

Contents

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	vii
Introduction to legal reasoning	1
PART I THE FUNCTION OF LAW	
1 Settling moral controversy	5
1.1 Settlement	5
1.2 The dilemma of rules	9
1.3 The possibility of determinate rules	11
1.4 The nature of law	16
PART II REASONING FROM CANONICAL LEGAL TEXTS	
2 Interpreting posited rules	20
2.1 The lawmaker's intended meaning as the goal of legal interpretation	21
2.2 What is the state of mind that constitutes the lawmaker's intended meaning?	28
2.3 Some challenges to the determinacy of intended meanings	30
2.4 Conclusion	44
3 Infelicities of, and norms constraining, intended meaning	46
3.1 Absurd, unjust, and pointless intended meanings	46
3.2 Opaque intended meanings	48
3.3 Conflicting multiple intended meanings	49
3.4 Norms constraining intended meanings as antidotes to the foregoing infelicities	52
4 Non-intentionalist interpretation	65
4.1 Textualism	65
4.2 Dynamic interpretation of canonical legal rules	81
4.3 Original public meaning	83

PART III COMMON LAW REASONING

5	Natural reasoning and deduction from rules	87
5.1	Natural reasoning in the common law	88
5.2	Reasoning under rules	93
5.3	Natural reasoning and deductive reasoning compared	94
5.4	Some puzzles about the rule model	101
6	The mystification of common law reasoning	111
6.1	Analogical reasoning case to case	112
6.2	Reasoning from legal principles	130
7	Judicial practice	146
7.1	Why judges are imperfect rule-makers	149
7.2	Some indirect correctives to the problems of judicial rule-making	156
7.3	Further thoughts on the rationality and sustainability of common law practice	162
8	All or nothing	166
	<i>Bibliography</i>	169
	<i>Index</i>	178