

# Contents

Acknowledgments	xi
Table of Contributors	xv
Introduction	xix

## PART 1. NEGOTIATION

Article 1.1.	
<b>Bargaining in the Shadow of the Law: The Case of Divorce (1979)</b>	3
Robert H. Mnookin and Lewis Kornhauser	

### Comments:

Elizabeth C. Tippet— <i>Bargaining in the Shadow of the Law: The Case for</i>	
ADR as a Field of Study	9
Rebecca Hollander-Blumoff—Taking Human Behavior Seriously	13
Rishi Batra— <i>Bargaining in the Shadow of the Law: A Classic Article with a</i>	
Contemporary Challenge	17
Robert H. Mnookin— <i>Bargaining in the Shadow of the Law Reassessed</i>	22

Article 1.2.	
<b>Machiavelli and the Bar: Ethical Limitations on Lying in</b>	
<b>Negotiation (1980)</b>	27
James J. White	

### Comments:

Michael Moffitt— <i>Machiavelli and the Bar and Ethical Ratcheting</i>	31
Peter R. Reilly— <i>Machiavelli and the Bar: J.J. White as Negotiation Ethics</i>	
Architect	36
Lauren A. Newell— <i>Machiavelli and the Bar: Prescient in Part</i>	40
James J. White— <i>Confronting Lying in Negotiation</i>	45

Article 1.3.	
<b>Toward Another View of Legal Negotiation: The Structure of</b>	
<b>Problem Solving (1984)</b>	49
Carrie Menkel-Meadow	

### Comments:

Russell Korobkin—We Are All Problem Solvers Now	55
Erin R. Archerd—It's Not the Lawyers We Need to	
Convince: Commentary on <i>Legal Negotiation</i> by Carrie Menkel-Meadow	60
Andrea Kupfer Schneider—Counseling about More Than the Law	64



Carrie Menkel-Meadow—The Origins of Problem-Solving Negotiation and Its Use in the Present	68
Article 1.4.	
<b>The Limits of Integrative Bargaining (1996)</b>	73
Gerald B. Wetlaufer	
Comments:	
Jennifer Reynolds—Oversimplifying, Overselling, Overreaching	79
Noam Ebner—Integrative Negotiation: Paying the Price of Popularity	84
Robert C. Bordone—Strengthening Integrative Bargaining: How <i>The Limits of Integrative Bargaining</i> Sharpened the Work of Negotiation Scholars	89
Gerald B. Wetlaufer—Reflections on <i>The Limits of Integrative Bargaining</i>	94

## PART 2. MEDIATION

Article 2.1.	
<b>Mediation—Its Forms and Functions (1971)</b>	101
Lon L. Fuller	
Comments:	
Art Hinshaw—Lon L. Fuller: Private Ordering and Mediation	107
Nancy A. Welsh—The Untethering of Mediation from Relationships	111
James J. Alfini—Lon Fuller's Influence on the Debate over Mediator Orientations	116
Becky L. Jacobs—Lon Fuller: A Progenitor of the Pedagogy of Skills?	120
Article 2.2.	
<b>The Theory and Practice of Mediation: A Reply to Professor Susskind (1981)</b>	125
Joseph B. Stulberg	
Comments:	
Lela Porter Love—A Star to Steer Her By	131
Brian A. Pappas—Just Settlement? Rethinking the Mediator's Goals	136
Sharon Press and Bobbi McAdoo—Neutrality in 2020: A Reply to 1981 Stulberg	141
Joseph B. Stulberg—Revisiting Mediator Neutrality	145
Article 2.3.	
<b>The Mediation Alternative: Process Dangers for Women (1991)</b>	151
Trina Grillo	
Comments:	
Carol Pauli—Trina Grillo: Productive Rage	157



Karen Tokarz—Grillo's Rigorous Path to Intentional, Mindful Mediation	161
Douglas N. Frenkel—The Grillo Effect at Thirty	165
Kelly Browe Olson—Post-Grillo: New Family Mediation Protections and Revised Dangers	169

Article 2.4.

<b>Understanding Mediators' Orientations, Strategies, and Techniques: A Grid for the Perplexed (1996)</b>	175
<i>Leonard L. Riskin</i>	

Comments:

Michael T. Colatrella Jr.—"True Enough"	183
Alyson Carrel—Dismantling the "Facilitative" "Evaluative" Dichotomy: Reflecting on Riskin's Grid and Predicting the Future	188
Donna Erez-Navot—The Riskin Grid: A Mixed Legacy	192
Kimberlee Kovach—Growth from the Grid?	197

PART 3. ARBITRATION

Article 3.1.

<b>The New Federal Arbitration Law (1926)</b>	203
<i>Julius Henry Cohen and Kenneth Dayton</i>	

Comments:

Carli N. Conklin—A Robust History of Arbitration in Early America: Commentary on <i>The New Federal Arbitration Law</i>	207
Imre S. Szalai—The Federal Arbitration Act in Its Infancy: Cohen and Dayton's <i>The New Federal Arbitration Law</i>	212
Kristen Blankley— <i>The New Federal Arbitration Law: A Call to Ethical Practice Not Yet Realized</i>	216
Amy J. Schmitz—Emphasizing Efficiency in the Digital Age	221

Article 3.2.

<b>Commercial Arbitration (1961)</b>	227
<i>Soia Mentschikoff</i>	

Comments:

Sarah R. Cole—Everything Old Is New Again	231
W. Mark C. Weidemaier—The Legacy of Soia Mentschikoff's <i>Commercial Arbitration</i>	235
David Horton—Inside the Black Box: A Short Comment on Soia Mentschikoff's <i>Commercial Arbitration</i>	239
Stephen J. Ware—Lasting Lessons from Mentschikoff's <i>Commercial Arbitration</i>	244



## Article 3.3.

- Panacea or Corporate Tool?: Debunking the Supreme Court's Preference for Binding Arbitration (1996)** 249

Jean R. Sternlight

## Comments:

- Jill I. Gross—Rethinking the Debunking: On Arbitration Myths, Preferences and Legal Theory 255
- Hiro N. Aragaki—The Critical Theory Legacy of Jean Sternlight's *Panacea or Corporate Tool?* 260
- Michael Z. Green—Framing the Debate to Show How Big Guys Insist That Little Guys Arbitrate as a Corporate Tool 264
- Jean R. Sternlight—*Panacea or Corporate Tool?: The Sequel* 268

## Article 3.4.

- Employment Arbitration: The Repeat Player Effect (1997)** 273

Lisa B. Bingham [*Lisa Blomgren Amsler*]

## Comments:

- Alexander J.S. Colvin—The River's Source: Empirical Research and Lisa Blomgren Amsler's *Employment Arbitration: The Repeat Player Effect* 279
- Martin H. Malin—Arbitration's Catalyst for Empirical Studies 283
- Richard Bales—The Historical Context of Lisa Blomgren Amsler's Empirical Work on Employment Arbitration 287
- Lisa Blomgren Amsler—Why the Haves Come Out Further and Further Ahead: The Repeat Player Effect, Control over Dispute System Design, and Justice 290

## PART 4. DISPUTE RESOLUTION PUBLIC POLICY

## Article 4.1.

- Why the "Haves" Come Out Ahead: Speculations on the Limits of Legal Change (1974)** 297

Marc Galanter

## Comments:

- John Lande—For Pragmatic Romanticism in Law and Dispute Resolution: Reflections on Galanter's Remarkably Realistic Analysis of Why the Have-Nots Come Out Behind 303
- Dwight Golann—A Prescient Warning of the Vulnerabilities in ADR 308
- Cynthia Alkon—Galanter's Analysis of the "Limits of Legal Change" as Applied to Criminal Cases and Reform 312
- Marc Galanter—Reflections on *Why the "Haves" Come Out Ahead* 317



## Article 4.2.

**Varieties of Dispute Processing (1976)** 321*Frank E.A. Sander**Comments:*

Donna Shestowsky—How Useful Is Court ADR If Litigants (Still) Don't Know about It? 327

Lydia Nussbaum—Dispute Processing beyond the Courts: New Complexity, Old Problems 332

Deborah Thompson Eisenberg—Frank Sander: Father of Court-based Dispute Resolution 337

Yael Efron—*Varieties of Dispute Processing*: The Implications on Legal Education 342

## Article 4.3.

**Against Settlement (1984)** 347*Owen M. Fiss**Comments:*

Amy J. Cohen—ADR and Public Values Again 351

Ellen Waldman—What *Against Settlement* Got Right 355

Adam S. Zimmerman—From Mass Adjudication to Settlement and Back 360

Marjorie Corman Aaron—The Haunting Specter of Fiss's *Against Settlement* 365

## Article 4.4.

**Pursuing Settlement in an Adversary Culture: A Tale of Innovation Co-Opted or "The Law of ADR" (1991)** 371*Carrie Menkel-Meadow**Comments:*

Ellen E. Deason—Dimensions of Quality of Justice 377

Elayne E. Greenberg—ADR's Place at the Justice Table 382

James Coben—Foundational Because Prescient (and Unfortunately, Cassandra-like Prescience) 387

Carrie Menkel-Meadow—Institutionalizing ADR: Clashing Values 391

*Commenter Biographies*

397