

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreword by Lord Woolf

Preface

vii

xi

JUDICIAL REVIEW HANDBOOK: A DETAILED GUIDE TO THE LAW AND PRACTICE

1

A. THE NATURE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW:

keys to understanding what the Court is doing

3

P1 A constitutional guarantee

5

1.1 Constitutional supervision of public authorities

5

1.2 Judicial review and the rule of law

8

1.3 Judicial review's constitutional inalienability

13

P2 Supervisory jurisdiction

17

2.1 Judicial review in the Administrative Court

17

2.2 Upper Tribunal judicial review (UTJR)

26

2.3 *Cart* claims

30

2.4 Planning Court claims

32

2.5 Other similar supervisory jurisdictions

33

2.6 Impact of judicial review

34

P3 Procedural rigour & flexibility

41

3.1 Procedural rigour

41

3.2 Procedural flexibility

47

P4 Materiality

54

4.1 Highly likely: not substantially different (HL:NSD)

54

4.2 Materiality/absence of prejudice at common law

59

4.3 Futility

63

4.4 Cautious approach to materiality, prejudice and futility

65

4.5 Utility: hypothetical/academic issues

68

4.6 Prematurity

73

P5 Targets

77

5.1 Judicial review and 'decisions'

77

5.2 Spectrum of possible targets

78

5.3 Multiple targets/target-selection

82

5.4 'Rolling judicial review'

84

P6 Sources

88

6.1 Basic sources of powers and duties

88

6.2 Policy guidance

91

6.3 International law

96

TABLE OF CONTENTS

P7	Constitutional fundamentals	104
7.1	The force of the common law	104
7.2	The rule of law	108
7.3	Separation of powers	110
7.4	Legislative supremacy	111
7.5	Access to justice	115
7.6	Constitutional/common law rights	117
7.7	Basic fairness/natural justice	122
7.8	Basic reasonableness	124
P8	EU law	126
8.1	Basic features of EU law	126
P9	The HRA	130
9.1	HRA: key features and themes	130
9.2	HRA s.2: relationship with Strasbourg	135
9.3	HRA s.3: compatible interpretation	139
9.4	HRA s.6: compatible public authority action	142
9.5	HRA just satisfaction	146
P10	Candour & cooperation	149
10.1	Judicial review as a cooperative enterprise	149
10.2	ADR/mediation and judicial review	155
10.3	Claimant's duty of candour	156
10.4	Defendant/interested party's duty of candour	158
P11	Precedent & authority	165
11.1	Use of precedent and authority	165
P12	Reviewing primary legislation	173
12.1	Primary legislation: invalidity/disapplication under EU law	173
12.2	HRA s.4: declaration of incompatibility (DOI)	174
12.3	Judicial review of primary legislation at common law	177
P13	Judicial restraint	181
13.1	'Soft' review: reasonableness standard	181
13.2	Restraint and factual appreciation	184
13.3	Restraint and discretion/judgment	185
13.4	Restraint and expertise	187
13.5	Judicial restraint in action	189
13.6	Review from the decision-maker's point of view	194
P14	Critical balance	196
14.1	Judicial review: striking a balance	196
14.2	Striking a balance: nothing personal	200
14.3	Inconvenience and floodgates	201

P15 The forbidden method	203
15.1 'Soft' review: the forbidden substitutionary approach	203
15.2 'Not an appeal'	205
15.3 'Legality not correctness'	206
15.4 'Not the merits'	207
15.5 'Court does not substitute its own judgment'	208
P16 Hard-edged questions	209
16.1 Hard-edged review: correctness standard	209
16.2 Precedent fact/objective fact as hard-edged review	210
16.3 Error of law as hard-edged review	211
16.4 Interpretation as hard-edged review	213
16.5 Procedural fairness as hard-edged review	216
16.6 Hard-edged review: further aspects	218
P17 Evidence & fact	220
17.1 Judicial review evidence	220
17.2 Fresh evidence in judicial review	223
17.3 Judicial review and factual disputes	228
17.4 Oral evidence/cross-examination in judicial review	237
17.5 Disclosure/further information in judicial review	240
17.6 Expert evidence in judicial review	244
P18 Costs	247
18.1 Costs: general matters	247
18.2 Costs and third parties	255
18.3 Costs and the permission stage	260
18.4 Public interest costs, costs capping and the environment	263
18.5 Costs and discontinuance/settlement	267
P19 The claim stage	271
19.1 Pre-claim steps	271
19.2 Making the claim	275
19.3 Acknowledging the claim	280
P20 Interim relief	285
20.1 Interim remedies in judicial review	285
20.2 Court's approach to interim relief	292
P21 The permission stage	297
21.1 The permission process	297
21.2 Granting or refusing permission	301
21.3 Totally without merit (TWM) certification	306
21.4 Directing a rolled-up hearing	307
21.5 Permission-stage case-management/directions	310

TABLE OF CONTENTS

P22 The substantive stage	317
22.1 Matters/steps arising post-permission	317
22.2 Third party participation	326
22.3 Substantive disposal without a hearing	333
22.4 The substantive hearing	335
P23 Appeal	342
23.1 Permission-stage appeal	342
23.2 Substantive appeal	346
23.3 Nature of the appellate court's approach	349
P24 Remedies	357
24.1 The remedial toolkit	357
24.2 The declaration	358
24.3 Remedy as a discretionary matter	363
24.4 The remedies in action	368
P25 Monetary remedies	376
25.1 Availability of damages, restitution or sum due (debt)	376
25.2 Recognised species of monetary claim	379
25.3 Public law reparation: 'no damages for maladministration'	382
B. PARAMETERS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW:	
<i>further dominant themes shaping the law and practice</i>	385
P26 Delay	387
26.1 The approach to delay	387
26.2 Promptness and the running of time	393
26.3 Extension of time	398
26.4 Hardship, prejudice and detriment	406
P27 Public/private law	409
27.1 The public law/private law distinction	409
27.2 'Public law' principles outside judicial review	410
27.3 'Procedural exclusivity': abuse of process	412
P28 Ouster	418
28.1 Statutory ouster of judicial review	418
28.2 Time-limit ousters	421
P29 Interpretation	423
29.1 The purposive approach to interpretation	423
29.2 Legislative purpose and judicial review	426
29.3 Statutory interpretation	427
29.4 Using Hansard in judicial review	433
29.5 Interpreting other instruments	436

P30 Function	440
30.1 The public authority's function	440
P31 Context	444
31.1 'Context is everything'	444
31.2 Circumstances	446
31.3 Conduct and characteristics of the claimant	449
31.4 'Flexi-principles'	453
P32 Modified review	456
32.1 Modified review	456
32.2 Part-reviewability of Crown Courts	459
32.3 Judicial review of decisions regarding legal process	462
32.4 Anxious scrutiny	469
32.5 Systemic challenges	473
P33 Flux	477
33.1 The developing law	477
33.2 Landmarks from the past	479
33.3 'Two-step' approaches to legal development	483
33.4 Next steps in public law: forecasting the future	484
P34 Reviewability/non-reviewability	486
34.1 Surveying the field	486
34.2 Principles of reviewability	489
34.3 Key conquests of reviewability	493
34.4 'Non-reviewable' public functions	495
34.5 Private law matters	501
P35 Principle of legality	507
35.1 POL: non-abrogation of protected values (the principle)	507
35.2 POL: protected values (the premise)	510
35.3 POL: international law (human rights) obligations	514
35.4 POL: Statutorily endorsed abrogation (the proviso)	515
P36 Alternative remedy	518
36.1 Judicial review alongside other safeguards	518
36.2 Exclusive alternative remedy	520
36.3 Alternative remedy as a discretionary bar	521
36.4 Whether action/avenue curative of public law wrong	533
P37 Proportionality method	538
37.1 Proportionality method	538
P38 Standing	544
38.1 The standing requirement: sufficient interest	544
38.2 The approach to sufficient interest	546

TABLE OF CONTENTS

38.3	Standing at the permission/substantive stages	553
38.4	Standing and HRA s.6: the 'victim' test	555
P39	Discretion/duty	558
39.1	No unfettered powers	558
39.2	Discretion (power): the essential duties	560
39.3	Discretion and duty in action	563
P40	Inalienability	569
40.1	Preservation of powers and duties	569
40.2	Inalienability and legitimate expectation	570
P41	Legitimate expectation	574
41.1	The role of legitimate expectation	574
41.2	Anatomy of a legitimate expectation	578
P42	Onus	585
42.1	Onus generally on the claimant	585
42.2	Onus on the defendant	587
P43	Severance	591
43.1	Severability	591
P44	Nullity	594
44.1	Invalidity labels	594
44.2	Flaws constituting 'nullity'	595
44.3	Purpose/effect of 'nullity'	596
C.	GROUNDINGS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW:	
	<i>public law wrongs justifying the Court's intervention</i>	599
P45	Classifying grounds	601
45.1	The conventional threefold division	601
45.2	Root concepts and unifying themes	603
45.3	Reviewing discretionary power: <i>Wednesbury</i>	605
45.4	Overlapping grounds and interchangeable labels	607
P46	Ultra vires	611
46.1	Ultra vires	611
46.2	Interpretation to allow validity: reading down/in	615
P47	Jurisdictional error	617
47.1	Jurisdictional error	617

P48 Error of law	623
48.1 Error of law/misdirection in law/illegality	623
48.2 Error of law: restricted categories?	627
P49 Error of fact	629
49.1 Precedent fact	629
49.2 Objective question of fact	630
49.3 Material error of fact	631
49.4 Unsustainable conclusion of fact	635
P50 Abdication/fetter	639
50.1 Basic duty not to abdicate/fetter	639
50.2 Acting under dictation	640
50.3 Improper delegation	641
50.4 Fetter by inflexible policy	644
P51 Insufficient inquiry	649
51.1 Duty of sufficient inquiry	649
51.2 Whether material fairly presented/properly addressed	652
P52 Bad faith/improper motive	655
52.1 Bad faith	655
52.2 Improper motive	656
P53 Frustrating the legislative purpose	659
53.1 Duty to promote the legislative purpose	659
P54 Substantive unfairness	663
54.1 Substantive unfairness	663
54.2 Unjustified breach of a substantive legitimate expectation (SLE)	669
P55 Consistency/equal treatment	674
55.1 Consistency, equal treatment, certainty and arbitrariness	674
55.2 Statutory equality duties	682
55.3 Unjustified 'departure'	687
P56 Relevancy/irrelevancy	692
56.1 The relevancy/irrelevancy principle	692
56.2 Obligatory and evaluative relevance/irrelevance	697
56.3 Relevance and weight	700
P57 Unreasonableness	704
57.1 The unreasonableness principle	704
57.2 Unreasonableness as a high threshold	708

TABLE OF CONTENTS

57.3 Distinct species of unreasonableness 710

57.4 Unreasonableness in action 713

P58 Proportionality 717

58.1 Proportionality 717

58.2 Proportionality alongside reasonableness 718

58.3 Proportionality at common law 720

58.4 Proportionality and scrutiny of evidence/reasoning 728

58.5 Proportionality: latitude and intensity of review 731

P59 HRA violation 738

59.1 Identifying an HRA violation 738

59.2 Article 2: life 740

59.3 Article 3: cruelty 741

59.4 Article 5: liberty 742

59.5 Article 6: fair hearing 743

59.6 Article 8: private and family life 747

59.7 Article 10: expression 749

59.8 Article 14: non-discrimination 750

59.9 A1P1: property-interference 754

59.10 Other HRA/ECHR rights and provisions 755

P60 Constitutionality 759

60.1 Constitutionality 759

P61 Procedural unfairness 763

61.1 Procedural fairness 763

61.2 Procedural fairness as a flexi-principle 770

61.3 Procedural fairness: supplementing the legislative scheme 773

61.4 Procedural ultra vires 776

61.5 Basic right to be heard 778

61.6 Basic right to be informed 781

61.7 Other rights of procedural fairness 788

P62 Consultation 793

62.1 Consultation 793

62.2 Triggers for a consultation duty 795

62.3 Legally adequate consultation: the Sedley requirements 797

P63 Bias 802

63.1 Automatic disqualification 802

63.2 Actual bias 803

63.3 Apparent bias 804

P64 Reasons 807

64.1 Importance of reasons 807

64.2 Judicial review for failure to give reasons 811

64.3 Adequacy of reasons 816

64.4 Timing of reasons: retro-reasons 823

64.5 Remedy for lack/insufficiency of reasons 828

P65 External vitiating 831

65.1 External injustice/vitiating third-party act 831

Also written by Mike Fordham 835

Table of Cases 837

Table of Legislation 925

Table of Statutory Instruments 929

Index 931

A. THE NATURE OF JUDICIAL REVIEW
key to understanding what the Court is doing
(P1-P23)

B. PARAMETERS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW
further clarifies the main aspects of the law and practice
(P25-P43)

C. GROUND FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW
public law remedies including the writs of habeas corpus, certiorari and mandamus
(P45-P65)

Acronyms:

CPR = Civil Procedure Rules

CPS = Crown Prosecution Service

HMRC = Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs

HRA = Human Rights Act 1998

LBC = London Borough Council

NSHD = Secretary of State for the Home Department