
CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	<i>xvii</i>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>xix</i>
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	<i>xxv</i>

Introduction	1
1. The Topic of the Book: Mutual Trust in EU Criminal Law	1
2. The Main Objective: Demystifying the Principle of Mutual Trust in EU Criminal Law	3
3. Relevance	4
4. The Structure	5
5. Methodology	7
6. Scope and Delineation	8
 1. What is Trust? A Social Science Perspective	11
1. Introduction	11
2. Towards a Body of Social Science Literature on Trust: Developing an Underdeveloped Term	13
3. Trust: We (Think We) Know it When We See it	14
4. Dissecting Trust: Risks and Interests as Key Aspects of Trust Relationships	15
4.1. The Willingness to Take Risks and the Need for Choice	15
4.2. Interests at the Core of Trust Relationships	16
5. Clarifying the Concept of Trust: More Specificity Please	18
5.1. Introduction	18
5.2. Cognitive or Non-Cognitive?	18
5.3. Distinguishing Trust from Trustworthiness	19
5.4. Grounds for Trustworthiness and the Importance of Information	20
5.5. Trust is a Term of Knowledge, the Trusting Relationship a Term of Action	21
5.6. Trust is a Three-Part Relationship: A Trusts B to do X	22
5.7. Mutual and Reciprocal Trust	23
5.8. Developmental Accounts of Trust: Trust as a Learning Process	24

6.	Applying a Trust Framework to the EU Criminal Law Context	25
6.1.	Introduction	25
6.2.	Taking Risks in EU Criminal Law Cooperation: The Transfer of Discretion	26
6.3.	Common Interests as an Indicator of EU Criminal Law Trust	27
6.4.	Trust, between Whom? Determining the Subject of Trust in EU Criminal Law	27
6.5.	A Trusts B to do X: Determining the Object of Trust in EU Criminal Law	29
6.6.	The Value of a Social Science Perspective for EU Criminal Law	30
7.	Conclusion	31
2.	Institutional Architecture of EU Criminal Law: Mutual Recognition as the ‘Cornerstone of Judicial Cooperation in Criminal Matters’	32
1.	Introduction	32
2.	Institutional Development of EU Cooperation in Criminal Matters: Four Eras	33
2.1.	Council of Europe, Trevi and Schengen (Pre-1993)	33
2.2.	The Maastricht Era (1993-99)	34
2.3.	The Amsterdam Era (1999-2009)	35
2.4.	The Lisbon Era (2009-Present)	36
2.4.1.	Introduction	36
2.4.2.	Lisbon: Application of the ‘Community Method’	37
2.4.3.	Lisbon: Methodologies and Competences	38
2.4.4.	Lisbon: Opt-Outs and (Ultimately) Brexit	38
2.4.5.	Lisbon: Improving Protection of Fundamental Rights	39
2.4.6.	The Stockholm Programme (2009-14)	41
2.5.	The 2020 Justice Agenda	41
3.	The Principles of Mutual Recognition and Mutual Trust in EU Law	42
3.1.	The Origin of Mutual Recognition	42
3.1.1.	Mutual Recognition in the Internal Market	42
3.1.2.	Mutual Recognition of Civil Judgments	43
3.2.	Limits to the Application of Mutual Recognition	44
3.3.	Facilitating Mutual Recognition	45
3.4.	Mutual Trust (or Confidence) as a Prerequisite to Mutual Recognition	46
3.4.1.	Trust and Confidence: Two Distinct Terms not Always Used Distinctively	47

4.	Mutual Recognition: 'The Cornerstone of Judicial Cooperation in Criminal Matters'	48
4.1.	Transferring Mutual Recognition to the Criminal Law Sphere	48
4.2.	Mutual Recognition's 'Appeal'	49
4.3.	Mutual Trust in EU Criminal Law: 'The Principle Behind the Principle'	51
5.	Applying Mutual Recognition to EU Criminal Law	52
5.1.	Ad Hoc and Managed	52
5.2.	The Measures Applying Mutual Recognition to Criminal Law Cooperation	53
5.3.	Mutual Recognition and the Exchange of Evidence: From the European Evidence Warrant to the European Investigation Order	54
6.	Conclusion	56
3.	The 'Flagship' Mutual Recognition Instrument: The European Arrest Warrant and Trust Issues	57
1.	Introduction	57
2.	The European Arrest Warrant: A Revolution in Extradition?	58
3.	The Success of the European Arrest Warrant: Mixed Messages	60
4.	National Constitutional Challenges to the Validity of the European Arrest Warrant: Signals of Distrust?	61
5.	Difficulties with the European Arrest Warrant in Practice: Trust Issues?	63
5.1.	Problem Area 1: Insufficient Regard to Human Rights and National Solutions	63
5.2.	Problem Area 2: No Proportionality Test and Different Legality Principles	66
5.3.	Problem Area 3: Excessive Use of Pre-Trial Detention	69
5.4.	Problem Area 4: Poor Detention Conditions and Overcrowding	71
5.5.	Problem Area 5: (Partial) Abolition of Double Criminality. A Sign of (Dis)Trust?	73
5.6.	Problem Area 6: Creative National Implementation of the European Arrest Warrant	74
5.7.	Problem Area 7: <i>In absentia</i> Judgments	76
6.	Conclusion	77
4.	The Court of Justice of the European Union and the Evolution of Mutual Trust	79
1.	Introduction	79
2.	Establishing the Trust Presumption: The EU-Wide Application of <i>ne bis in idem</i>	80

3.	The Validity of the European Arrest Warrant: <i>Advocaten voor de Wereld</i>	82
4.	A Nationality Exception? <i>Kozłowski, Wolzenburg and Lopez de Silva Jorge</i>	83
5.	The Relationship between Mutual Recognition and Mutual Trust under Examination in <i>Mantello</i>	86
6.	The First Rebuttal of the Trust Presumption in <i>NS and ME</i>	88
7.	A Human Rights Refusal Ground under the European Arrest Warrant Post- <i>NS</i> ? Not Yet.....	90
7.1.	Urgent Calls to Extend <i>NS</i> to the Criminal Law Sphere	90
7.2.	<i>Radu</i> : The Court Unable (or Unwilling) to Rule on Fundamental Rights	91
7.3.	<i>Melloni</i> : Giving Precedence to EU Fundamental Rights, a Matter of Trust?	93
8.	Opinion 2/13: A Showcase of the Importance of (the Presumption of) Mutual Trust.....	96
9.	A Change of Direction: Rebutting the Trust Presumption: <i>Lanigan, Aranyosi and LM</i>	98
9.1.	<i>Lanigan</i> : Change is in the Air	98
9.2.	<i>Aranyosi and Căldăraru</i> : A Landmark for Fundamental Rights and Mutual Trust	99
9.3.	A Continuation of the 'New Approach': <i>Bob-Dogi, Dorobantu</i> and Onwards	103
9.4.	A Further Ground for Rebuttal in <i>LM</i> : Judicial Independence	104
10.	Conclusion	106
5.	Mutual Trust in the EU Criminal Law Discourse:	
	From Confidence to Doubt	109
1.	Introduction.....	109
2.	First Articulation of the Presumption of Mutual Trust Following Tampere.....	110
3.	Growing Doubts on the Validity of the Trust Presumption	112
4.	A Direct Link between Enhancing Mutual Recognition and Trust: The Hague Programme	114
5.	Building Trust as a Main Objective of the Stockholm Programme.....	115
6.	Observing Fading Trust in Secondary Legislation: From the European Arrest Warrant to the European Investigation Order	119
7.	The 2020 Justice Agenda: Mutual Trust as the 'Bedrock upon which the EU Justice Policy should be Built'	122
8.	Towards a More Abstract Notion of Trust: From One Commissioner to Another	125

9.	Distilling the EU's 'Trust Logic': Is there One?.....	126
10.	Conclusion	128
6.	The Trust-Building Policy: An Orchestrated Effort or A Patchwork of Measures?	129
1.	Introduction.....	129
2.	The Relationship between Mutual Recognition and Harmonisation	130
2.1.	Harmonisation and Approximation: Is there a Difference?.....	131
3.	Legal Trust-Building: Harmonisation of Substantive Criminal Law	132
3.1.	EU Competence to Harmonise Substantive Criminal Law	134
4.	Legal Trust-Building: Approximation of Procedural Rights	135
4.1.	Building Trust by Establishing EU-Wide Defence and Victims' Rights	135
4.1.1.	The Roadmap on Victims' Rights.....	136
4.2.	A First Attempt to Approximate Procedural Rights: The Failed Framework Decision.....	137
4.2.1.	Difficult Negotiations and Content of the Proposal: Lacking Political Will	137
4.2.2.	Legal Basis, or the Lack Thereof.....	138
4.3.	First Tangible Result to Approximate Procedural Rights: The Roadmap	140
5.	Non-Legal and Soft Law Means of Trust Building.....	144
5.1.	Introduction.....	144
5.2.	Training of EU Criminal Law Practitioners.....	145
5.3.	Evaluation and Monitoring: The Importance of Accurate Information	146
6.	Building Trust Through Agencies: From Eurojust to a European Public Prosecutor	150
7.	Conclusion	154
7.	Critical Development of the Principle of Mutual Trust: A Work in Progress	156
1.	Introduction.....	156
2.	Mutual Recognition and Trust Academic Literature: Questioning the EU's Trust Presumption	158
2.1.	Introduction.....	158
2.2.	Challenging the Trust Presumption on Fundamental Rights Grounds	159
2.2.1.	The Shortcomings of the European Convention on Human Rights.....	162

2.3.	Trust Building after Implementing Mutual Recognition: Putting the Cart before the Horse?	164
2.4.	Enlargement as a (Further) Complication for Trust	166
3.	Efforts in Academic Literature to Clarify the Principle of Mutual Trust	167
3.1.	Introduction	167
3.2.	A 'Technical' Perspective: Trust Beneficial but not Required	168
3.3.	Distinguishing between Different 'Variants' of Trust: Trust <i>in abstracto</i> and <i>in concreto</i> , or, Alternatively, Political and Legal Trust.....	169
3.4.	More Specificity Please: Subject or Object of Trust?	170
3.5.	Non-Binary Trust: But can We Establish to What Degree Trust Exists?	171
4.	Wide(r) Relevance of Mutual Trust	173
4.1.	General EU Principle of Mutual Trust	173
4.2.	Mutual Constitutional Trust.....	174
4.3.	The Fundamental Difference between Mutual Recognition and Mutual Trust in the Internal Market and Criminal Law Contexts.....	176
4.4.	Mutual Trust within the Common European Asylum System	177
5.	Invitations for Further Conceptual Development of the Principle of Mutual Trust	178
6.	Conclusion	180
8.	A Transatlantic Perspective: Trust Lessons from a Federal Context.....	181
1.	Introduction	181
2.	Why Look at the US?	181
2.1.	A Federal EU (Criminal Law)?	182
3.	The American Decentralised Criminal Justice System.....	185
3.1.	Introduction	185
3.2.	The States as Autonomous Actors in Criminal Law.....	185
3.3.	Federal Dimension of US Criminal Law	186
3.4.	Federal-State Interaction in Criminal Matters (Vertical Federalism).....	188
3.5.	Interstate Interaction in Criminal Matters (Horizontal Federalism): Full Faith and Credit?	190

4.	Modalities of Criminal Law Cooperation in the US.....	191
4.1.	Introduction	191
4.2.	US Interstate Extradition	192
4.2.1.	Layered Legal Framework	192
4.2.2.	From Gubernatorial Discretion to an Obligation to Extradite: The Supreme Court's Reversal.....	194
4.2.3.	US Interstate Extradition: A Summary Procedure	196
4.2.4.	Testing the Legality of Extradition: <i>Habeas Corpus</i> Proceedings	197
4.2.5.	When can a Governor Refuse an Extradition Request?	198
4.3.	Other Interstate Cooperation Mechanisms.....	199
4.3.1.	Introduction	199
4.3.2.	Detainers: From Comity to an Interstate Agreement	200
4.3.3.	Fugitive Felon Act: Federal Criminal Law Assisting State Law Enforcement	201
4.3.4.	The (Patchwork) Arrangement of Exchange and Admissibility of Out-of-State Evidence	202
5.	What Lessons for EU Trust-Based Cooperation?	204
5.1.	Introduction	204
5.2.	Federalism and the Importance of Federal Criminal Law.....	205
5.3.	Uniform Defence Rights	207
5.4.	Fewer Grounds for Refusal.....	209
6.	Value of US Experience: Harmony and Unity as Drivers of Trust.....	212
7.	Conclusion	213
9.	Unpacking the Principle of Mutual Trust:	
	Five Building Blocks	215
1.	Introduction	215
2.	Building Block 1: A European Criminal Law Culture.....	217
2.1.	The Nordic Example	221
3.	Building Block 2: The 'Europeanisation' of Fair Trial Rights.....	222
4.	Building Block 3: Equivalent, but not Identical.....	224
5.	Building Block 4: Reciprocity, Although not Explicitly.....	226
6.	Building Block 5: The Loyalty Principle. What Kind of Loyalty in EU Criminal Law?	229
6.1.	Comity.....	231
7.	Conclusion	231

10. A Forward-Looking Assessment of Mutual Trust Challenges	233
1. Introduction	233
2. The Logic of Trust Building: How is it Supposed to Work?	233
3. Recommendation 1: Change the Perspective from Formal to Substantive Trust.....	235
4. Recommendation 2: Address Fundamental Rights Concerns by Implementing EU Fair Trial Rights	237
4.1. Introduction	237
4.2. Practical Application of Rebutting the Trust Presumption: When Allowing Non-Execution of Mutual Recognition Requests on Fundamental Rights Grounds	238
4.3. Legislative Intervention in the European Arrest Warrant.....	240
4.4. Approximation of Procedural Rights to Consolidate Trust: Implementing and Expanding the Roadmap.....	242
5. Recommendation 3: Non-Legal Trust-Building. The Need for Accurate Information, Monitoring, Evaluation, Education and Training	244
6. Conclusion.....	247
Conclusion	248
1. The Multidimensional Principle of Mutual Trust in EU Criminal Law	248
2. Mutual Trust: Balancing Divergent Interests in EU Criminal Law.....	248
3. A Social Science Inspired Perspective on Trust	250
4. From Presuming to Rebutting: The Evolution of Mutual Trust	251
5. The US Comparative Perspective: Lessons from Federal Interstate Cooperation	253
6. The Substance of the Principle of Mutual Trust in EU Criminal Law	254
7. A Look at the Future: How to Improve Mutual Trust?	256
8. Continuing Research on Mutual Trust	257
<i>Bibliography</i>	259
<i>Index</i>	285