2021 Vol.32 Issue 8 ISSN: 0959-3799

Entertainment Law Review

Table of Contents

Articles

KATIE CAMERON AND DR JANET STRATH

Travel Industry Trolled but Michael Gleissner's Attacks on ONEWORLD Fail to Land 243

In several recent decisions, a EUIPO Board of Appeal has rejected applications made by the notorious Michael Gleissner for declarations of revocation against EU trade marks containing the word element "ONEWORLD" registered for a variety of services related to airline travel. This article considers the reasons behind the successful appeals launched by oneworld Alliance, and the key challenges involved in defending intellectual property rights against attacks by (potential) trade mark trolls to which the travel industry, like many others, is prone.

Comments

THOMAS JOHNSON

Has the Curtain Gone Down on Theatres' Rights to Deduct VAT? The Court of Appeal Clarifies the Law for Charities, Corporates and Taxable Persons Alike 247

The Royal Opera House appealed against an Upper Tribunal (Tax and Chancery Chamber) decision refusing the charity's claim to deduct the input tax incurred on its production costs from the output tax paid on its catering supplies. The issue was whether those services were "directly and immediately linked".

ABIGAIL WISE

Gimme What I Want: EU General Court Upholds MILEY CYRUS Trade Mark 249

Following a dispute which first arose in 2014, the EU General Court has handed down a decision which allows the singer Miley Cyrus to use her name as a trade mark on a number of goods and services in the EU. The decision focusses on the impact of conceptual differences of marks where a person has international reputation.

HUGH TOMLINSON QC AND AIDAN WILLS

Hurbain v Belgium: Order to Anonymise Newspaper Archive Did Not Violate Article 10 251

This article reviews the judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in *Hurbain v Belgium* on the application of the right to be forgotten under the European Convention on Human Rights, particularly in the context of media archives. The decision contains powerful statements about the importance of archives, as well as the rehabilitation of ex-offenders and the significance of the right to be forgotten in this context.

RACHEL ALEXANDER AND MIKAH PAJACZKOWSKA-RUSSELL

YouTube and Cyando: A Nuanced Balance Soon to be Upended? 255

This article reviews judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union in Joined Cases C-682/18 and C-683/18 YouTube and Cyando, concerning whether platforms that host and enable the sharing of user uploaded content can be primarily liable for copyright infringement. The CJEU declined to follow the Advocate General's proposal to depart from well-established jurisprudence on communication to the public and has instead further refined and developed the cumulative factors to be taken into consideration.

LEIGH SMITH

High Court Finds "FOOTWARE" Trade Mark Application Non-Descriptive 257

This article reviews *Puma SE v Nike Innovate CV* in which the High Court upheld the findings of the UKIPO Hearing Officer that the trade mark FOOTWARE was not descriptive of goods and services in classes 9, 38, and 42, and that it was not customary in the current language of the trade.

EILEEN WEINERT

£120,000 Damages for Mr Lachaux of Defamation Act s. I Fame For Serious Failure of Responsible Journalism 259

The long-running libel action brought by Mr Lachaux against the publishers of The Independent and Evening Standard is over, seven years after he first brought it. His name will forever be associated with the correct interpretation of s.1 of the Defamation Act 2013 as a result of a preliminary ruling in his case. This case comment looks at the main action and Mr Justice Nicklin's ruling dismissing the defendants' "section 4 defences"—publication in the public interest—with some sharp words from Mr Justice Nicklin about what constitutes responsible journalism.

ANDREW WILSON

Copyright Trolls Can Target P2P File-Sharers; Within Reason 263

On 17 June 2021, in *Mircom v Telenet*, the CJEU found that a copyright licensor that merely enforces a right to claim damages for infringement can bring an action against an infringer, even when the infringement only consists of making small fragments of the material available. Yet any legitimate interest in processing personal data to identify the infringing individuals must be balanced against the rights and freedoms of the individuals under art.6(1)(f) of the GDPR. Any enforcement of intellectual property rights must also be fair and equitable and applied in a way that provides safeguards against their abuse.

ALESSANDRO CERRI

Ardagh v EUIPO: EU General Court Hands Down First Ruling on Registration of an Audio File as a Trade Mark 265

This comment reviews the significant ruling of the EU General Court in Ardagh Metal Beverage Holdings v EUIPO in relation to the registration of a sound mark, submitted in audio format, as a trade mark.

EMMA L. FLETT AND ALEX ZAPALOWSKI

General Court Allows Guerlain's Appeal 267

This comment reviews Case T-488/20 *Guerlain v EUIPO* in which the EU General Court annulled an EUIPO Board of Appeal decision which dismissed Guerlain's application to register the shape of a lipstick as an EU trade mark for lipsticks.

BEN GISBEY AND DIONNE CLARK

Band-Name Dispute: Passing-Off Defence Allowed After Being Partially Struck Out for Estoppel 271

In *Thomas v Luv One Luv All Promotions*, which involved a dispute over ownership of a band name, the Court of Appeal allowed an appeal against a decision of the IPEC, which had partially struck out a defence to passing-off on the basis of issue estoppel, due to an earlier decision in trade-mark invalidity proceedings. The Court of Appeal doubted whether the decision in the earlier proceedings actually created issue estoppel due to its twin ratio, and held that, even if it did, special circumstances justified allowing the defendants to challenge the claimant's standing to bring a passing-off claim in his own name.