

Detailed Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xix
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xcix
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	cvii
1. References for Preliminary Rulings	1
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Preliminary Rulings in the European Union's Judicial System	1
1.2. The Structure of this Book	3
2. History and Development of the Preliminary Reference Procedure	3
3. Possibilities of Making References to the Court of Justice Other than on the Basis of the Treaties	7
4. References to the EFTA Court	8
4.1. The Procedure for Making a Preliminary Reference to the EFTA Court	8
4.2. Competence and Procedure	12
5. Other Ways of Obtaining Legal Guidance from European Union Bodies	14
5.1. Asking the European Commission for Guidance on the Interpretation of EU Law	14
5.1.1. Questions on competition and State aid law	14
5.1.2. Questions that do not concern competition or State aid law	16
5.2. Asking the European Ombudsman for Guidance on the Interpretation of EU Law	18
6. The Preliminary Reference System in the Future	20
6.1. Overview	20
6.2. Transfer of Preliminary Cases to the General Court	21
6.3. Green Light Procedures	24
6.4. Docket Control	25
6.5. Limiting the Right to Refer to Courts of Last Instance	26
6.6. Decentralized European Union Courts	27
6.7. The Best Solution?	28
2. Variations in Member State Use of Preliminary References	29
1. Determining the Variation in Member State Use of Preliminary References using a Structural Model	29
2. The Structural Factor	31
2.1. Number of Court Cases in Each Member State	31
2.2. Proportion of National Court Cases that May Give Rise to a Preliminary Reference	34
2.2.1. Overview	34
2.2.2. Intra-EU-trade and the proportion of court cases giving rise to EU law questions	34
2.2.3. Member States' compliance with EU law	36
2.2.4. Duration of EU membership	37
3. The Behavioural Factor	39
3.1. Overview	39

3.2. Duration of EU Membership and the Behavioural Factor	39
3.3. Legal System (Civil Law versus Common Law)	40
4. Conclusion as to the Factors that Determine the Variation in Preliminary References from the Different Member States	40
3. Which Bodies May Refer?	43
1. Who May Refer Preliminary Questions?	43
2. Criteria for Determining Whether There Is a 'Court or Tribunal' Entitled to Make a Reference	43
2.1. Is the Body Established by Law?	44
2.2. Does the Body Have a Permanent Character?	45
2.3. Is the Body Independent?	45
2.4. Is the Jurisdiction of the Body Compulsory?	49
2.5. Does the Body Use an Adversary Procedure?	50
2.6. Does the Body Make Its Decisions on the Basis of Legal Rules?	50
2.7. Can the Body Issue Decisions of a Judicial Nature in the Context of the Preliminary Reference?	52
2.8. Must the Members of the Referring Body Include Lawyers?	54
2.9. Does the Question Arise in Connection with the Settlement of a Dispute?	54
2.10. Are There Other Judicial Solutions to the Conflict in Question?	55
2.11. The Court's Weighting of the Different Criteria	55
3. Which Bodies Qualify as a 'Court or Tribunal'?	56
3.1. Judicial Bodies	57
3.1.1. Ordinary national courts	57
3.1.2. International courts and courts with jurisdiction in more Member States	57
3.1.3. Activities that do not lead to decisions of a judicial nature	60
3.1.4. The function as a judicial body is combined with other functions	65
3.1.5. Summary proceedings	66
3.1.6. Arbitration tribunals	66
3.1.7. Courts that do not have jurisdiction to decide on the main action	69
3.2. Administrative Authorities and Ombudsmen	71
3.2.1. General observations	71
3.2.2. Administrative dispute settling bodies	72
3.2.3. Advisory bodies	75
3.2.4. Ombudsmen	76
3.3. Private Bodies	77
3.3.1. Private disciplinary bodies	77
3.3.2. Private professional bodies	78
3.3.3. Management and labour (social partners)	79
4. The Term 'of a Member State'	79
5. Other Forms of Preliminary References	82
6. References from a National Court that May Not Make a Reference under National Law	83
6.1. Overview	83
6.2. The National Court is Prevented from Making a Reference under National Law	84
6.3. A Superior Court has Declared the Contested National Provision to be Compatible with EU Law	87

4. What Questions Can Be Referred?	89
1. Introduction	89
2. Article 267(1)(a) on 'the Interpretation of the Treaties'	89
2.1. Questions on Validity of the Treaties	89
2.2. What is Covered by 'the Treaties'?	91
2.2.1. The main rule	91
2.2.2. Police cooperation and judicial cooperation in criminal and civil matters	92
2.2.3. Common foreign and security policy	93
2.2.4. Euratom Treaty and ECSC Treaty	95
3. Article 267(1)(b) on 'the Validity and Interpretation of Acts of the Institutions, Bodies, Offices or Agencies of the Union'	95
3.1. The Meaning of 'the Institutions, Bodies, Offices or Agencies of the Union'	95
3.2. The Meaning of 'Acts'	97
3.2.1. Acts adopted on the basis of the Treaties	97
3.2.2. EU acts which refer to non-EU acts	98
3.2.3. The act must have been adopted, but not necessarily have entered into force	100
3.2.4. The act does not have direct effect	100
3.2.5. The act has previously been the subject of a preliminary ruling	101
3.3. The Distinction between 'Acts of the Institutions, Bodies, Offices or Agencies of the Union' and Agreements under International Law outside the Jurisdiction of the Court of Justice	101
3.3.1. Overview	101
3.3.2. The international agreement forms part of EU law	102
3.3.2.1. Introduction	102
3.3.2.2. International agreements where the European Union is a party	103
3.3.2.3. Acts of organs set up by an international agreement to which the European Union is party	104
3.3.2.4. Agreements originally entered into by the Member States but subsequently coming under the competence of the European Union	105
3.3.2.5. International agreements where the European Union has the competence, but where the Member States (not the EU) have had to enter into the agreements	105
3.3.2.6. Other international agreements which give the Court of Justice jurisdiction to interpret	106
3.3.2.7. Mixed agreements	106
3.3.2.7.1. Overview: the four situations	106
3.3.2.7.2. Provisions covered by exclusive competence	107
3.3.2.7.3. Provisions covered by shared competence and where the European Union has legislated	107
3.3.2.7.4. Provisions covered by shared competence and where the European Union has not legislated	108
3.3.2.7.5. Provisions not covered by the European Union's competence	110
3.3.2.8. The Court can declare an EU legal act whereby the European Union has entered into an international agreement invalid	111

3.3.3.	The international agreement does not form part of EU law	111
3.3.3.1.	The referring court has failed to show that the international agreement is relevant for interpreting the EU law that is to be applied in the main action	111
3.3.3.2.	The preliminary reference concerns an international agreement which is concluded in connection with the tasks of the European Union	112
3.3.3.3.	EU act reproduces provisions of an international agreement	112
3.3.3.4.	EU act refers to an international agreement to which the Member States—but not the Union—are parties	113
3.3.3.5.	International agreements where all Member States are parties	115
3.3.3.6.	International agreements where not all Member States are parties	115
3.3.3.7.	International law measures which bind the Member States outside the framework of EU law	116
3.3.3.8.	A Member State has entered into an international agreement in a given field before the European Union gained competence vis-à-vis the Member State in this field (eg before accession to the EU)	117
3.3.3.9.	International agreements of a private law character	118
3.3.3.10.	Validity of an EU act is challenged by an international law agreement to which the EU is not party	118
3.4.	The Meaning of 'Validity'	119
4.	Questions that Fall Outside the Jurisdiction of the Court of Justice	121
4.1.	Overview	121
4.2.	National Law	121
4.3.	Interpretation of EU Acts which Do Not Apply in the Main Proceedings	122
4.3.1.	Overview	122
4.3.2.	Preliminary rulings on procedural questions in the main proceedings	123
4.3.3.	The facts of the main proceedings are outside the direct scope of EU law, but the provisions of EU law have been made applicable to these facts by national legislation or by a private contract	124
4.3.3.1.	Overview	124
4.3.3.2.	Member State legislation or private contract transposes an EU rule to a national context	124
4.3.3.3.	EU law has been the model for national law	128
4.3.4.	Internal situations where nationals of the referring court's Member State must be granted the same rights as those which a national of another Member State in the same situation would derive from EU law	131
4.3.5.	Internal situations where nationals established in other Member States could be interested in invoking the Treaty freedoms vis-à-vis the Member State of the referring court	132
4.3.6.	Internal situations concerning the annulment of Member State provisions which not only apply to the Member State's own nationals but also to those of other Member States	133
4.4.	Situations where the Circumstances of the Preliminary Reference Arise before Accession to the European Union or where the Preliminary Ruling is Rendered after Withdrawal from the European Union	134

4.5. The Facts in the Main Proceedings	137
4.6. Application of EU Law in the Main Proceedings	138
5. When Can a Reference for a Preliminary Ruling be Made?	141
1. Overview	141
2. General Considerations	142
2.1. Who Has Jurisdiction to Decide the Relevance of a Preliminary Question?	142
2.2. The Margin of Discretion of the National Courts	143
3. The Referring Court Must Be Able to Apply the Court of Justice's Ruling to the Main Proceedings	145
4. Questions Not Related to the Facts and Circumstances of the Main Proceedings	154
4.1. The Court's Answer Will Only Be Relevant for Future Cases	154
4.2. Questions Concerning Factual Circumstances which Differ from those in the Main Proceedings	158
4.3. The Question Is Based on Unverified or Alternative Views of the Facts	161
4.4. The Question Concerns Legal Issues that Cannot Be Relevant to the Main Proceedings	162
4.5. The Referring Court's Interpretation of the Facts or National Law Is Being Disputed	166
4.6. The Answer Can Already Be Given on the Basis of National Law	169
4.7. The Question Has Already Been Answered	172
4.8. The Relationship between Issues of Admissibility and Issues of Substance	174
4.9. The Question Concerns an EU Act that Was Not in Force at the Relevant Time	176
4.10. Relevance of <i>Ratione Temporis</i> and National Law	179
4.11. The Question Concerns the Legal Status of the Referring Court	182
4.12. Questions Concerning Legislation of Other Member States	184
5. Contrived Cases	186
6. The Relationship between the Preliminary Reference Procedure and the Infringement Procedure in Articles 258 and 259 TFEU	193
7. The Relationship between the Preliminary Reference Procedure and the Procedure in Article 263 TFEU concerning Actions for Annulment before the Court of Justice (the TWD Doctrine)	195
6. When Are National Courts Obligated to Refer Questions?	201
1. The Right to Refer and the Obligation to Refer	201
2. National Courts Covered by Article 267(3)	201
3. Exceptions to the Obligation to Refer	207
3.1. Overview	207
3.2. The EU Law Question Is Not Relevant	208
3.3. More Courts Handling the Case Qualify as 'Court of Last Instance'	209
3.4. <i>Acte Éclairé</i> —a Materially Identical Question has Already Been the Subject of a Preliminary Ruling	210
3.5. <i>Acte Clair</i> —the Correct Application Leaves No Scope for any Reasonable Doubt	211
3.5.1. The rulings in <i>Cilfit</i> , <i>X and van Dijk</i> , and <i>Ferreira da Silva</i>	211
3.5.2. The distinction between <i>interpretation</i> and <i>application</i> of EU law in the main proceedings—and the consequences for the obligation to make preliminary references	216

3.5.3. The notion of 'no reasonable doubt'	218
3.5.3.1. Introduction	218
3.5.3.2. Other national rulings exist regarding the EU rule that is held to be <i>acte clair</i>	219
3.5.3.3. Divergent views amongst the judges deciding the main action in question	219
3.5.3.3.1. Introduction	219
3.5.3.3.2. Different views on the abstract interpretation of EU law	220
3.5.3.3.3. Different views on EU rules that do not regulate the relationship between the parties	220
3.5.3.3.4. Different views on issues that fall outside the competence of the Court of Justice	221
3.5.3.3.5. Agreement as to the outcome, but based upon diverging reasoning	222
3.5.3.4. Cases pending before EU institutions	223
3.5.3.4.1. Cases pending before the Court of Justice	223
3.5.3.4.2. Pending infringement proceedings	224
3.5.3.5. Administrative practice and legal literature	225
3.5.4. <i>Acte clair</i> and the multilingual character of EU law	226
3.5.5. The wishes of the parties and procedural economy	227
3.5.6. Duty to make a second reference in one and the same main action	228
3.6. Other Forms of Preliminary References	229
3.7. Cases on Interim Measures	229
3.8. Application of EU Law outside the Scope of EU law	230
4. The Obligation of Courts Other than Those of Last Instance to Make a Reference	231
4.1. Overview	231
4.2. References Concerning Invalidity	231
4.2.1. The duty to refer prior to a finding of invalidity	231
4.2.2. Findings of invalidity without (prior) preliminary reference	233
4.3. Deviating from the Court of Justice's Interpretation of an EU Legal Act	233
4.4. The Duty of Loyal Cooperation and Preliminary References	234
5. Consequences of a National Court Disregarding its Obligation to Make a Reference	234
5.1. Overview	234
5.2. Failure to Refer, Validity of National Judgments, and Obligation to Reopen the Case File	235
5.3. Damages for Failure to Refer	238
5.4. Infringement Proceedings for Failure to Refer	240
5.5. Failure to Refer as an Infringement of the European Convention on Human Rights as well as the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights	242
5.5.1. Arbitrary refusals to make a preliminary reference	242
5.5.2. Possible rebuttal of the <i>Bosphorus</i> presumption where a Member State court refuses to make a preliminary reference	246
7. When Ought a Reference for a Preliminary Ruling be Made?	249
1. Overview	249
2. Determining the Relevant Criteria	249
2.1. The Need for Judicial Assistance	249

2.2. Delay and Cost	253
2.3. The Wishes of the Parties	254
2.4. The Wish Not to Overload the Court of Justice	257
2.5. Similar Cases Are Pending	258
3. From Which Court Should a Reference Be Made?	261
4. At What Stage in the Main Proceedings Should a Reference Be Made?	263
8. The Form and Content of a Reference	267
1. Introduction	267
2. The Form of the Reference	268
3. The Content of a Reference	270
3.1. The Need for a Thorough Order for Reference	270
3.2. Guidelines for the Drafting of a Reference for a Preliminary Ruling	274
3.2.1. Introduction	274
3.2.2. Identification of the question referred	275
3.2.3. Information about the facts	279
3.2.4. Information about national law	283
3.2.5. The order for reference must be understandable without the need to refer to other documents	284
3.2.6. Requesting the application of the urgent preliminary ruling procedure in the area of freedom, security, and justice	290
9. Proceedings before the Referring Court after a Reference has been Made	293
1. The Case Remains Pending before the National Court	293
2. Appeal against a Decision to Make a Preliminary Reference	295
2.1. Court's Case Law	295
2.2. In What Situations May a National Appeal Procedure Be Binding on the Lower Court?	298
2.2.1. Overview	298
2.2.2. Appeals on matters falling outside the Court's jurisdiction under Article 267 TFEU	298
2.2.3. Appeal on the ground that the referring court does not have jurisdiction under national law to rule on the matters in the preliminary reference	299
2.2.4. The main proceedings are finalized in connection with the appeal of a decision to make a preliminary reference	300
2.2.5. A referring court loses jurisdiction to decide the main proceedings after making a preliminary reference	300
2.2.6. Appeal against a refusal either to make a preliminary reference or to include certain questions in a preliminary reference	301
3. Interim Relief	303
3.1. Overview	303
3.2. The Competence of National Courts to Order Interim Relief	303
3.2.1. Possible conflicts between national law and EU law	303
3.2.2. Possible conflicts between different EU rules	304
3.3. The Conditions for Granting Interim Relief	307
3.3.1. Overview	307
3.3.2. Doubt as to validity (<i>fumus boni iuris</i>)	308
3.3.3. Urgency	309
3.3.4. Balancing the interests	310

10. The Procedure before the Court of Justice	313
1. Overview	313
2. Notification of the Decision to Make a Reference	314
3. Observations on the Preliminary Reference	315
3.1. Those Entitled to Submit Observations	315
3.2. Observations by EU Institutions and Member States	320
3.3. Influencing the Scope of the Preliminary Ruling through the Presentation of Observations	324
3.3.1. The preliminary ruling procedure does not have any parties <i>stricto sensu</i>	324
3.3.2. The subject matter of the preliminary question	324
3.3.3. Supplementing or departing from the facts of the reference	327
3.3.3.1. The main rule	327
3.3.3.2. Supplementing the referring court's presentation of the facts	328
3.3.3.3. The facts presented in the reference are being disputed	329
3.3.3.3.1. The degree of fact-finding performed by the referring court	329
3.3.3.3.2. Undisputed facts that arise after the reference is made	330
3.3.3.3.3. A party corrects information on the facts against that party's own interest	331
3.3.3.3.4. Those entitled to present observations disagree on the correction of a fact	331
3.3.3.3.5. Cases concerning the validity of EU rules	332
3.3.3.3.6. Rules of evidence	333
3.3.3.4. Departing from the referring court's interpretation of national law	334
3.3.3.5. The Court of Justice's access to information on the facts and on national law	336
4. The Procedure before the Court of Justice	338
4.1. Overview	338
4.2. Representation	338
4.3. Language	340
4.4. The Written Proceedings	342
4.4.1. Introduction	342
4.4.2. Deadlines and requirements regarding submission of observations	342
4.4.3. Content and structure of written observations	343
4.4.4. Length of the written observations	346
4.5. The Oral Procedure	346
4.5.1. Not all cases are heard orally	346
4.5.2. Setting the date for the oral hearing	347
4.5.3. Time allowed for addressing the Court	347
4.5.4. Content and conduct of the oral hearing	348
4.5.5. Considerations pertaining to interpretation	350
4.5.6. Closure of the oral procedure	352
5. Expedited Procedures	353
5.1. Overview	353

5.2. The Expedited Procedure Laid Down in Article 105 of the Court's Rules of Procedure	353
5.2.1. Procedure	353
5.2.2. When is the expedited procedure used?	355
5.3. The Urgent Preliminary Ruling Procedure in the Area of Freedom, Security, and Justice	358
5.3.1. Overview of the urgent preliminary ruling procedure	358
5.3.2. Conditions for the application of the urgent preliminary ruling procedure	359
5.3.3. The decision whether or not to apply the urgent preliminary ruling procedure	361
5.3.4. The written procedure	362
5.3.5. The oral observations in the urgent preliminary ruling procedure	363
6. Gathering of Further Evidence and Reopening the Oral Proceedings	363
11. The Preliminary Ruling	371
1. Judgment or Order?	371
2. Reformulating the Question Referred	372
2.1. Overview	372
2.2. The Preliminary Question Is Narrowed Down	374
2.3. Inclusion of Other EU Law Provisions	377
2.4. Alternative Answers	379
2.5. Potentially Relevant Issues that Do Not Form Part of the Preliminary Reference	381
2.6. Questions on the Validity of an EU Provision	385
3. Tailoring the Preliminary Ruling to the Facts of the Case	387
3.1. The Extent to which the Court of Justice Itself Makes the Legal Classification of the Facts	387
3.2. The Nature of the Question	389
3.3. The Content and Formulation of the Preliminary Reference	391
3.4. Policy Considerations	392
3.5. The Workload of the Court of Justice	394
3.6. New Legal Principles	396
12. The Effects of the Preliminary Ruling	399
1. Introduction	399
2. The Effects of a Preliminary Ruling for the Main Proceedings	399
2.1. National Courts Dealing with the Case	399
2.2. The Parties to the Main Proceedings	406
3. The Effect of a Preliminary Ruling in Other Cases	406
3.1. Other National Courts	406
3.2. Parties in Other National Proceedings, EU Institutions, and Member States	408
3.3. The Court of Justice	409
4. The Legal Significance of the Opinions of the Advocates General	409
5. The Temporal Effect of a Preliminary Ruling	411
5.1. The Main Rule	411
5.2. Circumstances which Justify Limiting the Temporal Effect	412
5.2.1. Overview	412

5.2.2. Lack of legal clarity and presence of good faith	413
5.2.2.1. General considerations	413
5.2.2.2. The behaviour of EU institutions	415
5.2.2.3. Legitimate expectations for Member States?	418
5.2.3. Serious financial consequences	419
5.2.4. Relationship to pending proceedings	421
5.2.5. Temporal limitation in cases concerning the validity of EU measures	422
6. Interpretation and Revision of a Preliminary Ruling	422
13. Litigation Costs and Legal Aid	425
1. Litigation Costs	425
2. Legal Aid	426
<i>Bibliography</i>	429
<i>Index</i>	439