

Contents

Foreword / vii

I. Foundations I

1. Exceptionless moral norms: few but strategic / 1
2. Witnessed to by faith / 6
3. Part of the theology of human fulfillment / 9
4. Rejected when human replaces divine providence / 12
5. No narrowing of horizons / 16
6. Choice, reflexivity, and proportionalism / 20
7. Protecting changeless aspects of human fulfillment / 24
8. Negative norms but positive and revelatory / 28
9. Rejection: some motivations and implications / 29

II. Clarifications 31

1. *Intrinsece mala*: acts always wrong, but not
by definition / 31
2. Specified neither evaluatively nor physically/
behaviorally / 37
3. Opposed to reason and integral human fulfillment / 40
4. Worse than suffering wrong / 47
5. Proportionalist justifications: incoherent with rationally
motivated free choice / 51
6. The central case: intentional harm, always
unreasonable / 54
7. Deadly defense and death penalty: not necessarily
proportionalist / 55

III. Christian Witness 58

1. Free choice: a morally decisive reality / 58
2. Evil: not to be chosen that good may come / 59

3. Actions: morally specified by their objects
(intentions) / 67
4. Intending human harm: never acceptable for God
or man / 74
5. Counterexamples / 78
6. Responsibility for side effects: other principles
and norms / 81

IV. Challenge and Response 84

1. Contraception and the general denial of absolutes / 84
2. Historical and ecclesiological skirmishes / 90
3. The main action: in philosophical theology / 93
4. Prudence misconceived: the absolutes aesthetically
dissolved / 101
5. A summary conclusion / 105

Index / 107