

CONTENTS

Preface	IX
INTRODUCTION	1
I. DIALECTICAL DEBATES	9
A. Training in disputation	11
B. Form of dialectical disputations	14
1. The part of the questioner	15
1.1. Problema	15
1.1.1. Form	15
1.1.2. Content	17
1.1.3. Construction and destruction	18
1.2. Protasis	19
1.2.1. Form	19
1.2.2. Content	19
1.2.3. Universal and particular protaseis	23
1.2.4. Syllogistic protasis and syllogism	24
1.2.5. Necessary protaseis	27
1.2.6. Auxiliary protaseis	32
2. The part of the answerer	36
2.1. Solutions (λύσεις)	38
2.2. Objections (ἐνστάσεις)	39
II. WHAT IS A TOPOS ?	43
A. Definition of the topos	43
B. Topos as a principle and a protasis	45
1. The passage in <i>Top.</i> Θ 14, 163b22-33	46
2. The passage in <i>Rhet.</i> A 2, 1358a10-20 & 29-33	47
3. The passage in <i>Rhet.</i> B 26, 1402a32-34	49
4. The synonymous use of "element" and "topos"	49
C. Other interpretations of topoi and how they square with the interpretation of topoi as protaseis and principles	50
1. Topoi as rules of inference	50
2. Topoi as laws	54
3. Topoi as investigation-instructions	54
3.1. Organa	54
3.2. Structure of the organa (and topoi)	57
3.3. Conclusion	58

D. Objection and induction in book Θ and in the central books	58
E. The evidence of Theophrastus	61
1. Tradition of the interpretation of the topos as a protasis	61
2. Theophrastus' distinction between parangelma and topos and his definition of topos	62
2.1. Distinction between parangelma and topos	62
2.2. Theophrastus' definition of topos	64
III. PREDICABLES AND THE SPECIAL STATUS OF SUMBEBEKOS	69
A. Definition of the predicables	69
1. The passages in <i>Top.</i> A 5 and 8	69
2. The clash between the definition of the predicables and the explanation of their use in <i>Top.</i> H 5, Z 1 and A 6	71
B. Brunschwig's interpretation	73
1. Critique of Brunschwig's interpretation	74
1.1. Genus	74
1.2. Proprium	76
C. Sainati's interpretation	78
D. My interpretation	79
1. The passage in <i>Top.</i> H 5, 155a3-36	79
2. The form of problemata/theses in <i>Top.</i> Δ -Z	85
2.1. The form of problemata in Δ	85
2.2. The form of problemata in E	87
2.3. The form of problemata in Z	87
2.4. The form of problemata in B	88
2.4.1. To belong ($\upsilon\pi\acute{\alpha}\rho\chi\epsilon\iota\nu$)	88
2.4.2. Sumbebekos in B	89
2.4.3. The meaning of sumbebekos	92
2.4.4. Accident	93
IV. HYPOTHETICAL SYLLOGISMS—THE MAIN FORM OF ARGUMENTS IN THE <i>TOPICS</i> :	
A. Hypothetical syllogisms in the <i>Prior Analytics</i> A 23, 29, and 44	95
B. Theophrastus' list of hypothetical syllogisms	96
C. The topoi for the construction of the corresponding hypothetical syllogisms found in the <i>Topics</i>	97

1. Hypothetical syllogisms proceeding by way of a continuous proposition together with the additional assumption	98
2. Hypothetical syllogisms proceeding by way of a diairetic proposition	103
3. Hypothetical syllogisms proceeding by way of a negated conjunction	104
4. Hypothetical syllogisms on the basis of analogy	105
5. Qualitative hypothetical syllogisms	106
6. Other varieties of hypothetical syllogisms	106
D. Confirmation by Galen's <i>Institutio Logica</i>	107
E. Origins of Galen's and Alexander's terminology	108
F. Alexander's explanation of the working of the meta-leptic and diairetic hypothetical syllogisms ((Hm) and (Hd))	108
G. Further arguments for topical arguments working as hypothetical syllogisms	110
H. Reductionism	111
I. How does a hypothetical syllogism work ?	113
1. Locus classicus on the hypothetical syllogism: <i>APr.</i> A 44, 50a16-28	113
2. The hypothesis has to be endoxical	116
3. Concluding on the basis of a hypothesis	117
4. Argument per impossibile	118
J. Hypothetical syllogisms in the <i>Topics</i>	120
1. The mode of expression: aorist-future perfect	121
1.1. The case of the Law of Subalternation	121
2. Hypothesis	125
2.1. Is it a protasis ?	125
2.2. What kind of protaseis are the hypotheses?	126
3. Consequence in the metaleptic hypothetical syllogism (Hm)	129
3.1. In the constructive case of (Hm)	129
3.2. In the destructive case of (Hm)	130
4. Consequence in the diairetic hypothetical syllogism (Hd)	130
K. Hypothetical syllogisms in <i>APr.</i> A 46	131
V. SELECTIVE INVESTIGATION OF CONCRETE TOPOI	133
A. Introductory chapter to B: B 1	134
1. Universal versus particular problemata: 108b34-109a10	134
2. "To belong" versus "to be": 109a10-26	135

3. Definition of two errors: 109a27-34	138
3.1. The first error: false statement	138
3.2. The second error: violation of the established terminology	139
B. The most opportune topoi	140
1. Topoi from the contradictories, contraries and relatives	141
1.1. Topos from the contradictories	141
1.2. Topos from the contraries	142
1.3. Topos from the relatives	145
2. Topoi from the greater, lesser and the like degree	146
2.1. The first topos from the greater and lesser degree	146
2.2. The three remaining topoi from the greater and lesser degree	147
2.3. The three topoi from the like degree	150
C. Selection of topoi from book B	150
1. The first topos in B: 2, 109a34-b12	150
2. The second topos in B: 2, 109b13-29	153
3. The fourth topos in B: 2, 110a10-13	154
4. The third topos in B: 2, 109b30-110a9	155
D. Topoi of "what is more worthy of choice" and of "what is the same"	156
1. Topoi of "what is more worthy of choice"	156
2. Topoi of "what is the same"	157
E. Origins of categorical syllogisms in the <i>Topics</i>	160
1. The topos in B 4, 111a14-32	160
2. The topos in B 2, 110a14-22	164
2.1. The topical argument interpreted as a hypothetical syllogism	165
2.2. The topical argument interpreted as a categorical syllogism	166
3. Categorical syllogisms in dialectical debates	166
Summary	169
Bibliography	175
Classified Bibliography	195
Greek-English Glossary	199
Index of Passages	203
General Index	215