§2.08	Conclusion	81
CHAPTER 3		
	Historical Operators: The Legacy of the State	83
§3.01	Introduction	83
§3.02	Unifying Terminology	85
§3.03	The <i>Post Danmark</i> Obiter Dictum: A Reminder	86
§3.04	Historical Operators Before <i>Post Danmark I</i>	87
[A]	Describing Historical Operators	87
[B]	The Absence of Competition for the Market and State Resources	89
[1]	The Absence of Competition for (and in) the Market	89
[2]	State Funding	92
[C]	Historical Advantages and Equality of Opportunity	93
[1]	Equality of Opportunity in Article 102 TFEU	93
[2]	Equality of Opportunity and the Process of Competition	95
[D]	First-Movers and Adjacent Markets	98
[1]	What Is the Story?	98
[2]	A Unidirectional Analysis: What About Pioneering Disadvantages?	100
[3]	Standing up for Recoupment	101
[E]	Incentives to Invest and Innovate	104
[1]	Telefónica and Beyond	104
[2]	Ex ante Incentives: Analysis for Historical Operators	107
[F]	Competition Between Historical Operators and Effect on Trade	110
§3.05	Historical Operators after <i>Post Danmark I</i>	112
[A]	<i>Orange Polska</i>	112
[B]	<i>Slovak Telekom</i>	114
§3.06	The Transient Historical Position and Incentives to Behave Anticompetitively	115
[A]	Sustainability	115
[B]	Incentives to Behave Anticompetitively	116
§3.07	Conclusion	118
CHAPTER 4		
	The Natural Monopolist	121
§4.01	Introduction	121
§4.02	The Economic Theory of Natural Monopoly	122
[A]	What a Natural Monopoly Is <i>Not</i>	122
[B]	Natural Monopoly Is Found in Nature	123
[C]	Natural Monopoly in Contemporary IO Terms	125
[D]	Summation	128
§4.03	The Market Reality of Natural Monopolies	129
[A]	Natural Monopolies and Industrial History	129

Table of Contents

	[1] Telecommunications	130
	[2] Railroads	134
	[3] Summation	138
	[B] Natural Monopoly and the Competitive Process	139
§4.04	Whither Natural Monopolist? A Legal Analysis	141
	[A] Natural Monopolies in the Case Law	141
	[1] Natural Monopolies	142
	[2] Are “De Facto Monopolies” Natural Monopolies?	144
	[3] Efficiency and Natural Monopolies	146
	[B] Natural Monopolies and Article 102 TFEU Enforcement	148
	[1] Natural Monopoly Defense	148
	[2] The “More Efficient” Firm Framework	150
§4.05	Conclusion	151
CHAPTER 5		
The Investor Dominant Firm		
§5.01	Introduction	153
§5.02	The Place of Investment Efforts in Article 102 TFEU	153
	[A] A General Look into the Place of Investments in Article 102 TFEU Case Law	155
	[1] United Brands and the Forgotten Paragraph	155
	[2] Commercial Solvents and the Protection of a Rival’s Investments	156
	[3] Microsoft and the Echoes of United Brands and Commercial Solvents	157
	[4] Intel, Moore’s “Law” and Endogenous Change	159
	[5] Google Shopping and Investments as an Entry Barrier	160
	[B] Doctrinal Constructs and Investment Myopia	161
	[1] The Existence and Exercise Dichotomy	162
	[2] The Unwarranted Asymmetry Between Intellectual and Physical Property in Refusal to Deal Cases	163
§5.03	Investments, Market Uncertainty and the Competitive Process	168
§5.04	A Process-Oriented Approach to Article 102 TFEU and Investor Dominant Firms	173
	[A] Deproprietization and the Competitive Process	173
	[B] The Misleading Non-contestable Share of the Demand	179
	[1] The “Old” Leveraging Theory	180
	[2] The “More-Economic” Leveraging Theory	181
	[3] Incumbency Advantages: A Process Perspective	184
	[4] A Simple Process Comparative and a Pragmatic Approach	190
	[C] Incumbency Disadvantages: <i>Google Shopping</i> and Beyond	192
§5.05	Conclusion	197

CHAPTER 6

The Intangible Dominant Firm	199
§6.01 Introduction	199
§6.02 Why Are Some Firms More Successful than Others? A Strategic Management Perspective	200
[A] Intangible Resources	200
[B] The Inimitability of Intangible Resources	203
[C] Intangible Dominance and the Cumulative Characteristic of the Competitive Process	206
[1] Path Dependence and Reputation	207
[2] Time Compression and Experience	210
[D] Summation	212
§6.03 Intangible Resources and Unilateral Firm Behavior	213
[A] <i>Competitive Asymmetries</i>	213
[B] The Expansion of Intangible Dominance and <i>Google Shopping</i>	215
[C] The Limits of Centralized Knowledge: <i>Michelin I</i> and <i>Intel</i>	218
[1] <i>Michelin I</i>	219
[2] <i>Intel</i>	220
§6.04 Conclusion	221

CHAPTER 7

The Lucky Monopolist	223
§7.01 Introduction	223
§7.02 The Passive Acquisition of Dominance	224
[A] Who Is the Lucky Monopolist?	224
[B] The Relevance of Luck for Dominance	225
[C] Discovering the Lucky Monopolist	229
§7.03 The Lucky Monopolist under Antitrust Scrutiny	232
[A] A Window for Opportunistic Behavior	232
[B] Luck and Article 102 TFEU Case Law	235
[1] Unforeseen Events	235
[2] Wrongly Granted Monopolies	237
[3] The Luck Defenses	239
[C] Price Gouging (Regulation)	241
§7.04 Conclusion	244

CHAPTER 8

The Anticompetitive Road to Dominance	245
§8.01 Introduction	245
§8.02 Section 2 SA and Article 102 TFEU on the Road to Monopoly	247
[A] A Threshold Misjudgment	247
[B] The Paradoxical Irrelevance of Market Power in Article 102 TFEU	249
[C] Market Power at the Center of Monopolization Offenses in US Antitrust Laws	250

Table of Contents

§8.03	The Anticompetitive Acquisition of Monopoly Power and Exercise of That Power	253
[A]	The Road to and Exercise of SMP	254
[B]	Deception in a Standard-Setting Process	259
[1]	In re. Dell: Stiglerian and Bainian Market Power	260
[2]	Rambus and the Anticompetitive Road to Monopoly on Both Sides of the Atlantic	261
[3]	Broadcom: Outcomes or Processes?	270
[C]	Anticompetitive Behavior <i>for</i> Dominance	271
[D]	Summation	273
§8.04	Fixing the Enforcement Gap in Article 102 TFEU	274
[A]	Differences Between Processes and Outcomes	274
[B]	How to Bridge the Gap?	276
[C]	Causation and Abuse	279
[D]	Causation and Remedial Action	280
§8.05	Conclusion	282
	General Conclusion	283
	Bibliography	289
	Table of Cases, Opinions and Decisions	321
	Table of Legislation	329
	Index	333