
CONTENTS

<i>Series Editor's Preface</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>Preface</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	<i>xxiii</i>
 1. Introduction.....	1
I. The English <i>Forum (Non) Conveniens</i> Doctrine	1
II. The Objectives of the Book	5
III. The Structure and Main Contentions of the Book.....	7
 2. Locating the Place of <i>Forum (Non) Conveniens</i> in the English National Jurisdiction Rules	11
I. Introduction	11
II. Jurisdictional Values and Their Significance in Allocating Jurisdiction	12
III. The Doctrinal Measures for Promoting Jurisdictional Values Under the English National Jurisdiction Rules	14
A. Promoting Party Autonomy: Stay of Action Brought in Breach of an Exclusive Jurisdiction Clause	14
B. Promoting Connectedness: The English Courts' Refusal to Exercise Jurisdiction in 'Non-Justiciable' Cases	15
C. Promoting Connectedness: Stay of Actions Under the <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> Doctrine.....	17
D. Avoiding Parallel Proceedings: Stay of Proceedings Under the <i>Lis Alibi Pendens</i> Doctrine.....	19
E. Promoting Connectedness: The English Courts' Service-out Jurisdiction and the <i>Forum Conveniens</i> Doctrine	20
F. Promoting Party Autonomy and/or Connectedness: Anti-Suit Injunctions	21
IV. Conclusion	23
 3. <i>Forum (Non) Conveniens</i> – The Past	24
I. Introduction	24
II. The Emergence and Development of the Practice of Discretionary (Non-)Exercise of Jurisdiction in England in the Nineteenth Century	26
A. The Discretionary Jurisdiction to Serve Proceedings on Defendants Not Present in England	28

B. Stay of Proceedings Brought in England as of Right Under the Vexatious-and-Oppressive Test in <i>Lis Alibi Pendens</i> Cases	30
III. The Developments at the Beginning of the Twentieth Century: The Flowering of a 'British' Approach to Discretionary Non-Exercise of Jurisdiction	35
A. <i>Logan v Bank of Scotland</i> (No 2).....	35
B. The Decisions in <i>Egbert v Short</i> and <i>In re Norton's Settlement</i>	37
IV. The Developments in the Middle Third of the Twentieth Century: The Emergence in England of a Plaintiff-Centric Approach to Staying of Proceedings.....	40
A. The Immediate Build-up to the English Courts' Change of Approach.....	41
B. The Court of Appeal's Ruling in <i>St Pierre</i>	43
C. Stay of Proceedings in England after <i>St Pierre</i>	44
D. Murmurs of a Need to Depart from the <i>St Pierre</i> Approach	46
V. Developments in England in the 1970s and 1980s: The Gradual Departure from <i>St Pierre</i>	50
A. The Problematic Implications Arising from the Narrow Application of the <i>St Pierre</i> Test	50
B. The House of Lords' Ruling in <i>The Atlantic Star</i> : The Law's Return to the Pre- <i>St Pierre</i> Position.....	53
C. <i>MacShannon v Rockware Glass Ltd</i> : The Next Step Away from the <i>St Pierre</i> Test	57
D. Staying of Proceedings in England Immediately after the Introduction of the <i>MacShannon</i> Test	61
E. The Ruling in <i>The Abidin Daver</i> : A Further Step Closer to the Outright Adoption of <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> in England.....	63
F. <i>Spiliada Maritime Corporation v Cansulex Ltd</i> : The Adoption of <i>Forum Non Conveniens</i> in England	66
VI. Conclusion	69
4. <i>Forum (Non) Conveniens</i> – The Present.....	72
I. Introduction	72
II. Post- <i>Spiliada</i> Doctrinal Developments	74
A. Stay of As-of-Right Proceedings	74
B. Service-out Cases	79
III. The <i>Spiliada</i> Doctrine's Global Influence	83
A. The Approach in Commonwealth Jurisdictions before <i>Spiliada</i>	84
B. The Approach in Commonwealth Jurisdictions after <i>Spiliada</i> : An Overview	85
C. The Approach in Commonwealth Jurisdictions after <i>Spiliada</i> : Australia	87
D. The Approach in Commonwealth Jurisdictions after <i>Spiliada</i> : Canada	90

IV.	The <i>Spiliada</i> Doctrine's Scope of Application	92
A.	<i>Spiliada</i> 's Conceptual Scope.....	92
B.	<i>Spiliada</i> 's Scope of Application in the Face of Intervening Legal Developments.....	97
V.	Conclusion	104
5.	<i>Forum (Non) Conveniens</i> – The Future	107
I.	Introduction	107
II.	A Brief Outline of the <i>Spiliada</i> Test.....	110
III.	The <i>Spiliada</i> Test's Positive Reception.....	112
IV.	Problems with the Application of the <i>Spiliada</i> Test.....	115
A.	Recent Decisions	116
B.	Problems Exposed in the Recent Decisions.....	118
C.	Similar Problems in Earlier Decisions.....	121
V.	The Case for Revising <i>Spiliada</i> 's Second Limb	123
VI.	The Way Forward	125
A.	Should <i>Spiliada</i> 's Second Limb Be Completely Abolished?.....	126
B.	ECHR, Art 6(1) (as Applied in Expulsion Cases) as the Basis for Refining <i>Spiliada</i> 's Second Limb	129
C.	The Refined <i>Spiliada</i> Test and its Application	132
VII.	Conclusion	135
6.	Conclusions	137
	<i>Selected Bibliography</i>	144
	<i>Index</i>	149