

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
1. On restitution and the rule of law	2
2. What is it all about?	9
CHAPTER 1. THEORIES OF PROPERTY	17
1. Classical theories	20
2. Neoclassical theories	37
3. Nozick's theory of entitlement	44
4. Derivation from justice: John Rawl's theory	49
5. Practical applications	52
5.1 The Lockean perception	52
5.2 A Hobbesian premise	53
5.3 Neoclassical cynicism	55
5.4 Utilitarian aspects	56
5.5 Rawls challenged	57
6. Conclusions	58
CHAPTER 2. JUSTICE AND REPARATION	65
1. Justice and the rule of law	66
2. The context	71
3. Property (re)distribution	76
4. Aspects of justice	81
5. Forms of reparations	83
5.1 The Baltic States: military occupation	83
5.2 Poland: the struggle for restitution	85

5.3 Germany: fairness, justice, and the social state	87
5.4 Former Czechoslovakia, Hungary, and Romania	89
6. Fundamental problems	91
6.1 Do communist-era takings demand reparations?	91
6.1.1 Property loss as a consequence of population exchange	95
6.1.1.1 A footnote: the Sudeten Germans	101
6.1.2 Property losses as the consequence of various domestic takings	105
6.2 Why only certain past wrongs deserve compensations?	112
7. The wolf, the goat, and the cabbage	122
CHAPTER 3. RULE OF LAW, EQUALITY, AND LIMITED RESTITUTION	
1. Personal limitations: the citizenship and/or residency requirement	129
1.1 Strict regimes: citizenship and residence	130
1.2 Milder regimes: citizenship or residence	133
1.3 The exception: Germany	135
2. Quantitative limitations	137
2.1 Partial compensation	137
2.2 Partial restitution	140
2.3 Restitution and compensation	141
3. Temporal limitations	143
3.1 Straightforward baselines	144
3.2 Unequivocal baselines: Romania	145
3.3 Problematic dates: Hungary and Czechoslovakia	147
4. Property-based limitations	152
4.1 Movable and immovable property	152
4.1.1 The Baltic States: exclusion of artworks	159
4.1.2 Poland and Hungary: immovable and movable together	160
4.1.3 Germany and Czechoslovakia: compensation for some movables	164
4.1.4 Romania: no grounds for restitution of movables	167

4.2 Different kinds of immovable property	169
4.2.1 In kind compensation only for unrestituted lands ...	170
4.2.2 No distinction between former owners of lands and buildings	178
4.2.3 Strict conditions upon restituted land	178
4.3 Commercial property	179
4.3.1 Restitution of small businesses	181
4.3.2 Compensation for lost commercial property	184
4.4 Religious and communal property	187
4.4.1 Distinctions between religious and other communal properties	187
4.4.2 Priority for “historical churches”	192
4.4.3 Privileged status of churches	198
4.4.4 Less privileged communities	199
5. Winners and losers of restitution	202

CHAPTER 4. THE RULE OF LAW AS THE LAW OF (RESTITUTION) RULES

1. Quantifying reparations	208
1.1 The just compensation dilemma	208
1.1.1 To compensate or not to compensate?	211
1.1.2 The limits of “justness”	217
1.2 Questions of valuation	228
1.2.1 Partial compensation: the reasonable percentage	230
1.2.2 Past taking, present compensation: establishing the value of property	240
2. Timelines	250
2.1 Deadlines	250
2.2 Length of procedure	256
3. Proving the entitlement	264
4. A footnote: the pitfalls of a formal solution or the case of Prince Hans-Adam II	274
5. Conclusions	276

CONCLUSION	281
1. The current state of art	281
2. On property: creating the monster	284
3. On restitution	287
4. On the rule of law	292
APPENDIX	297
BIBLIOGRAPHY	305
INDEX	321