Contents

Table	e of Citations po	age xi
Ackn	nowledgments	xxiv
Forei	word	XXV
Prefa	ce	xviii
ı.	Introduction	і
2.	The Formation and Transformation of the Status of International and Domestic Arbitration in the United States	6
3.	Wilko v. Swan, Scherk v. Alberto-Culver, and Mitsubishi v. Soler: Crafting a Level Playing Field	. 15
	A. Wilko v. Swan B. Scherk v. Alberto-Culver: A Non-Reversal Reversal and a Look at M/S	15
	The Bremen v. Zapata C. Mitsubishi v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth: The Final Decisive Paradigm	20 30
4.	D. The Reversal of Wilko v. Swan: Time for a New Paradigm Procedural Change and 28 U.S.C. §1782: The Taking of	33
	A. Section 1782 and Its Elements	38
	 B. Intel Corp. v. Advanced Micro Devices: Discovery Is Engrafted Upon Arbitration and Change Reshapes the Horizon C. Intel Corp.: A Closer Look 	42 44
5.	The Gathering of Evidence v. Common Law Discovery	
100	A. In Re: Roz Trading Ltd.	51
	B. The Orthodox Misconception of Common Law Discovery in International Arbitration	55
	C. Discovery in Aid of Execution of a Non-U.S. Arbitral Award: No Longer an Outlandish Proposition	56
	D. The Pitfalls in <i>In Re: Patricio Clerici</i> : Discovery in Aid of a Non-U.S. Arbitral Award	58

6.	What Has Really Happened? The Effects of a Trilogy Examined	62
	A. Rediscovering Discovery in International Arbitral Proceedings and	
	the Principle of Party-Autonomy	62
7.	The New Unorthodox Conception of Common Law Discovery	
	in International Arbitration	67
	A. The IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International	
	Commercial Arbitration	68
	B. A Review of the Rules of Arbitration of the International	
	Chamber of Commerce	77
	C. Revisiting the Rules of the International Centre for Dispute Resolution	0,
	D. The Rules of the London Court of International Arbitration	80 82
	E. Synthesis of Institutional Arbitration Rules and Party-Autonomy	85
8.	And Now How Do We Avoid 28 U.S.C. Section 1782 in International Commercial Arbitration?	9.4
	A. The First Paradigm	87
	(i) The Consequences of Refusal and Manifest Disregard of the Law B. The <i>Duferco</i> Analysis	88
	C. Order Out of Chaos: The Need for a Uniform Standard for "Manifest	96
	Disregard of the Law" Beyond Mere Intuition	102
	D. Halligan v. Piper Jaffray	104
	E. New York Telephone Co. v. Communications Workers of America	108
	F. United States Steel & Carnegie Pension Fund v. John McSkimming	109
	G. Yet Another Paradigm: Patton v. Signature Ins. Agency	IIC
	H. Montes v. Shearson Lehman Bros., Inc.: A Literal Paradigm	112
	I. The Second Paradigm: Avoiding Section 1782	116
9.	Perjury & Arbitration: The Honor System Where the Arbitrators	
	Have the Honor and the Parties Have the System	. 118
10	Developments in the Apportionment of Jurisdiction Between	
10.	Arbitrators and Courts Concerning the Validity of a Contract	
	Containing an Arbitration Clause, and Transformations Regarding	
	the Severability Doctrine	. 120
	A. Who Decides the Validity of a Contract Having an Arbitration Clause:	
	Judge or Arbitrator?	130
	II S. Aukitenski and I com and I to Dielo and evidently New York Committee	
II.	U.S. Arbitration Law and Its Dialogue with the New York Convention: The Development of Four Issues	
	A. Nonsignatories to Agreements to Arbitrate B. Jurisdiction to Enforce	152
	C. Forum Non Conveniens: A Nightmare of Unpredictability?	166 160
	D. Annulled Awards and the <i>In Re: Chromalloy, Baker Marine</i> , and	100
	TermoRio Trio	170
_		
	clusion	
App	endix A Duelo a Garrotazos	. 191
	endix B Selected Cases	
TAPP	Deletter Cases	. 192

CONTENTS

ITS

ix

Appendix C	The New York Convention, The Federal Arbitration Act, and 28 U.S.C. §1782
	Amendments to 28 U.S.C. §1782320
	Selected Rules of Civil Procedure
	Geneva Convention of 1927
Appendix G	Selections from the Legislative History of the Federal Arbitration Act
Index	40