

# Contents

<i>Preface</i>	ix
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xi
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The applicative construction	1
1.2 About this study	2
<b>2 Two Case Studies of Applicative Morphosyntax</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Bukusu	6
2.2.1 Object properties in benefactive applicative constructions	6
2.2.2 Object properties in instrumental applicative constructions	10
2.2.3 Object properties in locative applicative constructions	12
2.2.4 Summary	14
2.3 Hakha Lai	15
2.3.1 Hakha Lai applicative constructions: Semantics and form	16
2.3.2 The morphosyntax of objects in Hakha Lai applicative constructions	22
2.4 Terminology	39
<b>3 Morphosyntactic and Semantic Variation in Applicative Constructions</b>	<b>40</b>
3.1 Introduction	40
3.2 Semantic role of the applicative object	40
3.2.1 Morphologically distinct applicative construction markers	40
3.2.2 Morphologically non-distinct applicative construction markers	43
3.3 Optionality/obligatoriness of the construction	45
3.3.1 Optional applicative constructions	45
3.3.2 Obligatory applicative constructions	46



3.3.3	Non-obligatory beneficiary/recipient applicative constructions	46
3.3.4	The role of animacy	48
3.3.5	Semantic effects of the applicative marker	49
3.3.6	Should obligatory constructions be considered applicatives?	50
3.4	Treatment of the base and applicative objects	51
3.4.1	Object properties acquired by the applicative object	51
3.4.2	Object properties not acquired by the applicative object	53
3.4.3	Retention of object properties by base objects	56
3.4.4	Variation according to applicative object type	58
3.5	Base verbal semantics	60
3.5.1	Minimum transitivity	61
3.5.2	Maximum transitivity	63
3.6	Applicative/causative isomorphism	64
3.7	Applicative constructions in synchronic theory: a historical overview	66
3.7.1	Relational Grammar approaches	68
3.7.2	Government and Binding/Principles and Parameters approaches	71
3.7.3	Lexical-Functional Grammar approaches	74
3.7.4	Recent developments	79
4	The Discourse Function of Applicative Constructions	83
4.1	Introduction	83
4.2	Approaches to the assessment of relative topicality	86
4.2.1	The topic continuity approach	86
4.2.2	The topicworthiness approach	88
4.3	The approach taken in this study	89
4.3.1	Overall procedure	89
4.3.2	General complications in application of the methodologies	90
4.3.3	Hakha Lai	94
4.3.4	Wolof	107
4.3.5	Summary	120
5	The Evolution of Applicative Constructions	123
5.1	Introduction	123
5.2	Source morphology	124
5.2.1	Adpositional sources	125



5.2.2	Verbal sources	130
5.2.3	Noun sources	140
5.3	Direct development of continuity-motivated applicatives	141
5.4	From transitivity to continuity-motivated applicative	142
5.4.1	Bantu	145
5.4.2	Sahaptian	151
5.5	Developments beyond the continuity-motivated applicative	151
5.5.1	Bantu	152
5.5.2	Nadëb	154
5.5.3	Kalkatungu	155
5.5.4	Mayan	155
5.5.5	Proto-Austronesian morphosyntax	160
5.6	Lexicalization	169
5.7	Summary	170
6	Structural Correlates of Applicative Constructions	172
6.1	Introduction	172
6.2	Sampling issues	173
6.2.1	History of the sample	173
6.2.2	Genetic and areal diversity	174
6.2.3	Determining that a language does not have applicative constructions	175
6.2.4	The sample	182
6.3	Features surveyed	185
6.3.1	Characteristics of the applicative construction	186
6.3.2	Head and dependent marking status	187
6.3.3	Morphological complexity	190
6.3.4	Word order	190
6.3.5	Alignment	191
6.3.6	Relative clause structure	193
6.3.7	Means for encoding spatial relations	199
6.3.8	Other valence-affecting constructions	200
6.3.9	Serialization, directionals, instrumental noun incorporation	201
6.4	Results of the survey	202
6.4.1	General observations concerning applicative systems	202
6.4.2	Head and dependent marking status	208
6.4.3	Morphological complexity	211
6.4.4	Word order	212
6.4.5	Alignment	214



6.4.6	Relative clause structure	220
6.4.7	Means for encoding spatial relations	222
6.4.8	Other valence-affecting constructions	224
6.4.9	Serialization, directionals, and instrumental noun incorporation	227
6.5	Summary	228
7	Conclusion	231
<i>Appendices</i>		236
A.	<i>Procedure for evaluation of participant discourse status</i>	236
B.	<i>Languages used in typological sample</i>	245
C.	<i>Questionnaire on aspects of applicative construction characteristics</i>	257
<i>References</i>		260
<i>Index of Authors</i>		277
<i>Index of Languages</i>		280
<i>Index of Subjects</i>		284