

# Contents

	<i>Page</i>
<i>Foreword by Sir Leon Radzinowicz</i>	v
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xi
<b>1. THE PROBLEM</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. CHOOSING A STRATEGY</b>	<b>22</b>
The Need for a New Approach	22
The Theoretical Basis	24
1. Magistrates: their social and personal backgrounds	25
2. Aims and perceptions	26
3. Information: its relevance and use	28
The Methods of Investigation	29
1. The offences chosen for study	29
2. The courts	31
3. The samples	32
4. Choosing cases	32
5. The interviews and questionnaires	36
6. Conferences for studying group decisions and the use of information	38
7. Court observations	39
8. Summary of methods	39
Plan of Analysis	39
<b>3. THE MAGISTRATES</b>	<b>41</b>
The Balance of the Bench: General Issues	42
Survey Findings	49
1. Social class	50
2. Politics and community affairs	53
3. Personality	56
4. Magisterial matters	58
5. The magistrates as motorists	61

<b>4. PURPOSES AND PRACTICES</b>	63
Imprisonment: Its Limited Use	63
Equality and the Tariff in Fining	65
1. Basic penalties: the arguments	66
2. The question of means	70
3. The problem of costs	75
4. Multiple charges and the total penalty	79
Disqualification: For What Purpose?	80
1. Balancing the penalty and disqualification	81
2. How long?	83
3. Special reasons for not disqualifying	86
4. Driving tests	90
New Approaches	91
<b>5. MOTORING OFFENCES AS CRIME: THE PROBLEM AS MAGISTRATES SEE IT</b>	97
The Relative Seriousness of Motoring Offences	99
The Motoring Offender—a Criminal in a Criminal Court?	101
<b>6. INFORMATION: ITS RELEVANCE AND USES</b>	109
The General Issues	110
What is Relevant?	114
What is Actually Known?	118
The Way Information is Used	120
<b>7. DISPARITY IN SENTENCING</b>	125
Comparing Sentences in the Research with Real Life	125
Which Cases Produced the Greatest Variability in Sentencing?	126
1. Comparing cases	126
2. What is disparity?	127
3. The range of penalties	129
4. Consistency in relative severity	136
<b>8. TOWARDS AN EXPLANATION OF DISPARITY</b>	138
1. The Influence of Personal Attributes of Magistrates	138
2. How Serious is the Case?—the Importance of Perception	141
3. The Pervasive Influence of the Bench	143
Implications and Conclusions	148

CONTENTS	ix
<b>APPENDIX I. QUESTIONNAIRES</b>	<b>155</b>
1. The Interview Schedule	157
2. The Self-Completion Questionnaires	178
(a) Comparative seriousness of offences	178
(b) Types of offenders	178
(c) Social attitudes	179
(d) Eysenck personality inventory	180
(e) The questionnaire accompanying each case on which the sentence was recorded	182
(f) Form for recording sentences passed in the informa- tion game at the sentencing conferences	185
(g) Form for recording changes in sentences at the conferences	186
<b>APPENDIX II. CASES</b>	<b>187</b>
1. Some of the cases on which magistrates were asked to reach decisions	187
2. The cases used at the Sentencing Conference	212
<b>APPENDIX III. STATISTICAL METHODS</b>	<b>218</b>
1. The analysis of factors associated with sentencing variations	218
2. Analysing the Information Game	225
<b>APPENDIX IV. TABLES</b>	<b>228</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>237</b>
<b>INDEX</b>	<b>240</b>