

Table of Contents

Preface.....	V
List of Abbreviations	X
Introduction	1
Chapter I:	11
Historical Development of Continental European and U.S.-American Views on Transnational Litigation.....	11
§ 1: Introduction	11
§ 2: United States.....	16
I. Introduction	16
II. The Pre-Modern Period	17
III. The Modern Period	21
A. Active Resistance to Treaty-Making.....	21
1. States' Rights	22
2. Positivism and the "Cult Of The Common Law"	24
B. Unilateralism as a Normative Concept in Dealing With Transnational Litigation	30
1. International Law	30
2. Changes in Choice-of-Law Approaches	33
3. America as the Leader of the Free World	34
4. Changes in the Law of Civil Procedure.....	35
5. Unilateralism in Action: The New Rules for Judicial Cooperation of 1963/64.....	39
C. Exercising Leadership in International Treaty-Making Without Giving Up the Power to Act Unilaterally	41
1. Origins.....	41
2. The Hague Service and Evidence Conventions.....	43
IV. Summary	45
§ 3: Continental Europe	47
I. Introduction	47
II. Reasons for the Abundance of Treaties	47
A. Pragmatism in a World of Small Jurisdictional Units	47
B. The Advent of National Sovereignty, Nationalism, and Codification.....	48
III. The Age of Treaties Begins in Earnest.....	54
A. Early Treaties.....	54

B. Later Treaties: The Onset of Multilateralism at The Hague and the Interaction Among Bilateral and Multilateral Treaties	55
C. The Result: Cross-Fertilization Among Bilateral and Multilateral Treaty- Making and Municipal Law in Transnational Litigation	58
1. Clarification	59
2. Ossification	60
3. Liberalization	61
D. Harmonization at an Increased Speed: Recent Developments in Europe.....	62
IV. Summary	66
§ 4: Concluding Observations.....	68
I. Introduction	68
II. Impatience	69
III. Lack of Willingness to Incur a Quid Pro Quo	70
IV. Conclusion	73
Chapter II:.....	74
The Forces Affecting Trans-Atlantic Lawmaking for Transnational Litigation	74
§ 5: Introduction	74
§ 6: German Judicial Cooperation for Litigation in the United States	76
I. Introduction	76
II. Differences in Civil Procedure and Procedural Philosophy Relevant for an Understanding of the Unfolding of the Justizkonflikt	79
A. Service of Process	79
B. Scope of Evidentiary Obligations	81
III. Sensitizing Courts and Commentators to a Problem	86
IV. The Justizkonflikt Emerges	95
V. Effects in the Area of Recognition and Enforcement of U.S. Judgments	105
§ 7: Lessons From the German Experience	110
§ 8: The Process of Making Law for Transnational Litigation Involving the United States on the One Hand and Continental Europe on the Other	118
I. Introduction	118
II. The Role of Treaties in Overcoming Information Deficits	120
A. In General	120
B. Facilitating a Useful Linkage of Issues	125
C. Promoting Compliance.....	126
III. Conclusion	127
Chapter III:	129
Making Law For Transnational Litigation at The Hague: The Proposed Convention on Jurisdiction and Judgments.....	129

§ 9: General Approaches to Judicial Jurisdiction in the United States and in Continental Europe	129
I. Introduction	129
II. United States.....	131
A. In General	131
B. Lack of Jurisdictional Rules Specifically For Transnational Cases.....	132
C. Concentration on Constitutional Doctrine.....	133
1. <i>Pennoyer's</i> Strict Territorialism.....	133
2. <i>International Shoe</i> and Minimum Contacts.....	134
3. <i>International Shoe's</i> Progeny.....	137
4. The Importance of Constitutional Doctrine in Relation to Jurisdictional Statutes	142
III. Continental Europe.....	145
A. Roots and Methodology.....	145
B. The Role of Constitutional Analysis	146
C. Jurisdictional Statutes	149
D. Distinct Rules for International Cases.....	151
E. The Regime of Judicial Jurisdiction under the Brussels and Lugano Conventions and the Brussels Regulation.....	152
1. Introduction.....	152
2. General Framework of the Conventions and the Regulation Regarding Judicial Jurisdiction.....	154
3. Place of Performance: Article 5 Number 1	157
4. Criticism of Article 5 Number 1 of the Brussels and Lugano Conventions.....	160
5. The New Brussels Regulation	162
6. Jurisdiction in Torts, Article 5 Number 3.....	163
7. Conclusion	166
§ 10: Effects of Both Jurisprudential Preferences and Traditional Approaches to Judicial Jurisdiction on the Negotiation of Jurisdictional Provisions at The Hague	169
I. Limitations Arising From U.S. Constitutional Law	169
A. The Problem in General.....	169
B. Minimum Contacts and Foreseeability.....	172
II. Jurisprudential Preferences and Interests.....	175
A. United States.....	175
B. Continental Europe	178
§ 11: Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments	181
I. Introduction	181
II. The Utility of Comparative Analysis.....	185
A. Gaining Clarity About the Respective Preferences	185
B. Drafting Recognition Law With Content	187
Conclusion	193
Bibliography	195
Index	211