

Table of Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	<i>xi</i>
<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>xiii</i>
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	<i>xv</i>

PART I

1. Introduction	3
I. The Idea of the Book	3
II. The Structure of the Book	5
A. Part I	6
B. Part II	7
C. Part III	8
III. Why Constitutionalism Matters for Constructing the AFSJ Sphere	9
IV. Introduction to the Contested Concept of Justice and the EU Security Status Quo	11
V. Justice, EU Legal Debate and the External Aspect	13
VI. Conclusion	15
2. The Concept of Non-domination in the EU Security-related Context.....	17
I. Introduction	17
II. The Concept of ‘Freedom as Non-domination’ as a Constitutional Set-up: Charting the Main Debate	19
A. Coercion and Domination in Power Structures.....	22
III. Non-domination, Justice and EU Security Regulation	25
IV. Legitimacy and Justification	28
V. Judicial Review and Non-domination	32
VI. Translating the ‘Non-domination’ Question to the Supranational EU Level and its Relevance for EU Security Regulation.....	34
VII. Introduction to the Links between Justification and Proportionality in the Context of Non-domination	35
VIII. Conclusion	36
3. The Right to Justification, Justice and the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice	39
I. Introduction	39

PART II

3. The Right to Justification, Justice and the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice	39
I. Introduction	39

II.	Justice as a Contested Concept.....	42
III.	Justice and on the Constitutional Structure.....	46
	A. The Concepts of Justice and Dignity: Kantian Themes	48
IV.	The Idea of Justification, Democracy and the Question of Legitimacy	50
	A. Justification, Public Reason and Fiduciary Law	51
V.	The Ideal and Non-ideal Picture of Justice.....	54
VI.	Constitutional Essentials, Public Reason and Judicial Review.....	56
VII.	Same for States and Citizens? The EU Context.....	59
VIII.	Conclusion	60
4.	Proportionality and Reasonable Disagreement in the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice	62
	I. Introduction	62
	II. Proportionality in the AFSJ and Beyond	65
	III. Proportionality Discourse: Introductory Remarks to a Grand Debate	68
	IV. An Umbrella Principle of Proportionality in EU Law	70
	V. Proportionality on the EU Legislative Table	73
	A. Charter of Fundamental Rights and Proportionality	74
	B. Margin of Appreciation and the ECHR	76
	VI. The New Contours of Proportionality within the AFSJ: Case Law	78
	VII. Critique and Appraisal of the Proportionality Principle	85
	VIII. The Turn to Justification: Proportionality as Reasonable Disagreement.....	90
	IX. Proportionality and Justice as a Force for Good AFSJ Structure?	92
	X. Conclusion: Non-domination Utilised Through Proportionality?.....	94
PART III		
5.	The Right to Justification, Territoriality and Migration, Refugees and Terrorism.....	99
	I. Introduction	99
	A. Status Quo and Security	100
	II. The Decent Society and its Borders: Some Key Debates Explored.....	103
	A. The Right to Justification: Theory and Human Rights	109
	III. Migration Ethics and the AFSJ Challenge.....	111
	A. The EU Migration and Refugee Crisis and the Notion of Mixed Migration	113

IV.	Anti-terrorism, Security and Prevention.....	119
A.	The Question of Jurisdiction and Recent Security Measures	123
V.	Adjudication and Security in Real Time.....	127
VI.	Agencies and the Accountability Deficit.....	129
VII.	What Kind of Justification for What Kind of Solidarity?.....	132
VIII.	Conclusion	134
6.	The Dimensions of Constitutional Justice: The Multi-Speed Scenario	136
I.	Introduction	136
II.	Justice and Fragmentation: Cherry-picking AFSJ Standards	138
III.	Constitutional Justice, Trust and the CJEU.....	143
IV.	The Court of Justice as a Trustee Court in the AFSJ?.....	148
V.	National Courts and Fiduciary Obligations: When the EU Standard is not Robust Enough	152
VI.	Conclusion	154
7.	Conclusion	155
I.	Conclusion	155
A.	Summary of the Arguments.....	156
II.	The Justification of the Book	159
	<i>Bibliography</i>	161
	<i>Index</i>	175