

# Contents

## Part I Theoretical Framework

<b>1</b>	<b>‘Principled Resistance’ to ECtHR Judgments: Dogmatic Framework and Conceptual Meaning</b>	<b>3</b>
	Marten Breuer	
	I. Introduction	4
	II. The International Law Framework of ‘Principled Resistance’	5
	1. The Principle of Supremacy of International Law	5
	2. Deviations from the Supremacy Principle	8
	3. The Convention Context	16
	III. The Concept of ‘Principled Resistance’	18
	1. National/Constitutional Identity	19
	2. Similar Concepts	21
	IV. Analytical Setting	24
	1. Selection of Country Reports	25
	2. Cases Not Selected for Country Reports	29
	3. Questionnaire	33
	4. Outlook	33
<b>2</b>	<b>Resistance to the European Court of Human Rights: The Institutional and Sociological Consequences of Principled Resistance</b>	<b>35</b>
	Mikael Rask Madsen	
	I. Introduction	35
	II. Explaining Resistance to International Courts: A Sociological Take on Principled Resistance	37
	III. Instances of Principled Resistance to the ECtHR	41
	1. Accepting the Authority of the ECtHR: Ratification and Exit as Principled Resistance	41
	2. Clashes Over Human Rights and National Legal Identities	45
	IV. Conclusion	50

## Part II National Perspectives

<b>3</b>	<b>Principled Resistance to and Principled Compliance with ECtHR Judgments in Germany</b> .....	<b>55</b>
	Heiko Sauer	
I.	Introduction: Compliance with and Resistance to ECtHR Judgments—the Overall Picture .....	56
II.	Outline of the Legal Status of the Convention in Germany .....	57
	1. Binding Force, Applicability and Rank of the Convention in Germany .....	57
	2. The Soft Enhancement of the Domestic Rank of the Convention by the Federal Constitutional Court's 1987 Decision on the Presumption of Innocence .....	58
III.	Constitutional Limits to Compliance with ECtHR Judgments in the Jurisprudence of the Federal Constitutional Court .....	59
	1. The <i>Görgülü</i> Decision .....	59
	2. The Second Judgment on Preventive Detention .....	62
	3. The Recent Judgment on the Constitutional Right to Strike .....	63
	4. Conclusion .....	64
IV.	Patterns of Principled Resistance in Practice .....	64
	1. Explicit Resistance to ECtHR Judgments .....	65
	2. Implicit Resistance to ECtHR Judgments .....	73
	3. Important Cases Without Principled Resistance .....	83
	4. A Short Note on 'Principled Resistance to Principled Resistance' .....	85
V.	Conclusion and Outlook .....	86
<b>4</b>	<b>'Principled Resistance' to ECtHR Judgments in Austria</b> .....	<b>89</b>
	Anna Katharina Struth	
I.	Introduction .....	90
II.	Legal Status of the European Convention on Human Rights and the Case Law of the ECtHR in Austria .....	92
	1. Constitutional Rank of the European Convention on Human Rights in the Austrian Legal System .....	92
	2. General Relevance of the ECtHR Case Law in the Austrian Legal Order .....	95
	3. Constitutional Limits to Compliance with ECtHR Judgments in the Austrian System .....	97
III.	The Rule: Loyalty and Shortcomings in Particular Cases .....	98
IV.	The Exception: 'Principled Resistance' .....	103
	1. (Historical) 'Resistance' on Grounds of Austrian Constitutional Order .....	103
	2. 'Resistance' on Grounds of Supposed Misinterpretation of Austrian Law .....	113



3. (Continuous?) 'Resistance' on Grounds of Austrian Constitutional Law and Principles of Treaty Interpretation in International Law ('Anti-Zolotukhin') . . . . .	115
4. 'Forced' Resistance Due to Procedural Law . . . . .	126
5. Resistance (?) on Grounds of Legal and Factual Particularities in Austria ('Kärntner Jagdgesetz') . . . . .	127
V. Conclusion: 'Principled Resistance'? . . . . .	133
<b>5 Judicial Disobedience and the ECtHR: The Italian Case . . . . .</b>	<b>137</b>
Giuseppe Martinico	
I. Introduction . . . . .	137
II. The Background . . . . .	138
III. Judicial Strategies: Limits to Following the ECtHR's Case Law . . . . .	144
1. Systemic Differences Between the Italian Constitutional Court and the ECtHR . . . . .	144
2. Divergences Between the Italian Constitutional Court and the ECtHR . . . . .	146
IV. Final Remarks . . . . .	155
<b>6 Resistance in Switzerland: Populist Rather Than Principled . . . . .</b>	<b>161</b>
Helen Keller and Reto Walther	
I. Introduction . . . . .	162
II. Status of the Convention in the Swiss Legal Order . . . . .	163
1. Monism and Direct Applicability of the Convention . . . . .	163
2. Supremacy of the Convention? . . . . .	163
3. Consequences for Switzerland's Compliance with the Convention . . . . .	168
III. <i>Tribunal Fédéral</i> : Criticism but Compliance . . . . .	171
1. Necessity of Revision Proceedings: A Matter for Debate . . . . .	172
2. Passage of Time Leading to Convention Violations . . . . .	172
3. Judging <i>Ultra Vires</i> on Positive Obligations Through Procedural Rights Adjudication . . . . .	174
4. Assessment . . . . .	175
IV. Critical Academic Review of the Court's Case Law . . . . .	175
1. Criticism Against Methodological Choices of the Court . . . . .	176
2. Constitutional and Political Criticism . . . . .	182
V. Political Resistance: The Self-Determination Initiative . . . . .	185
1. Background . . . . .	186
2. Proposed Amendments and Possible Consequences . . . . .	187
VI. Conclusion . . . . .	188
<b>7 Principled Criticism and a Warning from the 'UK' to the ECtHR? . . . . .</b>	<b>193</b>
Ed Bates	
I. Introduction . . . . .	194

1. Criticism with a Principled Element to It: And a Warning? . . . . .	196
2. The Special Nature of Strasbourg's Jurisdiction (Relevance to 'Criticism' and 'Warnings'). . . . .	198
3. Keeping Matters in Proportion: Some Important Considerations at the Outset. . . . .	200
4. Structure of This Chapter . . . . .	201
II. Context: The UK Constitutional Framework . . . . .	203
1. The 'Alienating Effect' of the HRA? . . . . .	203
2. Parliament's Ability to Express Resistance Under the HRA Regime . . . . .	205
3. The Courts' Ability to Express Resistance Under the HRA Regime . . . . .	206
4. A Propensity for UK Push-Back? Strasbourg's Vulnerability to Constitutional-Like Interrogation. . . . .	210
III. Recent UK Political Inter-actions with Strasbourg. . . . .	213
1. Politicians' Opposition: Feeding Off UK Sensitivity Toward Strasbourg? . . . . .	213
2. Reforming the Convention, and Ignoring Progress? . . . . .	217
3. Prisoner Voting: Political Defiance, Not 'Principled Resistance' . . . . .	219
IV. Recent Extra-Judicial Criticism: More Than Simply Political Constitutionalists Venting Frustration at Strasbourg? . . . . .	222
V. UK Criticism of Strasbourg Post-2010: 'Principled' Criticism and a Valid Warning? . . . . .	225
1. The Contestable Nature of the Core Position. . . . .	226
2. Relevance of Criticism to Strasbourg's Authority . . . . .	229
VI. Reaction to Warning: Responses in London (UK Supreme Court) and Strasbourg? Judicial Inter-actions Post-2010: The Case for a Principled Warning Deflated? . . . . .	231
1. Animal Defenders International v UK: Successful Defence of 'A Position of National Cultural Importance'? . . . . .	232
2. Getting Strasbourg to Reconsider Its Position on Aspects of UK Law? . . . . .	233
3. 'Strasbourg Has Spoken, the Case Is [Not] Closed'? . . . . .	240
VII. Conclusion . . . . .	241
<b>8 The Execution of ECtHR Judgements and the 'Right to Object' of the Russian Constitutional Court . . . . .</b>	<b>245</b>
Vladislav Starzhenetskiy . . . . .	
I. Introduction . . . . .	245
II. Russia as a Party to the European Convention on Human Rights . . .	248
1. The Status of the Convention in Russian Law . . . . .	248
2. Implementation and Assimilation of ECHR Norms. . . . .	252



3. Russia's Growing Resistance to the ECtHR.....	255
III. Creation and Application of a Mechanism Blocking Execution of ECtHR Judgments in Russia. ....	259
1. The National Law Dimension. ....	260
2. The International Law Dimension ....	262
3. Case Studies ....	265
IV. Conclusion. ....	270
 <b>Part III International Perspectives</b>	
<b>9 The Perspective of the Venice Commission. ....</b>	<b>275</b>
Martin Kuijer	
I. Introduction ....	275
II. The Russian Law and Its First Application in the Case of <i>Anchugov</i> and <i>Gladkov</i> ....	276
III. The Opinion of the Venice Commission ....	280
IV. Developments Following the Venice Commission's Opinion. ....	284
V. Reasons Why the Supremacy Doctrine Under International Law (and Article 46 ECHR More Specifically) Is Under Attack by Constitutional Law Exceptions ....	285
1. How Is the Supremacy Doctrine Under International Law Challenged? ....	286
2. Why Is the Supremacy Doctrine Under International Law Challenged? ....	291
VI. Concluding Comments ....	296
 <b>10 The National Identity Criterion in the Crossfire Between European Integration and the Preservation of National Sovereignty ....</b>	 <b>299</b>
Stefanie Schmahl	
I. Introduction ....	299
II. Select Examples of Conflict Situations ....	300
1. Constitutional Identity Reservation by the Federal Constitutional Court ....	301
2. National Identity Arguments by the Italian Constitutional Court. ....	304
III. EU Identity Clause <i>Versus</i> National Identity Concepts ....	307
1. EU Law Perspective on National Identity Protection. ....	308
2. National Constitutional Views on the Preservation of National Identity. ....	313
IV. Conclusion. ....	319
 <b>11 'Principled Resistance' to ECtHR Judgments: An Appraisal ....</b>	 <b>323</b>
Marten Breuer	
I. Introduction ....	323
II. The Concept of 'Principled Resistance' ....	324
III. The <i>Res Judicata</i> Effect of ECtHR Judgments. ....	327

IV. The <i>Res Interpretata</i> Effect of ECtHR Judgments .....	333
1. Concept and Meaning of <i>Res Interpretata</i> .....	334
2. Does the <i>Res Interpretata</i> Effect Produce Legal Obligations? .....	336
3. The Need for Respectful Disobedience .....	339
4. Different Significance for Chamber and Grand Chamber Judgments? .....	342
V. What Makes a 'Principled Resistance' Case? .....	344
1. Hierarchy of Norms .....	344
2. National Identity .....	345
3. The Proper Allocation of Power .....	348