

CONTENT

CONTENT	5
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	8
ABSTRACT	9
ABSTRAKT	10
1. INTRODUCTION.....	11
1.1. RADIOACTIVITY AND NUCLEAR WASTE.....	11
1.2. DEEP REPOSITORY	11
1.3. SEALING MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS AND EXPECTED CONDITIONS IN THE DEEP REPOSITORY	13
2. PARAMETERS INVESTIGATED	16
2.1. BASIC PHYSICAL AND HYDRO-PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	16
2.2. PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION	17
2.3. SWELLING PRESSURE.....	17
2.3.1. <i>Type I – Using Classical Oedometer</i>	17
2.3.2. <i>Type II – Using Oedometer in Rigid Frame</i>	19
2.3.3. <i>Type III – Direct Measurement by Means of Loading Cell</i>	20
2.4. THERMO-PHYSICAL PROPERTIES.....	21
2.5. CHOSEN TESTS WITH ACCREDITATION AT CEG	22
3. MICROSTRUCTURE OF SMECTITE CLAY	23
3.1. CHARACTERIZATION.....	23
3.2. POSSIBLE REASONS FOR CHANGES IN THERMO-PHYSICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL CHARACTERISTICS	25
4. SOURCES OF INFORMATION AND CURRENT STATE OF THE ART	28
4.1. LITERATURE IN GENERAL	28
4.2. LABORATORY TESTS	28
4.2.1. <i>Thermo-physical Properties</i>	28
4.2.1.1. Projects Done and their Results – Thermal Conductivity	28
4.2.1.2. Projects Done and their Results – Heat Capacity.....	33
4.2.1.3. Formulae Describing the Behaviour of Thermal Conductivity	33
4.2.1.4. Formulae Describing the Behaviour of Heat Capacity.....	40
4.2.1.5. Thermo-physical Properties of the Host Rock	43
4.2.2. <i>Swelling Pressure</i>	44
4.2.2.1. Theoretical Background Overview	44
4.2.2.2. Results from Laboratory Experiments	46

4.2.2.3. Influence of Temperature.....	47
4.2.2.4. Influence of Water Salinity.....	48
4.2.3. <i>Water Density in Saturated Smectite Clay</i>	50
4.3. PHYSICAL MODELS.....	53
4.3.1. <i>General Characterization</i>	53
4.3.2. <i>Mock-Up-CZ Experiment Analysis</i>	55
4.3.3. <i>OPHELIE Mock-up</i>	57
4.3.4. <i>TUNNEL SEALING EXPERIMENT (TSX)</i>	58
5. AIMS OF THE THESIS	59
6. METHODOLOGY	60
6.1. EQUIPMENT USED AND TEST CONDITIONS.....	60
6.1.1. <i>Description of Equipment and Measurement by ISOMET</i>	60
6.1.2. <i>Description of "Thermal Analyzer APT-P01"</i>	61
6.1.3. <i>Uncertainties during Measurement</i>	64
6.2. MATERIAL.....	64
6.2.1. <i>"Mixture" - of 85% RMN, 10% sand and 5% graphite</i>	64
6.2.2. <i>FEBEX bentonite</i>	68
6.2.3. <i>MX80 - "Wyoming"</i>	68
6.2.4. <i>Water for Saturation</i>	69
6.3. OUTPUTS FROM THE EXPERIMENTS.....	70
6.4. DATA PRESENTATION.....	70
6.5. PROBLEMS WHICH OCCURRED.....	70
6.5.1. <i>Swelling Pressure Detection</i>	71
6.5.2. <i>Mineral Precipitation</i>	72
6.5.3. <i>Corrosion of Apparatus</i>	73
6.6. DATA EVALUATION SYSTEM.....	73
7. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	74
7.1. SWELLING PRESSURE.....	74
7.2. DEGREE OF SATURATION AND APPARENT PORE WATER DENSITY IN SATURATED MATERIAL.....	78
7.3. THERMO-PHYSICAL PARAMETERS.....	84
7.3.1. <i>Comparison of Thermal Conductivity to Former Results</i>	84
7.3.1.1. <i>FEBEX and MX80 - Pure Bentonites</i>	84
7.3.1.2. <i>Mixture of 85% RMN, 10% Sand and 5% Graphite</i>	85
7.3.2. <i>Consideration of Relatively High Thermal Conductivity Measured</i>	88
7.3.3. <i>Fitting of Measured Thermal Conductivity to Theoretical Calculation</i>	92
7.3.4. <i>Heat Capacity of the Saturated Material</i>	95
7.3.5. <i>Influence of Long-Term Heat Treatment</i>	98

7.3.6. <i>Is Thermal Conductivity Sufficient with Respect to Use in the Buffer?</i>	99
7.3.6.1. "Sufficient" Thermal Conductivity	100
7.3.6.2. Comparison with Thermal Conductivity of the Host Rock....	101
7.3.6.3. Achievement of the "Highest" Thermal Conductivity	101
7.4. SATURATION VELOCITY	101
7.5. CORROSION OF APPARATUS.....	102
8. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK	103
9. CONCLUSION.....	104
10. SUMMARY	106
REVIEW OF USED SYMBOLS	107
REVIEW OF USED ABBREVIATIONS	108
LIST OF FIGURES.....	109
REFERENCES	115
APPENDIX - OUTPUTS FROM THE EXPERIMENTS.....	122