

Contents

<i>List of Tables</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxii
 1 The Bootmaker's Legacy to Company Law Doctrine	 1
<i>Ross Grantham and Charles Rickett</i>	
I. The Victorian Company	1
II. The New Age	4
2 Corporate Personality—Limited Recourse and its Limits	11
<i>David Goddard</i>	
I. The Point of <i>Salomon v. A Salomon & Co. Ltd.</i> — Limited Recourse Works	11
II. The Limits of Limited Liability	17
III. Developments in the Last One Hundred Years	44
IV. Conclusions	63
3 Commentary on Goddard	65
<i>Ross Grantham</i>	
I. Limited Liability	65
II. Corporate Personality	67
4 Corporate Groups	71
<i>Robert P. Austin</i>	
I. Introduction	71
II. Inroads into <i>Salomon's case</i>	71
III. The Corporate Group Concept	72
IV. Reasons for the Wholly Owned Group Structure	73
V. Management Structures	74
VI. Financial Management	75
VII. The Tension Between Business Organisation and Corporate Structure	75
VIII. Group Liability to Voluntary (Contract) Creditors	76
IX. Qualification to the Separate Entity Doctrine	77
X. "Lifting the Corporate Veil" in the Corporate Group Context	78
XI. The <i>Briggs Case</i>	79
XII. The Uncertainty Argument	79
XIII. Law Versus Commercial Reality—the <i>Qintex Case</i>	80
XIV. Creditor Expectations	81

XV.	The Administration of Group Corporate Liquidations	82
XVI.	"Pooled Liquidation" under Australian Law	82
XVII.	The New Zealand Solution	84
XVIII.	The Harmer Recommendations in Australia	86
XIX.	An Exception for Financial Segregation	87
XX.	Involuntary (Tort) Creditors	87
XXI.	Parent Liability for Insolvent Trading	88
XXII.	Conclusion	89
5	Commentary on Austin	91
	<i>Andrew Borrowdale</i>	
I.	Introduction	91
II.	History of the New Zealand Legislation	92
III.	Pooling Under the Companies Act 1993 (NZ)	94
IV.	Contributions Under the Companies Act 1993 (NZ)	96
6	Corporate Personality, Limited Liability and the Protection of Creditors	99
	<i>Dan Prentice</i>	
I.	Recovery from Creditors	99
II.	Recovery from Shareholders	101
III.	Recovery from Directors	104
IV.	Enhancing the Pool of Assets—Directors' Liability	108
V.	Conclusion	125
7	Commentary on Prentice	127
	<i>Thomas G. W. Telfer</i>	
I.	Risk-taking and the Limited Liability Company	128
II.	Uncompensated Risk and the Market	129
III.	The Impact of Director Liability	134
IV.	Uncertainty and the Judicial Interpretation of Risk	138
V.	The Interpretation of the New Zealand Companies Act 1993	140
VI.	The Hypothetical Bargain	146
VII.	Conclusion	147
8	Company Law and Regulatory Complexity	149
	<i>Joanna Gray</i>	
I.	Introduction	149
II.	"Officer Liability" Provisions and the Decision in <i>Re Attorney-General's Reference (No 1 of 1995)</i>	151
III.	Recent Trends in Corporate Regulatory and Criminal Responsibility	159
IV.	Conclusion	167

9	Commentary on Gray	169
	<i>Bernard Robertson</i>	
I.	Should There be a General Defence of Ignorance of the Law?	169
II.	Should There be a Narrower Defence?	171
III.	What does This Tell Us about the Rationale for Corporate Liability?	172
IV.	What are the Practical Effects?	173
10	Changes in the Role of the Shareholder	175
	<i>Jennifer Hill</i>	
I.	Introduction	175
II.	Visions and Revisions of the Shareholder	177
III.	Visions of the Shareholder in Recent Australian Corporate Law Developments	195
IV.	Conclusion	208
11	Commentary on Hill	209
	<i>Robert P. Austin</i>	
I.	The Shareholder as Owner/Principal	209
II.	The Shareholder as Beneficiary	210
III.	The Shareholder as Bystander	210
IV.	Shareholders as Participants in a Political Entity	211
V.	The Shareholder as Investor	211
VI.	The Shareholder as Cerberus	212
VII.	The Institutional Shareholder as Managerial Partner	213
VIII.	Conclusion	213
12	Models of Corporate Regulation: The Mandatory/Enabling Debate	215
	<i>Ian M. Ramsay</i>	
I.	Introduction	215
II.	Corporate Regulation: The Mandatory/Enabling Debate	218
III.	The Costs of Mandatory Rules	238
IV.	Mandatory Corporate Disclosure Rules: A Case Study	243
V.	Limited Liability: A Second Case Study	249
VI.	Some Implications of Institutional Investment and Electronic Commerce for Mandatory Rules	265
VII.	Conclusion	269
13	Commentary on Ramsay	271
	<i>Tim Hazledine</i>	
14	Financial Transparency and Corporate Governance: The United States as a Model?	279
	<i>Louis Lowenstein</i>	
I.	Three Elements of Shareholder Oversight	279

xii *Contents*

II.	The German and Japanese Structures are Intuitively Sensible	280
III.	The United States Model Seems to be Inferior, Yet it Works	281
IV.	The American Mandated Disclosure System is a Cornerstone	281
V.	The Corporate Chieftains Manage What We Measure	282
VI.	How Good is American Accounting?	284
VII.	The Process by Which the Pressure is Applied	288
VIII.	Drawbacks of the American System	288
IX.	An International Perspective	289
X.	Conclusion	291

<i>Index</i>	293
--------------	-----